The New-York Times.

VOL. XVIII.....NO. 5426. NEW-YORK, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 1869.—TRIPLE SHEET. PRICE FOUR CENTS.

CONCERNING CRIME.

Its Boldness, Impunity and Frequency in the City.

Remissness of the Police and Partiality of the Magistracy.

Defective and Cumbrous Machinery of the Law.

Fossilized Administration of the Metropolitan Police System.

THE SHAM DETECTIVE ORGANIZATION.

Necessity of an Amended Criminal Code—Prompt Dealing with Offenders, and an Increased and Reorganized Police.

The public mind is now profoundly stirred concerning crime in this City,—its alleged increase, its extraordinary boldness, and the apparent immunity from punishment of the worst offenders.

The agitation and alarm are by no means groundless. There is more or less truth in every count of this indictment against the fair fame of the City and the officers who are charged with the administration of the laws. It behooves us, therefore, to investigate impartially the causes of this untoward state of affairs, and, as far as possible, to suggest the remedies.

THE INCREASE OF CRIME.

First, with regard to the prevalence and increase of crime. That such is the fact there is no room to doubt. It is admitted both by the Magistracy and the Police.

It seems to be generally conceded that the most effective method of suppressing crime is to promptly convict and severely punish the offenders. Indeed, the past furnishes many illustrations of the effect of prompt justice in the checking of crime. We need give but one illustration. The majority of our readers will remember how that species of highway robbery, known as "garroting," which was rife in this country and in England seven or eight years ago, was "stamped out" by a vigorous prosecution of the practitioners of this crime, and by visiting them with a severe penalty upon conviction. This particular offence made its appearance during the Winter months, which invariably are most prolific of crime. Scarcely a day occurred without two or three cases. Frequently the "garroters," not content with half strangling their victims in order to effect the robbery, brutally injured them while lying senseless. For some time the sentences pronounced in cases of conviction were very light—from two to five years' imprisonment. Garroting continued to increase. Finally, Judge RUSSEL, of this City, and Baron BRAMWELL, of London, adopted the harshest measures in dealing with this crime. The one Magistrate sentenced several of this sort of ruffians to the State Prison for terms of from ten to forty years; and the other, about the same time, sentenced a batch of "garroters" to terms of from seven to twenty years' imprisonment.

Garroting at once ceased.

Similar vigor exercised at the present juncture will unquestionably produce a similar result. Let criminals understand that the penalties of the law will be dealt upon them at all times swiftly and surely, and they will soon be stricken with terror.

It is consolatory to know that the criminal authorities, judging from the sentences of a few days past, have at last come to this conclusion.

THE CHARACTER OF CRIME.

There is something encouraging in the fact that notwithstanding the prevalence of crime and its undoubted increase, the heinousness of offences in the scale of moral culpability has of late years diminished. In view of the recent offences of extraordinary boldness, this assertion to most minds will appear untrue. But it is susceptible of perfect demonstration. The Police returns for the year 1868 exhibit the enormous aggregate of 72,451 persons as having been taken into custody in the four counties, numbering a population of about one million five hundred thousand souls, which comprise the Metropolitan Police District.

When we come to analyze the character of these offences, (taking the returns for the past five or six years,) we will find that while it is an incontrovertible fact that crime has steadily increased in the district during the time in question, there has been a wonderful decline in offences of the gravest nature.

We will discover that forgeries—a crime which was of the most frequent occurrence a few years ago—have almost entirely disappeared from the list of offences.

Street brawls, which were notoriously common in the palmy days of the old Fire Department, are now seldom known.

Pocket-picking, which was practiced assiduously on the street-cars, at the theatres, and wherever a large crowd was collected, as a distinct branch of roguery, has been pretty effectually suppressed.

MURDERS AND HOMICIDES.

Contrary to popular belief, there have been few cases of willful, revengeful murder—murder with malice aforethought—in this City for many years. The statistics show, as a general thing, that murder in this City has sprung from hot blood rather than malice, and, as a consequence, has been openly and clumsily done.

Take the recent case of Mr. ROGERS as an illustration. It fully indicates, it is true, the boldness of the present-day criminals, but it was clearly no instance of malicious murder. It would doubtless be classed under the common law with the great majority of cases that have occurred in this City as a "chance medley homicide." We can only recall of late years a few instances of willful, downright murder. The most notorious crime of this sort was that in Bond-street, of Dr. BURDELL. In addition to this, the cases may be mentioned of Dr. LEUTNER, the oculist, in 1866; of BURKE, a porter in a store at the corner of Broadway and White-street, in 1866, and more recently the case of REAL, the murderer of Policeman SHERMUN, who was yesterday sentenced to be hung.

Indeed, on a close examination of the records of the Coroner's office, it will be found that there is a widespread popular misapprehension in regard, not only to the grade of the murders on record, but also in respect to the frequency of this crime since the organization of the Metropolitan Police force.

Such an examination will not show, however, that, as a detective force, the present Police is an improvement upon the Police which it superseded.

Let us cite the statistics. In the year 1856 there were 85 homicides, and in 11 of these cases the murderer was not arrested.

In 1857 there were 81 homicides, and in 20 of these cases the murderer escaped arrest.

Omitting the year 1858, when the present Police force was in process of organization, and so lavish under the disadvantage of imperfect machinery, we will instance the years 1859, in which there occurred 67 homicides; in 1860, when there were 67; 1861, when there were 83, and 1862, when there were 46. In each of these years 12 of these murderers escaped arrest. In other words, in about one case in four the Police failed to detect the assassin, and the Coroners' juries were compelled to find verdicts that the deceased came to their death at the hands of persons unknown.

The record of succeeding years is scarcely better, as in 1867, out of 64 homicides, in 10 cases the stereotyped verdict of "death at the hands of persons unknown" was rendered; and in 1868 there were 48 murders, and in 9 cases the perpetrator of the crime escaped detection.

A DISCREDITABLE EXHIBIT FOR THE POLICE.

Summarizing the entire term of service of the present Police force, we have the fact that during that time, about ten years, there have been, according to the Coroners' books, no less than 522 deaths by violence in this City alone, and in *one hundred and thirty-one* of these cases the Police have failed to discover the guilty persons. We find, therefore, that in a little more than one-fifth of the entire number of homicides the Police have fallen short of the duty imposed upon them of arresting the murderers at the time of the commission of the offence.

It must be taken into consideration, also, that in collating these figures only evident and undisputed homicides have been taken into account. In addition to the 522 homicides there are on the Coroners' books hundreds of verdicts of "death from causes unknown," or "supposed drowning," behind many of which the work of the murderer is undoubtedly hidden. As there are no means of verifying this belief, all such cases have been rejected, and only those instances counted where the evidence was emphatic that death had been caused by violence inflicted by human agency.

Moreover, it does not help the case for the Police to know that of 131 undetected murders 80 were infanticides. These latter were almost invariably cases of child-murder where the bodies were found in vaults of houses, and in which it might with reason be supposed that the detective would have no very hard task in bringing the guilt home.

Nor have the Police had, as a rule, any cases of homicide where ordinary diligence and acumen might not have detected the criminal. As we have stated, there have been comparatively few instances of vindictive and secret murder. Hence the Police have rarely been driven, as in the Rogers case, to work exclusively on circumstantial evidence, which is a fact that may be placed to the credit of the community, as the detectives have seldom accomplished anything when they have had to rely solely upon the clues afforded by circumstances. In this connection it is pertinent to cite one late instance—that of THOMAS F. LAVELLE, a conductor on the Seventh-avenue Railroad, who was stabbed on his car in Church-street, on the evening of Feb. 17, 1868. The act was instantly known to the Police, who carried the wounded man to the New-York Hospital, where he died the same evening. This was far from being a case of extraordinary difficulty, but the murderer has not been found out, and if the Police have made any effort whatever to arrest him, they have never claimed credit for it.

This exhibit, therefore, while it gives us the information that homicides and affrays resulting in death, have been less frequent in this City than has been generally supposed, also shows most strikingly the incapacity or inactivity of the detective branch of the Metropolitan Police system, and flies in the face of the tradition that "murder will out."

THE PUNISHMENT OF MURDERERS.

While discussing this question of murder, it is proper to explain, as far as the matter admits of explanation, the reason that murderers and persons guilty of homicide are held so long in custody before they are brought to trial, and how they often escape with what is believed to be an entirely inadequate punishment.

The trouble can be for the most part directly traced to what are confessedly defects in our criminal laws and to the cumbrous machinery of justice, the aim of which seems to be to afford offenders of every sort all possible facilities for escaping their deserts.

For instance, the difficulty of convicting murderers in this State has been vastly increased since 1860 and 1862, in which years the Legislature made a great mistake in tampering with the law bearing on this crime.

Murder was then classed in two degrees, and it took the Courts five years to determine what the second degree of murder might be. The punishment of murder in the first degree is hanging, and for murder in the second degree imprisonment for ten years, or for life, in the discretion of the Court.

The practical result of introducing such a distinction has been that jurors, influenced by unwillingness to unite in a capital conviction, always find the prisoner guilty of the second degree. The simplicity of the old definition ought to be restored.

So with manslaughter, which the Legislature has defined to be of four degrees. It requires the finest kind of technical knowledge to understand the nice distinctions which the law has made in this offence, and as a consequence jurors can rarely be brought to give a verdict except for the lowest degree.

So much for the light punishments which in these days are meted out for this sort of offence.

TARDY PROSECUTION OF OFFENDERS.

As regards the failure of the prosecuting officers to bring murderers and perpetrators of the crime of manslaughter to prompt trial, there is much to be said in mitigation.

As the law now stands, it is an absolute impossibility to procure a jury immediately after the commission of a crime which is so all notorious. Publicity is given to an event through the newspapers, and upon the facts presented in the public prints the person summoned as a juror makes up an opinion as to the guilt or innocence of the accused. No matter how intelligent the juror may be; no matter how conscientiously he may be enabled to give a verdict based solely upon the evidence as presented in Court, and the law as explained by the Judge, he must be set aside, according to the ruling of the Court of Appeals, as incompetent. It is easily to be seen how so gross a legal fiction—reflecting as it does upon the intelligence and conscientiousness of every man who reads a newspaper—is made to hinder and prevent the prompt administration of justice.

In the case of CANONICI, the Italian, who a few years since committed a murder at the corner of Grand and Centre streets, and was brought to trial within a few weeks subsequent to the commission of the crime, counsel for the prisoner, with the consent of his client, on account of the difficulty of obtaining twelve jurors, who, in the eyes of the law, were competent, waived his rights in this respect. CANONICI was convicted, and subsequently his case was taken before the Court of Appeals, and it was held that the prisoner had no right to waive a constitutional privilege. The result was that he was set a liberty.

A similar case was that of FERNEY, the murderer of LAZARUS. He was hung, it is true; but for this same difficulty of getting a jury who were ignorant of the circumstances of the case before the trial, he very nearly escaped the penalty of his crime.

In view of this *so called* rule of the law applicable to jurors, it is therefore not to be wondered at that delays of great length are necessary before notorious offenders are brought to trial. The case of REAL, just convicted of the murder of Officer SHERMUN, is one in point. There has been much indignation expressed that this man was not promptly tried. The explanation of the delay may doubtless be found in reading our law reports of Monday last, where the difficulty of obtaining twelve men who should be acceptable alike to the law, to the people and to the defence, is palpable.

This defect of our present code of criminal practice is not the only one. There are many others which stand in the way of the swift application of justice, even where the zeal of the representatives of the people is beyond dispute. The manifold legal excuses which a defendant may offer respecting the absence of important witnesses, and other quibbles which are fully recognized by the present code, serve to defeat the ends of justice, and afford many loopholes for escape where the counsel for the prisoner is fertile in tact and determined in his opposition.

THE DISTRICT-ATORNEY'S POWER.

Under the almost unlimited power which the laws of this State place in the hands of the District-Attorney of every county, this functionary may exercise the widest discretion, the only check upon his course in dealing with criminals being his honesty and his faithfulness to the trust confided to him. He is the legal adviser of the Grand Jury in all matters upon which they may desire his counsel. He can without question enter a *nolle prosequi* in any case where an indictment has been found. The evidence for the prosecution in every cause is placed in his hands. He determines for himself all the chances for conviction in any given offence. If he chooses he can bring a case promptly into Court, or he may postpone it, in spite of the letter and spirit of the law, until it suits his convenience. He may go further, and accept a plea from a prisoner guilty of an offence many degrees lower in grade than that charged in the indictment by the Grand Jury.

This latter course has been pursued in this City hundreds of times, and criminals who, before a jury, might have been doomed to prison for a long term of years, have often, upon the direct invitation of the District-Attorney, pleaded guilty to an offence which simply secured them in confinement for months. A power so absolute is liable to be abused, and yet it may be exercised with perfect fairness, even in cases where there is a moral certainty of guilt, but in which the evidence may be technically imperfect according to the view of the public prosecutor who alone has access to the merits of the matter. There are, therefore, advantages and disadvantages even in this autocratic method of dealing with criminals.

THE UNVINDICATED LIQUOR LAW.

Inquiry has often been directed to the neglect of our late District-Attorney in the matter of prosecuting the thousands of complaints which had accumulated in his office against violators of the Excise law. The answer is plain. A partisan purpose is doubtless subserved in the failure to prosecute, but there are valid grounds in law for the non-action. A well-settled rule of criminal procedure is established in this respect. Cases brought to trial must be in the following order:

1. Felonies in which the defendant is in custody.
2. Misdemeanors in which the defendant is in custody.
3. Felonies, in which the defendant is on bail.
4. Misdemeanors in which the defendant is on bail.

As the liquor cases belong to the latter class, and as the indictments pending against offenders of the three other classes have thus far never been exhausted, there seems to be no tangible cause of complaint under the circumstances.

THE TROUBLE ABOUT JURORS.

It may be urged that if the office of the District-Attorney is overflowing with indictments which cannot be reached under the slow process of criminal procedure, it would be well to hold two separate terms of the Court of Sessions simultaneously. There is a Recorder and also a City Judge. Why may they not work at the same time, and so lighten the calendar?

There seems to be great reason in this, but the main obstacle in the way of such a course is the trouble of obtaining jurors. The difficulty in this respect is chronic, and calls for a radical remedy. Every subterfuge is resorted to for the purpose of escaping jury duty, and it rarely happens that out of two hundred and fifty summoned at each term of the Court of General Sessions the required number of twenty-four, which constitutes the legal panel from which a petit jury may be taken, can be secured on the first day of the term. The penalty for non-service without legal excuse is simply a fine of $250 for the term. Many business men submit to the fine, and never put in an appearance.

This great evil under which the Courts labor might be remedied in two ways: first, by issuing an attachment compelling the recreant juror to appear, as is done in Massachusetts; or by paying a moderate compensation ($2 a day,) when jurors serve for the term, as is done in New-Jersey. Either plan would work a reform. Perhaps the latter would be more just, as there seems to be no good reason why Judge, counsel, witnesses and all officers of the Court should receive compensation, and jurors alone be compelled to give their time for nothing. Jurors, as a general thing, are drawn from the working classes, who can ill afford to lose their time, but who for a slight compensation would cheerfully serve the public.

THE NEW CRIMINAL CODE.

The remedy for the evils at which we have hinted, and for many others which we have no space to demonstrate, is to be found in the Code of Criminal Procedure, which for many years has been vainly knocking for adoption at the door of the Legislature. This Code was prepared and matured by Commissioners appointed by the Legislature, composed of three of the ablest and most astute members of the legal profession of this City—Messrs. DAVID DUDLEY FIELD, WM. CURTIS NOYES and ALEXANDER W. BRADFORD. By its adoption many cumbrous and faulty formulas unsuited to this enlightened age would be dispensed with; the antique rules of practice and pleadings would be abolished, and the course of justice would be so simplified that the "law's delays" would become an obsolete tradition.

The passage of the Code is vigorously combatted, however, by parties whose professional interests might be damaged by its adoption, and thus far they have carried their point.

THE BOLDNESS OF CRIME.

With respect to the boldness of crime there have been of late many striking examples. The murder of Mr. ROGERS comes in this category. He was stricken down at early daylight in front of his own door. In this case it does not seem fair to clamor against the Police for not having witnessed the deed. There was only one patrolman on duty in the neighborhood. The "beat" of this single policeman included Tenth, Eleventh, Twelfth, Thirteenth and Fourteenth streets, from Third to Sixth avenues. It is preposterous to suppose that he could possibly cover a post one-fifth as extended, and it is quite likely that if he had been in sight the ruffians would have forborne their attack. The absence of the policeman is far less unaccountable than the absence of citizens at that hour of the morning. The blame which properly attaches to the Police in this case lies in their inefficiency as detective officers.

Next we have the case of Mr. LEDDY, who was assaulted with a sling-shot in Lexington-avenue before 9 o'clock at night. Then Mr. LYNCH, who was knocked down, and his wife attacked by a gang of thieves in Fourth-street, within gunshot of Broadway, soon after dark. Again, the office of Calvary Cemetery, in the neighborhood of Police Headquarters, was entered by burglars, who decamped a safe, and must have consumed a great deal of time in their villainy. Then the case of Mr. PARKER, a merchant, who was attacked in his office at Counting-slip before the close of business hours, and his pocket rifled while a pistol was held to his head. Next Mr. MORRY, who was threatened with murder, gagged and robbed in a second-story room on Broadway, between 6 and 7 o'clock in the evening. The Park Bank robbery, a crime which was unparalleled in its audacity. The attempt of BOYLE, the Michigan murderer, with his confederates, to break in the window of a jewelry store in the Bowery soon after dark—an attempt in which he was damaged by Roundsman MOONEY. Lastly, the successful robbery of a jewelry store in Broadway at 7:30 o'clock on Monday evening by thieves who broke the windows. Many other bold achievements of thieves and scoundrels might be enumerated, but we have selected the more prominent of the exploits of recent occurrence, in order to illustrate upon what basis allegations of this sort are made.

Looking to the Police authorities for an explanation of this audacity in crime, we are told that it is simply a spasmodic outburst in a new direction—the very boldness affording the best chances of its success.

For example, they cite the case of BOYLE, the butcher-cart thief, who preferred forty years in Sing Sing, with any number of opportunities of escaping, to being sent to Michigan to stand trial for the murder of the Sheriff of Kalamazoo, where there was a fair prospect of his being lynched by the indignant people of the village. This BOYLE, a desperate fellow by his own confession, chose an hour of the evening when the streets were neither empty or full of passers-by in order to carry out his daring intention. He was waiting, with his confederate, for a slight cessation in the stream of passengers before he broke the window of the jewelry store. He was observed, and his intent was divined by the vigilant roundsman. The latter concealed himself behind a shutter-box on the sidewalk, but the quick eye of the thief "spotted" his head as he peered out from his skulking place. BOYLE became alarmed, and signaled to his confederate to run. They had almost reached their butcher cart when the policeman, who had started in pursuit, caught BOYLE by the leg, and held on in spite of a shot from the thief's revolver.

This was a splendid arrest, but had it not been for the fortuitous circumstance of a collision of the cart with a street car, the rascals would have escaped in spite of the vigilance and tenacity of the officer.

So with other bold offences. There is nothing artistically criminal in any of these recent cases, say the Police. They are mainly the work either of neophytes in crime, driven to desperation by the rigors of the Winter, or are what is termed in Police parlance, "put up jobs." In other words, the Police are of opinion that the victims in many of the most startling of these recent occurrences are in league with the alleged criminals. This view of the case, indeed, would seem to be sustained by Recorder HACKETT in his late charge, when he said he was inclined to believe that "one trouble arising from this recent boldness in crime is, that robberies, burglaries and thefts are so easily invented to cover up defalcations, breaches of trust or loss of property."

In accounting for the new phases of City crime, especially during the Winter months, the Police also say that it must be borne in mind that here is the great rendezvous of criminals from all parts of America, as well as from foreign countries. Here is afforded the widest field for their exploits. They drift to New-York as surely as the current of the Gulf Stream sets northward from the tropics. Large accessions to the dangerous classes of the population have come from the South, driven thence by the poverty of the country, and with these there is only one step between the gaslights of hunger and crime. Add to these that hardened class which was developed by the war, who were known as bounty-jumpers, and who a few years since were temporarily dispersed of by incarceration in the State Prison, and who now, by the expiration of their terms of imprisonment, are being released to prey once more upon the community.

BOND ROBBERIES.

With respect to this species of rascality, the Police assert that it cannot be classed among the bold robberies. The work is essentially that of a sneak. It requires no extraordinary dexterity. It is effected because it is profitable, and is followed by first-class thieves and interpreters alike. Bond robberies are paid in by hundreds, time and other; there is less danger attending them. "The business community," says the Recorder, and the Police indorse the assertion, "is careless in exposing property of this character, and often invite theft by their own negligence." The difficulty in securing the conviction of a bond thief is palpable. Granted that a person is suspected of a crime of this sort, where can the evidence against him be found unless he happen to be caught in the act? He can dispose of his plunder with facility. He will destroy it utterly before he will yield it. The only alternative is to compromise for the recovery of a portion of the property. This, in some manner, the Detective Police are enabled readily to do. The victims of the thieves are glad to come to a settlement on these terms. But the cause of public morality is certainly affected injuriously by compounding with rascals in so frequent a manner.

IMMUNITY FROM PUNISHMENT.

The popular supposition that criminals escape the lawful penalty of their offences is certainly true in regard to many notorious characters.

Political affiliation and service at the polls are allowed to influence the action of an elected Magistracy. This is a broad charge, and in many cases it might not be susceptible of proof, but very few doubt its truth. It is strenuously made by the Police. They declare the practice to be one of the principal difficulties with which they have to contend. In innumerable instances, according to their testimony, well-known professional thieves and burglars are apprehended in *flagrante delicto*, and taken before a committing Magistrate, who with great facility enlarges them upon worthless bail. The ruffian in a day or two is again on the streets for purposes of pillage, and triumphantly jeering at the faithful officer who had taken him into custody.

In illustration of this point the case is mentioned of PIERO, who was sentenced a week or two since to Sing Sing for the audacious robbery of the Park Bank. This thief was identified by the Police as a burglar who was caught some time last year in the act of escaping with his plunder. He was committed for trial the day subsequent to his arrest. Just before the last Charter election this prisoner was quietly set at liberty and did service at the polls. The papers upon which he was held for trial are now missing, having been spirited away from the office of the District-Attorney. Scores of instances are mentioned where notorious criminals have been guilty of second and third offences before they were brought to trial for the first.

It is lamentable to consider that there are at present men holding office in this City who are themselves graduates of penal institutions, and have about them, in subordinate capacities, persons who have also been convicted of felonies. Through the apathy of citizens men of this sort obtain place and power, and use their positions to protect, as far as they can, their former companions and associates in vice.

There is no doubt that many of our Police Justices and other Magistrates administer anything but impartial justice in cases where political "strikers" are criminally implicated. The influence of the ruffianly class in the polls, when thrown into the scale at a public election, has the candidate who promises favor to them, either through himself or his friends, to withstand it entirely.

POLICE JUSTICE REFORM.

We are pleased to notice that even the Democrats of the better class are alive to the glaring evils of this character, now existing and increasing, and propose an effort to check them. The Police Justices are now elected by districts, of which there are four in the City. It has frequently been the case that five or six candidates for this office have been presented in a single district. The consequence of this has been a fierce struggle at the polls, and the vote and favor of professional law-breakers in every grade and variety of crime have been sought to turn the scale for one candidate or another. He generally of all the candidates has been successful whom confederacy would permit him to promise most in the way of immunity from punishment to those his friends in need.

Even at this remote period from the next election, certain of our Police Justices, who propose to enter the field again for another term of office, find it to their interest to exchange Courts, in order to preserve their credit with the respectable part of their constituency, on the one hand, and escape the penalty of their entangling alliances, formed during a previous canvass, with the knaves in their own districts, on the other.

The partial remedy now proposed to meet an evil so subversive of the common weal is to elect the Police Justices in future on a general ticket, instead of a district ticket. In this manner it is believed that a better class of men may be placed in so important an office. A bill to this effect will soon be presented to the Legislature, and it is thought the project will commend itself to the Republicans sufficiently to insure its passage, particularly as the City is so thoroughly Democratic in its politics already that no party purpose would be secured by its defeat.

THE ABUSE OF THE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.

It is very generally conceded that until within a year past, another flagrant mode of giving immunity to criminals was in the too free use of the writ of *habeas corpus*. To no great an extent was this cherished instrument of protection to the citizen perverted from its proper object, that it became necessary to invoke the interference of the Legislature.

Complaint for this cause was particularly made against the late City Judge, and to put an end to his alleged abuse of authority, the Legislature rescinded the power therefore exercised by the Recorder and City Judge, of granting the writ. The effect of this curtailment, in the case of Judge RUSSEL, was that he retired from the Bench.

We had hoped, by investigation of the records with regard to the writs of *habeas corpus* issued in the case of prisoners confined at the Penitentiary at Blackwell's Island, to have ascertained precisely to what extent this process of the law had been abused. Our intention, however, has been frustrated. The records of the Penitentiary are very imperfect. Many of the original writs are missing, and there are no entries on the books of the institution to show that they were ever served. The books set forth that since Jan. 1, 1864, to Dec. 31, 1868, one hundred and ninety-four convicted prisoners have been discharged on writs of *habeas corpus*, but there is nothing to indicate what Judges discharged them, or how many writs were issued that did not result in a discharge—that is, in cases where prisoners were remanded to the Penitentiary.

SHORTCOMINGS OF THE POLICE.

The fact cannot be disguised that a widespread dissatisfaction exists with the present management of the Police organization. Very few persons hesitate to admit that the Metropolitan system is in itself excellent, and yet the prevailing sentiment of the public is that in many essential particulars its administration is shamefully defective. The philosophy of the London organization, upon which our system is based, has been lost sight of in many material points, and our Police, instead of being useful in the repression and detection of crime, has lapsed into a merely disciplined patrol, little better than a regiment of infantry.

To specify a few of the considerations which lead to this conclusion, we will begin with the detective force.

THE ERROR OF OUR DETECTIVE SYSTEM.

The organization in this regard is especially defective. A radical error lies at the formation of this department in establishing the Detective Bureau as a separate and distinct branch of the service. Instead of being known as detective, the officer employed in such work should, as far as possible, preserve an incognito. He should be able and willing to assume any possible disguise in order to conceal his identity from the criminal classes, or even from other members of the Police force, excepting the chief of the entire organization. This functionary alone, in view of his presumed knowledge of the special fitness and talent of each of his subordinates, ought to select a "shadow" to "work up" any given case, and the man so chosen ought to prosecute his inquiries in secret and have sufficient time afforded him for a thorough investigation. No plan of this sort is carried out. Our detectives, exclusive of ward or precinct detectives, are so few in number that with apparent justice they may be termed a "ring." Rank and file comprise only *fifteen* men, and this meagre number is known not alone to the members of the force, but also to every professional thief and burglar in the City. This is an undisputed fact. They make no attempt to conceal their calling, but rather take pains to make it known, especially to the criminal class, who profit by the knowledge. Their theory is that rogues will flee at sight of them. We have already shown their inefficiency in the detection of murderers. The same may be said of them in reference to every other variety of crime. Indeed, there is scarcely a pretence on their part that the chief end of their organization is to ferret out criminals and procure their punishment. *We are told that they have not secured the conviction of ten culprits during the past year.*

These facts show the comparative uselessness of the detective organization. It is no proof of its efficiency that it has been the means of returning vast amounts of stolen property. That is a minor and incidental part of the business. The chief end of a detective force ought to be the conviction of offenders, and in this respect it is an absolute failure.

The operations of the Detective Bureau ought to be thoroughly inquired into. The Commissioners ought to call upon the Chief to report the number of arrests made by his force; the number of cases of robberies and other felonies reported at his office; the number of convictions obtained by his subordinates; the amount of rewards for stolen property offered, claimed and obtained; the manner in which cases are "worked up;" why it is habitually necessary to open negotiations with thieves to secure return of stolen property; and upon what authority arrangements are made with prisoners assuring them of impunity upon condition that they make a confession. A truthful answer to these questions would go very far toward revealing the art peculiar to our detectives of getting rich rapidly on small salaries.

POLICEMEN DO NOT FERRET OUT TESTIMONY.

Another glaring defect in the administration of our Police system is the remissness, with few exceptions, of the officers of every grade in the important work of ferreting out testimony. This allegation was recently made by Recorder HACKETT, and it is indorsed by every Police Magistrate of the City. A very large proportion of the complaints lodged in the Courts fall through, simply because the Police fail to supply certain links in testimony which it is clearly their duty to present. For instance, in the Court of Special Sessions alone there were, last year, no less than 1,800 complaints dismissed, in very many of which cases the result was directly traceable to the negligent manner in which the officers who made the arrests brought their cases into Court. Under the present faulty administration of the Department, a policeman generally thinks his duty is done on taking a prisoner to Court, instead of working up every case in such a manner as to secure conviction to the guilty.

The patrolmen are not so culpable in this regard as are their superiors. They have little time given them to prosecute this branch of their business. The Captains of Precincts, with very rare exceptions, trouble themselves to watch a case from the time it is brought in the Station-house until the prisoner is dismissed or at Court. This is a part of their duty, of which, as a general thing, they seem to be ignorant. Indeed, the manner in which the majority of the Captains employ their time would scarcely bear sprying. Very few hours of the twenty-four are passed at the Station-house. Each Precinct has attached to it four Sergeants, who in turn do the clerical duty, and the Captain, freed from confinement, devotes as much or as little of the day to his Precinct as may suit his convenience. There is no check upon them in this respect, and their neglect of duty is proverbial. In one instance, the Captain of a Precinct—that of the Mounted Police—is, also, or was very recently, Superintendent of the Belt Railroad, and as he made no secret of his double occupation, it is fair to assume that the circumstance was known to the Commissioners. The fact is, that there is too much discretionary and irresponsible power placed in the hands of the Captains. They are autocrats in many respects in their individual Precincts, under no special supervision by their superiors, reluctant to cooperation with their brother officers, and possessing absolute control of the details of the Police work, which, under the circumstances, is not satisfactorily performed.

INCOMPETENCY OF THE SUPERINTENDENT.

The Police Superintendent himself is justly chargeable with many of the errors which have crept into the management of the force, and he tolerates many abuses which destroy the homogeneous working of his Department. Without special training for his office, he has brought to it a determined will which brooks no opposition, a lack of broad and comprehensive view, which makes him jealous of suggestions from others, and averse to the counsel and cooperation of anybody. He is dogmatic, vain and impracticable. He immures himself day after day and constantly in his office at headquarters, and in no sense exercises that supervision of the practical operations of his force which the theory and name of his position as Superintendent demand. He violates the rules of the Board of which he is the executive officer, and peremptorily refuses to rectify his mistakes. These characteristics of the Superintendent are notorious, and work great detriment to the success and efficiency of the force. A most flagrant instance of the Superintendent's obstinacy is to be found in his recent controversy with Justice DOWLING in regard to an order designating the tours of duty for the Police. By this order, in direct violation of a State law and in absolute conflict with Rules 112 and 135 of the Police Board, the Superintendent makes it necessary for a patrolman who takes a prisoner during the night to carry his charge to Court after 9 o'clock A. M. The Courts are opened at sunrise, especially to dispose of prisoners who are arrested after nightfall, and who frequently are unjustly held in the Station-houses. The law expressly says that "if the Magistrate is not holding Court, the offender shall be detained in a Station-house until the next public sitting of the Magistrate, and no longer, but shall then be conveyed without delay before the Magistrate to be dealt with according to law." In spite of the law the Superintendent persists in his obstinacy, regardless of justice and of propriety. One effect of his course is to hold out a strong premium to the patrolmen to refrain from making arrests and shut their eyes upon any but flagrant offenders. Under this order of the Superintendent, the policeman, on making an arrest, is compelled to spend the hours in Court which otherwise he would pass in sleep, and it is scarcely to be wondered at that men who are frequently robbed of the hours of repose will shirk their duty. The result is that of late there has been a great decrease in the number of persons taken into custody after nightfall.

IMPULSIVE AND ARBITRARY ARRESTS—A CRUEL CASE.

Numerous minor grievances might be instanced in support of the assertion that the public are losing confidence in the excellence of the force. We will, however, content ourselves with one more point in illustration of the charge of general inefficiency. The practice which of late has been frequently laid at the door of the Police—the interference with quiet and respectable citizens by overbearing officers,—and with this shocking incident—one of many that have come to our knowledge—we will conclude the subject for a time.

It is not a great while since, that a lady of this City, in the last stages of consumption, who had been advised by her physician to use opium to relieve the severe pain to which she was constantly subject, on one occasion, after the admission of a teaspoonful of the elixir of opium, was permitted to go out and take a walk attended by a servant. Overcome by feebleness and by the effects of the opiate, she sat down for a moment on a doorstep. Almost immediately after a policeman came up, and addressing her in reprehensible language, ordered the poor sufferer to move on. She was too far gone to hear the order, when the policeman seized her and dragged her up and pushed her roughly along. Becoming partially conscious under the rude propulsion of the man with the baton, in a wild, feeble, excited way, she protested and resisted. She was then struck. With the expiring, flickering strength of her lean and shrunken frame, she resented the harsh treatment. She was at once knocked down and taken to the Station-house. On the remonstrance of a friend, who accidentally learned the facts of the case after midnight, her release was peremptorily and insolently refused. Next day, taken before a Magistrate, this haggard victim of a policeman's ignorance and brutality, trembling on the verge of the grave, was fined for drunkenness, and as may well be believed by those who know the most of our Station-houses, her life afterward was not of long duration. The helpless woman succumbed to the rude shock, and a few days after was in her grave.

THE INADEQUATE POLICE FORCE.

In making up a judgment as to what extent our Police have failed to meet the purposes of its organization,—the preservation of order and the prevention, detection and punishment of crime,—the fact must not be overlooked that in proportion to the population of the Metropolitan District the department is numerically smaller, perhaps, than that of any other dense-peopled place.

The aggregate number of policemen in the four counties of New-York, Kings, Richmond and Westchester is two thousand six hundred and fifty, of whom two thousand three hundred and thirty-four are patrolmen.

Allowing for the numerous details to the Police Courts, to sanitary inspection, and to other day employments—the "fat offices" for which policemen strive—the patrol force is still further reduced by several hundred men.

Take the City of New-York as an illustration, and we find that, except in extraordinary emergencies, such as on the occurrence of fires, holidays and elections, there are never more than 600 policemen on duty in the streets at any given time. One-half are on reserve at the station-houses, while the remainder are on duty in the streets.

In so large a City, with a population exceeding 1,000,000, it will be seen at a glance that 600 patrolmen are an absurdly small number to efficiently perform the work required of them. The "beats" generally are too extended for a single man to watch. Rogues quickly learn the precise ground that every patrolman is assigned to cover, and begin their depredations at the hours or store upon which they may have designed so soon as the Policeman passes that given spot in making the tour of his post.

Therefore, in prosecuting the reforms which are imperatively demanded in our Police system, one of the first requirements must be a large addition to the strength of the force.

The tax-payers of the City will cheerfully assent to the increased cost of the Department which an augmentation of its members will entail, provided that in this way the City will be relieved of the stigma which the impunity of crime has fixed upon it, and the people may be assured of safety in life and property.

AN UNDERGROUND RAILWAY.

Traveling in a City of Distances—The London Underground Railway—A Subterraneous Trip—The Road, Tunnels and Stations—Impressions of a Voyage.

From Our Own Correspondent.

LONDON, Monday, Jan. 18, 1869.

At a time when propositions for the construction of an underground railway in New-York are engaging the attention of American engineers, it may not be labor thrown away if I give you some account of the similar work which has actually been carried out in this metropolis. I have recently had the opportunity afforded me of making a very thorough inspection of our underground railway, and I am convinced of its perfect safety; it is, in my opinion, safer, for instance, than any railway above ground I have ever seen, while the convenience it affords to the public in this overgrown and crowded city would be incredible to any one who had never paid us a visit.

The railway is intended to make a complete circuit of the metropolis, with branch lines running to points which lie at some little distance from the great centres of population. Some parts of the railway have been open for five years past, but it is only within the last month that the extension was completed to two or three crowded districts, and the older line brought into communication with it. The result is that the line is now available for the inhabitants of nearly every part of London. For example: I live in South Kensington. Formerly, if I wanted to go to the city quickly it was necessary to take a cab at a cost of 5s., the omnibuses being generally "all full inside." Now, close to my house I find a large and handsome railway station, and by paying sixpence (12 cents) I get whisked off to Moorgate-street in half the time the cab would have taken.

Well, but, the reader may say, how about the line, and what sort of carriages do you have to go in? Isn't it very disagreeable traveling? To the last question I must answer no, and I do not speak rashly, for I have spent some days in tunnels of the railway without even the advantage of being carried along swiftly in the trains. I have gone and walked about in them, and if the air had been very bad it strikes me that I should have found it out. But although the length of the line running actually under the roads and houses is sometimes considerable, I have never experienced anything but the most trifling consciousness of a change of atmosphere. In the first place, there is a very fair draught of air through them. In the next, the engines never let off steam in the tunnels. They burn a fuel which is as nearly smokeless as anything that can be employed—namely, coke, specially prepared for the company, and carefully picked—and each engine is fitted up with a condenser. There is therefore surprisingly little smoke or steam in any of the tunnels. Now and then an opening occurs, and most of the stations are built in the open air. At such points the engine driver opens his dampers, lets his fire blow up, and gets all snug for his next run through the tunnels. About 700 trains a day run over various parts of the line, and in one week nearly 800,000 passengers were carried. The trains succeed each other at intervals of from three to ten minutes, and so beautiful is the system of signals which has been adopted that not one accident has occurred, and until one does occur I shall believe that it is impossible. Hour after hour I have passed in watching the mechanism and action of the signals, and anything more ingenious or complete it would be difficult even to imagine. Without diagrams or sketches I could not hope to make this mechanism clear to the reader, but this, at any rate, may easily be understood: The stations, with two or three exceptions, are built in the open air. Besides the usual semaphore signals, a double set of lights are used in the tunnels. The colors are two—green and red—one denoting caution, the other danger, "stop." The signalman works one of these lights, the porter at the station another, and these two men are not in communication. When a train leaves a station the lights are kept at danger until the man at the station ahead telegraphs that the train is in sight, and then the green lights are put on. The lights are at some distance apart. Suppose the first one the driver comes to is a tunnel is red. He draws his train gently up to the second light, further on in the tunnel, which, perhaps, he finds red, too. In that case he stops, and dare not go a yard further. Then presently it changes to green in front, the guard looks back and sees that the green light is there also, and on the train goes again. Even such slight delays as these are very unusual; but they render a collision almost an impossib

straight up and down, black brick, narrow windows, and doorway shaped like a coffin. The underground railway has saved any of us Londoners from the necessity of traversing this most deplorable region. Is there any man in his senses who would not rather make a journey under the Euston Road than upon it? Well, the line runs along the extreme length of the road, dodging the sewers and gaspipes and all other kinds of pipes on its way. It is a long, straight piece of tunneling, and cost a great sum of money to construct. But there were other parts which presented still greater difficulties—parts where the water would come through in spite of all exertions to keep it out, and, where a complete tunnel had to be built under the ground as well as above it. Immense sums of money were spent in buying houses which had to be pulled down, or in compensating owners of property. Then there were great junctions to be made, so as to form connection with the existing lines of railway. A man might now take the underground road close to his own house, and be carried to Edinburgh, or to Liverpool, or any other place with which railway communication is established, and be saved all trouble of running from cabs to stations, and stand no risk of losing his train. The traffic increases with extraordinary rapidity. In 1868 the Company's receipts were only £440 or £500 a week. Now (with an extension of the line, of course,) the weekly receipts average about £7,000. They must necessarily go on increasing. When people once use the underground railway they will not be able to do without it. By and and by a short extension will complete the road to Charing Cross, and then every important part of London will be accessible by the line.

The fares are low, and there is little or no waiting for trains—two points of great importance. There is very little time lost at any station, and if passengers no not "hurry up" they are very likely to lose their trains. Punctuality is closely observed, and if you get to an important station only five minutes after the train has left, you can feel certain that another train will be up in less than five minutes. The stations are very large and commodious, and civility to passengers is strictly enjoined upon all servants of the Company. I do not think that any one who travels by our underground railway is likely to be disappointed with it. The General Manager looks to me very much like an American—at any rate he has all the shrewdness and quickness of an American in his face. He is about the line, or poring over papers relating to its management, from morning till night, and the present state of the Company is acknowledged to be a striking proof of the efficiency of his services. L.

SPAIN.

The Reported Attack of Spanish Republicans on Americans Denied.

From an Occasional Correspondent.

GIBRALTAR, Thursday, Jan. 21, 1869.

We have seen in the *London Times* of Jan. 5 a letter from their Madrid correspondent concerning the "siege of Malaga," which contains statements which are entirely contrary to the truth, and we write this to inform all concerned that neither Government nor Republican soldiers fired upon American ladies, or intended to insult them or their flag in any way.

We were in Malaga through all that terrible week, and knew that it was bad enough without exaggerating any detail.

On our way from Seville we fell in company with the Government soldiers, who had been sent to teach "order and discipline" to the somewhat turbulent Republicans of Malaga. At Seville the militia had been requested to give up their arms, with the same authority and the same threats as at Cadiz, and they complied. At Malaga they refused, and the consequences were what had been predicted. Many were wounded, many were slain and many were made prisoners.

We are sorry to say that it was true that the American Consul was out of town, and not very far off. The military authorities maintain that they gave notice to the officials of every Government twenty-four hours before the bombardment commenced. Whether this is true or not, we do not know. But we know that neither English nor American citizens were informed by anything but grapeshot that there was any danger for them, and they had been many times assured that everything would be settled without a fight.

The correspondent of the London *Times* says that American ladies were fired upon by Republican soldiers while on their way to an American gunboat lying in the harbor.

The gunboat *Swatara*, under the command of Capt. BLAKE, had been sent to the coast of Spain to protect American citizens and property, and we were assured by the officers that they had requested the Consul to be sure and inform them in season of any trouble, in order that they might be prompt in offering protection and assistance. The instant that notice was given them, an officer was sent with the American flag to accompany any who should wish to avail themselves of it to the gunboat. On the way some random shots caused them great fear, if not danger; but they were not from Republican guns, and were not intentional. This would have been an insult to the American flag which, we think, the "greatest naval Power in the world" would not have ventured. An explanation was immediately demanded by Capt. BLAKE, who immediately received a satisfactory, written apology, or there might have been a battle on the water as well as on land.

Three ladies thought it would be less dangerous to remain in their hotel under such circumstances than to go through the streets. Within sight of our windows was a barricade, and the click of the hammer and pickax was within the hearing of our ears as they constructed it. It was attacked about noon and made an obstinate resistance, but it must yield necessarily to a force ten times its own. The bullets rained thick and fast during three hours, and then all was still. Now, we thought, there is no more danger.

We had taken refuge in the cellar, and our courier came every half hour to bring us intelligence concerning all that was going on. The hotel opposite us was taken forcible possession of by the Government troops. When they appeared at the windows, with all the mattresses and feather beds in the house for defenses, the Republicans fired upon them, and several lodgers were wounded and two or three killed. A flag displayed upon the balcony had prevented such an intrusion in the house where we were, and there was no appearance of mobocracy in the streets. The Republicans were not disciplined and officered as were their opponents, but they were quite as civilized and gentlemanly.

We had returned to the upper rooms, but not to those which looked upon the street, when a ball whizzed over our heads and lodged in the wall, having passed through a thick wooden shutter and a heavy door on the way. We heard the glass crashing in every direction, the cannon boomed again, and we confess we began to be seized with terror. We were not harmed, but it seems to us a miracle that we escaped.

When all was finished we learned that Government officers ordered the men to fire into our rooms, merely to ascertain if there was any one to answer in the same fashion, and until they were maddened by defeat no wanton cruelty was committed by the populace.

We did not hear that any American or Englishman was injured, in person, though some were in their property. English citizens received no protection from their country or officials. There was no British gunboat in the Mediterranean. Telegrams were dispatched to Gibraltar, praying for something, but they were not heeded. The English Consul did not give notice even at the eleventh hour, as did the American, and paid no attention whatever to anybody's complaints. One or two availed themselves of the American flag and American ship for protection, and the rest trembled during the battle, and have uttered fearful imprecations ever since against a Government so indifferent to its duty and so neglectful of its subjects.

Many fearful crimes were committed by the soldiers sent to "chastise a mob,"—too fearful to be related—and during the night after the contest, some of them were assassinated by the disappointed and desperate Republicans. Some zealous young men at our *table d'hôte* were converted to the Republican side by the reckless injustice committed on the other, and we venture to say there was not a single conversion *vice versa*. So far as we could learn, the Government had no friends in the city, among the people or strangers.

We have traveled from St. Sebastian to Malaga, and heard nothing but curses against everything monarchical, and in every place where we have met citizens or soldiers, we have experienced, not only the ordinary attention shown to strangers by all civilized people, but a respect and deference amounting almost to homage, when we said "We are Republicans from the United States of America." It has happened to us often in several cities to be looked upon rather coldly and suspiciously, till we made known our nativity, and the more emphatically we pronounced our Republican principles, the more cordially were we salated.

At Malaga we went to visit the Cathedral, and found it surrounded by soldiers. The sentinal said there was no admittance. We requested our interpreter to say that we were Americans. When they heard this the gates were thrown wide open and we were respectfully saluted.

In the church a group of officers again challenged us, and our reply obtained for us such a reception as might have gratified a queen jealous of the homage of her people. We were gratified by the certainty of being understood, which was afforded by the question, "You are from the land of WASHINGTON and LINCOLN?" When we said "Yes," very proudly, they doffed their caps, and bowed to the ground. They were curious to hear something about our great Republic, and we told them as much as we could in the short time we had, and began with saying that when the Army offered WASHINGTON a crown, he refused it, and finished by saying that we would hope and pray that they might never again be cursed with king or queen, or fall into the power of an ecclesiastical hierarchy.

They cried "*Bueno*," and one said he regretted that his duties prevented his paying us the attention he would like, which we should have accepted as for our country and not for ourselves. Afterward we were often recognized, and experienced always the same manifestations of regard, entirely because we came from the land of liberty. We were warned against the "ferocious and reckless Malagueñas" and the bandits of the mountains, but we believe our *consign* would procure us protection even from what they call brigands.

There are a few priests who still officiate in the churches, but there are very few worshipers, and the Spanish national priests is not less terrible than against kings.

Those who think the people of Spain do not understand what they want, and what they are determined to have, are mistaken. One thing they realize to the full, that never before could they say what they thought upon any subject connected with religion or politics, and now they enjoy a degree of liberty. Government armies may crush them here and there for a few days or weeks, and Jesuits may intrigue to ensnare them, but never for any length of time will they again wear the chains. Those who are forging them will find to their cost a terrible struggle when they attempt to put them on.

PERU.

A Woman's Perils During the Earthquakes—Yellow Fever and Sanitary Reforms.

From Our Own Correspondent.

LIMA, Peru, Thursday, Jan. 14, 1869.

Mrs. DAVIDSON, one of the sufferers of the terrible earthquakes of Aug. 13, died in Valparaiso on the 10th inst. Her escape was a perfect miracle, and after innumerable escapes and sufferings, she has at last given up to the shock upon her nervous system. She was a wealthy widow lady, living in Arica with her only son, and after the first heavy shock, her son, a fine, estimable young man, rushed home, through the falling ruins, to find his mother partially buried under the beams and timbers of her fallen house. After frantic efforts to extricate her, and being unable to do so alone and unaided, he vainly tried to find some one to help him release her; but at such a time help from others is almost impossible, every one seeking their own and their friends' safety. At last he found some kind gentlemen, and by their aid, and after incredible labor, his mother was released from the fallen ruins, but so bruised and injured that she was nearly unconscious and totally unable to stand or rise. While seeking again for some person to aid him in removing her to a greater place of safety—as the second shock had compelled the kind men helping him to flee to secure the safety of their own families—he was obliged to wander away to a distant part of the town, and as he was returning with help the cruel sea came in, and he saw his mother washed out to sea before his very eyes, clinging to a door upon which he had laid her. But as she lay extended upon it, it kept her afloat, and after two hours she was washed ashore upon a small island. Here she lost all consciousness, but recovered her senses to find herself again floated off to sea, after about an hour's refuge on the island. Her son had miraculously kept her in sight, watching her tossing among the breakers, even amid the convulsions around him, and he now succeeded in getting a boat sent off to her from the Peruvian corvette *America*. By the time the boat picked her up, another tidal wave had filled the boat half full of water, and there was great danger of being swamped. Mrs. DAVIDSON had been in the water nearly nine hours, and the men finding the sea running so high, said they could not return to the ship, and, as their only salvation, put the boat out to sea and waited and watched for the daylight. At 8 o'clock the next morning they landed at Arica, to find the corvette ashore and the whole place in ruins. They brought Mrs. DAVIDSON ashore, however, and for three days longer she lay exposed to the scorching rays of the sun and the cold night dews, without any shelter or covering except a small blanket that her son secured for her. Her property was all destroyed, and yet this brave woman kept up, and was cheerful and confident. Her son made an unavailing effort to get her to Valparaiso, by the first mail steamer, but in the crush and flight of the fleeing people it was an impossibility. At last, on the 27th of September, she reached Valparaiso by the steamer *Esmeralda*, and every care and attention were bestowed upon the unfortunate lady. But she sank under the shock and attendant suffering, and died on the 10th, as above stated. If any son deserves eulogy, young DAVIDSON does. So attached was he to his mother that many predict that he will not long survive her. It seems hardly credible that a frail woman could endure such suffering.

YELLOW FEVER.

The yellow fever is reported in Callao, and several Captains of ships lying in the bay are quite sick. This has so alarmed the timid, that people are leaving for home in all directions. The Captains of some ships have decided to proceed home by the steamer of to-day, leaving their first officer to convey their ships to the islands for guano, and to the port of discharge, where they will rejoin them. This is a purely frightened movement, as the sickness among foreigners is not yet yellow fever, but more a bilious gastric illness, caused by the heat of Summer and the change of climate, fruits, water, &c. However, if any one is so nervous as to fear the fever, it is much better to leave here, as it assuredly will come, and such a person is the soonest a victim. Lima has not had a case of fever reported, nor did it feel the shock of the last earthquake. As Pesaqua the fever seems greatly on the increase, and as the authorities are sure that the contagion was not brought there by ships or by foreign emigrants, it is decided that this terrible scourge has arisen from the decomposing remains of human life and the decay of animal and vegetable matter destroyed by the last earthquake of Aug. 13. In view of its probable coming here and to Callao the present Summer, the Municipality and Health Officers have passed a new law, which they are already carrying into active operation, and which, I am quite sure, will prevent the fever from becoming the fatal scourge that it was last Summer in this and adjoining towns.

The law is this: That an appointed committee of not less than three persons shall personally visit and inspect every house, yard, *calle-hous*, (or alley where the poor live,) street and premises of Lima and Callao, reporting upon all uncleanliness, filth and deposit that may be found, and after such report and notification to the parties owning or occupying the place or premises, if the accumulation of dirt be not removed within twenty-four hours, the person or persons are liable to a heavy fine, imprisonment for a number of days, and (according to their station and means) still more rigorous treatment. This means will bring to light some of the accumulating dirt and filth of years in those wretched Cholo hovels, and cannot but have a beneficial effect on the coming pestilence. The lower classes have now no other refuge, but are compelled to clean up their filthy dwellings.

THE FINANCES.

In the Finance Department affairs are in a most lamentable condition. The guano consignees have dictated terms to the Government of an increase of two pts cent., and a prorogation for three years and exchange of five notes to the pound sterling. Government must eventually yield to these terms. MOSQUERA, the Grand General is very quiet. If he has plans to develop, which is doubtful at present, it is difficult to find them out. He has as yet done nothing worthy of note.

ATTEMPTED REVOLUTION.

The professional revolutionists, COL. MASUR and Col. ATHEL, attempted an insurrection on the 1st of December, and excused themselves by the plea that there was an unfair division of the aid sent to the sufferers by the earthquake, and that there was no advising with them as to the distribution of funds and supplies. There has been so much revolutionary feeling at the South, and the warlike spirit looks so threatening, that a large company of Celadores was dispatched in the steamer to keep the peace if possible. But although Arequipa, the very hot-bed of rebellion, has been laid in ruins, yet it is quite as turbulent and traitorous as ever, and although the male portion of the inhabitants have not yet provided themselves with homes, they have managed to secure plenty of firearms and ammunition. No Government can long last under such treachery, and it will ultimately be broken up. Between Congress and the Revolutionists, President BALTA must eventually succumb and give up the contest.

AN EARTHQUAKE SHOCK.

The 6th of January, (Wednesday,) at about 11 A. M., there was a violent shock of an earthquake in Callao, lasting but a second, but of great force and violence. Of course all the people rushed precipitously into the open street, and were greatly alarmed. At 11 the same night there was another slight shock.

THE RACES.

The 6th was a great feast day—the day of the *Reyes de Enero*. The races at the track in Callao, were very fine and attended by special trains from Lima, and quite as large a crowd as upon the national anniversary, 28th of July. Despite earthquakes, the people will attend races, bull fights and the like. The English population are, however, the best patrons of the turf here. There is nothing further to communicate. My letter having already exceeded its limits considerably, X. Y. Z.

AFFAIRS IN GEORGIA.

Treasurer Angier's Charge Against Gov. Bullock—The Facts of the Case—The Alleged Kuklux Raid on the Georgia Railroad—A Pure Invention.

From Our Own Correspondent.

ATLANTA, Ga., Sunday, Jan. 31, 1869.

The negro, reconstruction, retrenchment, Mr. HILL's failure to get his seat in the Senate, Jo. BROWN's decision that relief is constitutional, and almost the price of cotton, have been ignored or forgotten during the past week, and nothing said, done, or thought about anything but Treasurer ANGIER's report to the Legislature, alleging fraudulent use by Gov. BULLOCK of $85,000 of the public money. The Governor's explanation accusing ANGIER of conspiracy, and ANGIER's rejoinder, reiterating and sustaining his grave charge against the Executive of Georgia, and denying any motive but a desire to discharge his official duty, to guard the public treasury, not against moth and rust, but the other thing.

The facts are that several hundred thousand dollars' worth of the bonds of the State of Georgia have been hypothecated with the Fourth National Bank, of New-York, for the purpose of raising the wind to get money to pay the interest upon the debt, provide for the current expenses of the Government, and "for other purposes." On these bonds several sums of money have been advanced from time to time by the New-York bank, and duly paid into the State Treasury. When the Treasurer was preparing his regular report for the Legislature, he asked for and received an account from the bank, and finding in it drafts by Gov. BULLOCK, as Governor of Georgia, for $85,000, of which there was no account in the Treasurer's Office, he went at once to New-York, and found that $85,000 had been drawn by the Governor, payable to his own order, and $10,000 payable to the order of Messrs. KIMBALL, a building firm, who have recently finished and fitted up for the use of the Legislature and State Government a roofless building intended for an "opera house." ANGIER gives chapter and verse for all this. The copies of the drafts, signed as Governor of Georgia and ordering the money to be charged to the State, are produced, and ANGIER states that they were not drawn in pursuance of an appropriation and on warrant duly authorized by law, but quite the reverse.

The Messrs. KIMBALL were not public officers. They were not even Government contractors. They had no claim upon the Government in any shape. They are simply enterprising speculators, (they are the sleeping-car KIMBALLS,) who, when the Convention moved the capital to this city, and the city authorities agreed to provide suitable accommodations for the State Government, bought on credit a mass of bricks and mortar, the property of a bankrupt stock company, and having made an agreement with the Municipal Government of Atlanta, fitted it up with great promptness and skill, and are to receive therefor annually $10,000.

The Governor admits the loan or advance of the money to the KIMBALLS, with a view to enable them to complete the buildings in time for the legislative session; but here the questions arise, where is the appropriation to pay KIMBALLS anything, and where is the warrant?

There are all sorts of rumors current, but as the Finance Committee keep their own counsels, nobody knows what will be done until they report, and the matter comes up for legislative action. All parties agree, both friends and foes of Gov. BULLOCK, that he has violated the law by drawing the money of the State on his own authority, no matter how good the purpose to which he may have applied it, and if the principle were once admitted that the Governor could draw $85,000 without a legislative appropriation or legal warrant, there is nothing to prevent his drawing $800,000 or $8,000,000.

The story which is universally believed is, that Mr. BULLOCK is interested in the Kimball opera house enterprise, and used the money of the State to insure its completion, expecting that the KIMBALLS would pay up before the Legislature met, and thus no harm would be done. Of course BULLOCK's opponents talk of him very harshly, othough nothing has been said or done in the political Legislature which indicates vindictive feeling. The leading Democrats are determined that politics shall not be mixed up with the investigation or be allowed to influence in the least the action of the General Assembly. BULLOCK has no party following. Very few of the Republicans support him. Nearly all of them, certainly the leaders, denounce his memorial to Congress more bitterly than the Democrats, and charge him openly with having adopted that course in order to have himself and BLODGETT sent to the Senate by a test oath and negro Legislature. The relations between BULLOCK and Gen. MEADE are the reverse of cordial. BULLOCK attacked MEADE in his Albion speech, and attributed the alleged failure of reconstruction in Georgia to Gen. MEADE's violation of the law. Of course, there are no relations of any sort between BULLOCK and the Democrats, and even in the city, among private citizens, there is no disposition to make his official *séjour* agreeable. He, therefore, is called on to meet a very grave charge affecting his personal integrity and official duty, without a party or friends to sustain him.

ANGIER, on the other hand, stands high as a man of integrity and truth. He is an uncompromising Republican, a staunch adherent of Gen. GRANT, a leading member of the Constitutional Convention, and a Union man of spotless loyalty. He did not approve of BULLOCK's memorial, nor of his recommendations; but were he ten times more bitterly opposed to the Governor's political course than he is, nobody who knows him would believe that he would prefer a baseless charge, or even push one vindictively for any political or personal cause of difference.

I cannot believe, however, that Gov. BULLOCK has committed an intentional fraud. He may not be aware of the legal restrictions to the drawing of public money, and may have violated the law in fact though not in intention. As he has only turned his attention to politics since "things got mixed up," he may believe that Governors can do many things which the law prohibits.

I hope he will be able to show that he did not appropriate any portion of the $85,000 to his own private use, that he had no business connection with the KIMBALLS, and that he gave them the drafts, believing that he had a right to give them and with a view to providing for the comfort of the State Government. If he can do this to the satisfaction of the Legislature he may be rebuked for his temerity, but nothing more will be done. The people do not want "scandal" of any kind. They do not like BULLOCK, it is true, but they do not wish for any excitement.

We may confidently expect a surrejoinder from BULLOCK to the rejoinder of ANGIER, and, like the beetle in a roll, a rebutter from ANGIER and a surrebutter from BULLOCK. ANGIER has the inside track thus far. Those drafts are unspeakable witnesses, and like the "damned spots" on the hand of *Lady Macbeth*, cannot be effaced. His is implacable now, having ceased, and corrupt motives attributed to him, therefore he will hold his ground and not yield an inch to threats or entreaties. His reply to Gov. BULLOCK's explanation is considered very objectionable both in manner and matter. Were he called on to write a political "card" for a newspaper it would do very well, but as a communication from a high public officer to a Committee of the Legislature on a subject of the gravest character, ought to have been free from the flings, sneers and innuendoes with which Mr. ANGIER's letter abounds. His recent earnestness to make it appear that Gov. BULLOCK stole $85,000, injures his case, and makes the people attribute to him unworthy motives. Mr. FORNEY's special telegram of the Kuklux descent on the Georgia Railroad at Barnet, like many other things in "my two papers, both daily," is wholly destitute of foundation. There is not one tittle of truth in it. It was entirely a flight of imagination. In August last the Tribune discovered the K. K. K. at Jersey City. "My two papers," not to be outdone, now uncoil them in the smallest of all small villages, Barnet, composed of one small store and dwelling and the depot. There was not even a delay of a minute on the train the night of the alleged Kuklux assault.

If the men who invent and dispatch these willful falsehoods have no self-respect or decency, there ought to be some way of protecting the public against them. QUONDAM.

TEXAS AND THE TEXANS.

The Perplexities and Hardships of Red River Navigation—Leading a Steamboat Through the Woods—Texas Teamsters—General Aspect of the Texas and Country—Texans and Immigrants—Their Characteristic Traits.

From an Occasional Correspondent.

PARIS, Texas, Wednesday, Jan. 20, 1869.

If any one wants to study navigation under difficulties, let him take a trip up Red River at low water. A more crooked river could not be made with the same materials; beside which, it is narrow, and so head with sandbars as to seem shallow. The water is wholly opaque, like that of the Mississippi, and so brackish, that to avoid drinking it, the boatmen carry the diluted mud of the latter with them in barrels. It winds through a flat country, the red crumbling soil of which colors the water, and washes away during high floods, so that every year the river finds itself in a new channel in some part of its course.

But it needs all the discipline of a course of Red River navigation to prepare one to appreciate that of the lakes between Shreveport and Jefferson. On the map the chain of lakes is misrepresented by a roundish spot labeled "Caddo Lake." There are four or five of these lakes, of shapes so irregular that it is no wonder the mapmakers gave them up in despair. These are connected by narrow bayous, and are everywhere full of standing trees or stumps, living or dead. The older inhabitants remember this region as a forest hunting-ground. The damming of the river by the raft above, has turned much of its water in this direction, and overflowed the land hereabouts. It must always have been moist land, as the standing timber is all cypress—a tree delighting in mud. But it was not all swamp, since trunks of pines, in excellent preservation, are found at low water.

Ordinary Red River steamers cannot navigate these lakes of the woods, which have a craft on purpose for them. At high water there is no trouble, the boats going over the stumps without danger; but in ordinary stages the little steamers are wriggled through invisible channels by a sort of jugglery bordering on magic. You stand on deck and watch the process, but never can guess into which of the impassable creeks the boat will turn. If you venture a guess you will be sure to guess wrong. They always go just where you know they cannot. The ways are so narrow and the turns so short that the boats are guided sometimes for miles by a "head-line." This is a rope, one end of which is carried by men in a skiff, and attached to various trees and stumps, the other end passing through spaces forward, and fastened to the capstan, which is then turned by the engine, until winding up the line turns the boat in the right direction. As soon as it gets fairly headed in the new way, you will see the rope hitched to another tree and the vessel turned again.

A Mississippian, after curiously watching the operation for a long time, exclaimed, "Well! this is the first time I was ever on a steamboat where they had to send a nigger on ahead to lead it through the woods!"

TEXAN TEAMSTERS.

A hundred miles of lakes and bayous brings the craft to Jefferson, in Texas, at the head of navigation. This is a new town, mostly grown up since the war, but it is now the market-town for hundreds of miles of country. The streets are unpaved and muddy, but near the landing every where thronged with cotton-wagons. These are the most picturesque objects seen in this region. They are heavy, canvas-covered wagons, drawn by two, four or six horses or mules, or by the same or a greater number of oxen. The loads seem to average one bale per head for the team. Some of these wagons come 300 miles, at the rate of from 12 to 15 miles a day, returning with as heavy a load of merchandise. The teamsters carry their provisions with them, and encamp where night finds them. While going through the woods, in cold weather, they build great fires at night. In fine weather these camp fires seldom go out, new parties occupying the place of those who have gone on. Here they encamp in groups, baking their bread and cooking their meat, and making their coffee, and roasting their sweet potatoes in the ashes. They are very sociable and friendly, always speaking on the road as freely to strangers as to acquaintance, and to women as well as to men, as a matter of courtesy. Overtaking a strange lady on foot they invite her to ride, and she does nothing amiss to accept the invitation.

Judged by other teamsters these men are the reverse of cruel, though measured by a higher standard they might be found wanting. One fact which sweeps away the chief occasion of cruelty, among people who esteem time as of value, is they are never in any haste, and so do not hurry their teams. They are the most leisurely set of men in America. They get up before day and refresh their fire, and hover over it for awhile. Then they feed their cattle, and come back and caress the fire; and then slowly, one at a time, fetch out their cooking utensils and begin their breakfast preparations, all in the most moderate manner, so that often the sun is up before they get off. Many of them go to sleep so much intoxicated as to prolong their slumbers till sunrise the next morning. They all go armed, like the rest of the Texans, and disputes about the right of way are frequent and often sanguinary. Many of the animals are unshod, but seem to suffer no inconvenience from it, as the soil is soft and free from stones.

GENERAL ASPECT OF THE COUNTRY.

For twenty miles west of Jefferson the woods are oak and pine, often wholly pine, and the land a meagre red loam. In many places fine beds of white potter's clay peep through the mould. West of this, the number of pines diminishes and the quality of the land improves, the color growing darker, until on reaching the prairie the loam is of the same black hue and ashen texture as the prairies of Illinois. The villages are thirty or forty miles from each other, and the farms between them far apart. The habitations are mostly of the poorest description. Many of them have nothing like windows, while others have holes cut in the log walls, and rudely boarded up in Winter, or closed with the roughest shutters, but wholly innocent of sash or glass. The door is left open to admit light. Open wood fires are universal, and stick chimneys very common. The walls are not cattight, and toward the roof they often forget to fill the chinks between the logs at all. It is impossible to keep comfortable in one of these houses on a cold day. There are better dwellings in the villages, and there are a very few mansions on this road. Two of them would be considered handsome elsewhere.

The general aspect of the country is like Southern Illinois—so like it at this season that if I had been dropped here unawares, I should have supposed myself there. But the great flocks of wild geese feeding on the prairies would soon correct [illegible] grain are pouring into the State so rapidly, the very limited means of transportation will allow. But Texas could hold half the present population of the United States without being more crowded than Massachusetts. There are not enough now to make the game birds fly. Although the road is so constantly traveled—often literally whitened with cotton wagons—whole flocks of geese and quail flew close by us, and prairie hens would rise and fly a few yards and settle again. A gray eagle perched unconcernedly over our heads when we were watering the horses, and waited for the second pistol shot to be fired at him before he flew lazily across the pond and alighted again. A red-tailed buzzard sat on a fence stake until we were near enough to see his eyes before getting out of the way. Innumerable swarms of blackbirds, which might have been the very flocks I saw leaving New-Jersey two months ago, scarcely took the trouble to fly up before us, but hopped to one side, or flew a grasshopper's flight out of the road, and went to picking seeds again. Deer and turkeys are common, although not quite so tame.

The migration of birds follows the same law here as in higher latitudes. When the cross-bills and snow-birds come down from British America, to take the place of the robins and larks in New-York, robins and larks here replace snowbirds and parakeets gone to Winter in Mexico.

TEMPERANCE AND NATIVES.

Almost all the inhabitants here came from somewhere else. I have conversed with less than half a dozen full-grown native Texans, and if they were fair samples it is a pity there are not more of them. They are decidedly superior to most of the immigrants. The latter hail from every where, but chiefly from the older Southern States—people impoverished by war and anxious for change. Four-fifths of the women, white or black, habitually chew sticks into little brushes, and, dipping these in snuff, vary the usual mode of chewing tobacco. Failing of snuff, they dry and pulverize common chewing tobacco and use it. The variation then consists solely in the stick. The usual expectorations follow. Men and women both smoke incontinently, and drum-drinking is nearly as common with one sex as the other. Many of these women are painfully helpless, from long habits of being waited upon, but they exhibit shrewd and ready talents for adapting themselves to their changed circumstances, and learn readily to help themselves. A generous infusion of Northern energy and thrift among the slip-shod customs of these people, during their present transition state, would make them among the richest people in the world within thirty years. They feel the need of it themselves, but generally hate "the Yankees" too cordially to welcome their help just now. Besides, it is problematic if even Yankee energy would survive the enervating influence of this climate for any great length of time.

The hopes of the people of this prosperity lies in what they think their great calamity—the necessity of working. They must work or starve, and they have gone to work. With labor will come skill, and perhaps thrift. There is no excuse for abject poverty in such a country as this, in ordinary times. With general industry must come general well-being, and they are on the highway to a more substantial state of competence than ever before.

To help them now to achieve these desirable results, they stand in the greatest need of free communication with the rest of the world. The country is naturally very attractive, but is so much unknown. Half a dozen lines of railroad through it would soon make Texas one of the richest and then, perhaps, one of the best contented of States. And, as I heard an Immigrant Alabamian say the other day, "the Yankees will have to build them, for you know we never do." The people are very anxious for the building of the Memphis and El Paso Road, and are hoping that Gen. GRANT will favor it. Somebody said he would. If he should, it would make him plenty of friends here, whatever it might do elsewhere. Such a method of pacification would be very popular here.

With open communication with the rest of the world, Texas might, in time, learn how to be comfortable. They do not know much about it now. Comfort and economy are lessons for the future. They are becoming conscious of waste and discomfort, but have not yet learned the better way. E. C. W.

SOUTH CAROLINA.

Capital Punishment and Common Schools in South Carolina—Legislative Trifling—Illiterate Magistrates—Cotton—Governor's Fortune—Gold.

From Our Own Correspondent.

COLUMBIA, S. C., Friday, Feb. 5, 1869.

The two points that attract most attention in the Legislature of this State are the criminal code, in reference to capital punishment, and educational matters. Neither bill has reached the stage of an act yet; and hence its as premature to make extended notice of them. The probable event of so much discussion on the criminal code will be to abolish the death penalty for everything except murder or homicide in the first degree. The educational schemes promise to result in liberal patronage of the State University, and the establishment of an extensive as well as expensive system of public schools throughout the State.

An interesting, though slightly exceptional specimen of legislating occurred in the House of Representatives some days ago. The important bill to amend and alter the criminal law was discussed four days in Committee of the Whole, and reported to the House, with recommendation that it pass. When the bill came up for a third reading, the first section—limiting the death penalty to willful murder—passed by a majority of twenty-two. At this point the enemies of the bill, under the leadership of DE LARGE, (mulatto,) commenced their killing-off process. DE LARGE moved to recommit the remaining portion of the bill to the Judiciary Committee. PURVIS (mulatto) moved to lay DE LARGE's motion on the table, and a friend to the latter called for the yeas and nays, which were called. When the clerk reached the name of DE LARGE, that member asked leave to explain his vote, and demanded the yeas and nays. While the yeas and nays on this point were being called, BISHOP (white) asked to be excused from voting; and on this question HYDE (white) called for the yeas and nays. During this calling of the roll, JENKS (white) asked leave to introduce a new resolution, but the Chair ruled the resolution to be out of order. DENNIS (white) moved, on behalf of JENKS, to suspend the rules, and demanded the yeas and nays upon the question. BISHOP then moved to adjourn, and demanded the yeas and nays, and when his own name was called he asked to be excused from voting, and on this question DE LARGE called for the yeas and nays. During this call DEMARS (white) also asked to be excused from voting, and called for the yeas and nays upon the question. While this vote was being taken, DE LARGE moved to adjourn, and called for the yeas and nays. During this call W. H. JONES (mulatto) asked to be excused from voting, and the yeas and nays were again called, resulting in a vote of 43 yeas, 24 nays, and 58 absent or not voting. The motion to adjourn thus came up, and the yeas and nays, which had been demanded, were called, resulting in precisely the same vote as upon the excuse of W. H. JONES above. Accordingly the House adjourned, after about three hours of such proceedings as the above.

LITERATURE AND LEGISLATION.

From the manner in which the following document reaches the public, I have no doubt at all as to its authenticity. It is a letter written by a member of the Legislature, who is also a Magistrate by recent appointment of the Governor. His name is JUBE MOBLEY, and his residence Union County. He is a mulatto of intelligence, like that of many others near him. I give the note, which is dated Jan 5, 1869, and addressed to another Magistrate:

DEAR SIR: Access I desire you Orl to have these parrys to carer be fore you tiow thes capt out the house I see this to have A contrack from the lady and if this is true you cant put them out. J. S. MOBLEY.

COTTON.

The high price of cotton is having the effect of putting a great many small planters to raising this staple the present year upon a larger scale than last; and it is probable that if the conditions be equally favorable, the yield of 1869 will be larger than that of 1868 was. The crop of 1868 in South Carolina was 150,000 bales. A good crop for 1869 would doubtless run up these figures to 200,000 in 1869. This mania for cotton prevails mainly in the upper portions of the State. But thrift in general has taken strong hold upon our whole people.

EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY.

Gov. SCOTT has made quite a number of pardons and commutations of punishments in the cases of penitentiary convicts, within the last few weeks.

WEATHER.

For over four weeks the mild and fractious weather of April has been prevailing here, mostly so. A change has come. Yesterday it became cold, and to-day there is a severe frost, and ice to be seen here and there. No snow since a matter of January, and hardly enough. SOUTH.

[illegible] had started his Indiana life by teaching here, of course this was just what we wanted to hear about, and were soon gratified. As near as we can remember, the Senator's account of the period of his life spent about here was as follows:

"Lawrenceburg!"—says he, "I never came so near starving to death anywhere in my life as I did in that town of Lawrenceburg. It was in the year 1828—I was just out of college a few years old. A young man named Hornbrook and I had started out together to seek our fortunes in the West. We had footed it part of the way, and came as deck passengers on a steamer to the Lawrenceburg wharf. We were both flat broke, but rich with conceit. We shouldered our little trunks and carried them up to Hunt's Hotel." (Here Mr. FRATT stopped to inquire kindly about Mr. HUNT and his wife, and sons JOHN and ED. Gen. BROCKNER here also informed him of the gratification of his old friend, Judge DUNN, at hearing of his election to the Senatorship.) "Well," continued Mr. FRATT, "HOLMES and I, knowing little more than we conceited to advertise for a school, which we did. It was to be a select school. The opening morning came and with it three little urchins. After waiting an hour or two, HOLMES took up his hat and left in disgust, and that was the last I saw of him. He went somewhere to the country and got a good school. Well, the next morning I had five scholars, and the number began to increase until I had twelve or thirteen. I weathered it along as best I could. CLARKSON was then publishing a paper in Lawrenceburg. He found out I could write a little, and he proposed to pay me for writing for him. He'd tell me who to pitch into, and I'd go for them, not knowing anything about the matter except as he would tell me. I remember very distinctly about his telling me to pitch into WALTER ARMSTRONG. I did so, writing as strong an article as I could. It was published. The next time I met CLARKSON he had his head tied up. I asked him what was the matter. "O," says he, "that article." Armstrong's son IRVING had pitched into him and given him a beating. "Well, there was to be a school exhibition at the seminary at Rising Sun, and I concluded to go down." (Here Mr. PHILLIPS gave a very laughable account of his labors preparing for his Sunday out, so as to look respectable.) "I was treated kindly, invited out to dinner and to Col. PARKER's to tea. I suppose he had been appointed a committee to examine me as to my qualifications. He asked me all about where I had been to school, and what studies I had been pursuing, and seemed pleased with my acquaintance. In the evening I was invited to meet the Trustees of the seminary. The meeting was in an old warehouse, and the people sat around on barrels. It was formally announced to me that I had been elected as Principal of the Seminary. I think that was the only time in my life that I ever exhibited any feeling of dignity. My heart was in my mouth at the idea of losing the situation. I had the audacity to inquire about the terms, manner of payment, &c., so as to put on an air of feeling undecided as to whether I should accept their proposal or not. I of course accepted. I here first met FRANCIS JAMES, whose daughter I afterward married. Well, this was the first great triumph of my life. Well do I remember when, on my borrowed horse, and far enough away from Rising Sun on my way back to Lawrenceburg to be out of hearing, how I raised in my stirrups, swung my hat and shouted at the top of my voice, in the full exuberance of my joy. Never since have I felt so happy. The feeling on receiving the news of my election to the Senate was nothing to it. Well, I returned to Lawrenceburg, and in good faith finished my engagement with my little school there. I had been boarding with HARRIS FITCH. He was a good man." Here Mr. FRATT took occasion to inquire kindly about Mrs. FITCH and Mr. WILSON, and was pained to learn that they were both dead. He also inquired kindly about their families. "When I got through with my school," he continued, "I found myself indebted to Mr. FITCH in quite a little sum. I scarcely knew what to do. I had a little mass of wealth in the shape of my school-books. I piled them upon my table and called Mr. FITCH into my room. I told him that I had deceived him—that I owed him and had nothing to pay him with—that I had obtained a good situation, and all I could do was to leave my books with him until I was able to pay him. He always thought I detected a little moisture in his eyes as he patted me on the back and said: 'You're all right—you'll pay me when you get the money—I don't want your books.' So I went to Rising Sun, and sure enough, at the end of the first quarter I was able to pay Mr. FITCH what I owed him; but the kindness of himself and family I will never forget."

The relation of the narrative was often interrupted by remarks of Mr. PHILLIPS and others not relative to this subject, and which we have omitted. Among other things, he and Mr. FRATT made an interesting exhibition of drafts upon their memory of Greek and Latin quotations from their old studies—each telling of what were the hardest parts for them to remember, and both quoting with great fluency. Our impression, from this interview, was that Mr. FRATT is an honest, clear-headed, large-hearted gentleman, who will be a great honor to his party and to Indiana in the position to which he has been elevated.

ALEX. H. STEPHENS.

His

WASHINGTON.

Gen. Butler's Protest Against the Action of the Senate.

The Stormy Debate of Wednesday Continued in the House.

Speaker Colfax Explains and Justifies His Action.

Speeches of Mr. Conkling and Mr. Morrill on the Currency.

Special Dispatches to the New-York Times.

WASHINGTON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

If the House of Representatives partially forgot its dignity yesterday, it measurably retrieved that error to-day by a debate of the question involved, which, for mastery ability, eloquence and vigor, will well compare with any in the pages of Congressional history. The hot feeling of last night had almost totally disappeared, leaving its traces only here and there. Members who yesterday thought the dignity and privileges of the House had been outraged, had coolly considered the question and had reversed their decision. The conviction that the action of Mr. WADE, rough and almost uncivil as it was, was nevertheless right, gradually forced its way into the minds of members, and it hardly needed the sledge-hammer blows of SHELLABARGER and BINGHAM to convince the House that to follow BUTLER in his demands was to sustain an act of incipient revolution. All day Mr. BUTLER has been losing ground, and to-night when the day's session closed, the debate still unfinished, he was ready for the second time to modify his resolution, which yesterday denounced the action of the Presiding Officer as "a gross act of oppression and an invasion of the rights and privileges of the House." To-day it has been toned down to a simple protest against the manner of counting the vote.

The debate was opened immediately after the reading of the Journal by Mr. SHELLABARGER, who held the floor from last night, and he proceeded to make a very able and conclusive argument on the legal and constitutional status of the case. His first position was that the joint rules of Feb. 5, 1866, were unconstitutional, because they provided for a counting of the electoral vote by separate action of the two Houses, while the Constitution itself expressly declared that it must be done in the presence of the two Houses. He quoted the Commentary of STORY on this defect of the Constitution, it being perfectly apparent that there was a lack of express declaration on the subject. He also quoted the opinion of Chancellor KENT, that the power to open and count the vote was lodged by the Constitution wholly in the hands of the President of the Senate, and that the two Houses were present only as witnesses to see that the proceedings were fair. He then illustrated with great force the great danger of any practice or provision by which either House might separately refuse to count the vote of any State. Such a provision would enable the House of Representatives, if over the contest became as close as it had been, to defeat the election had by the people, and throw the choice into its own hands. Reviewing the concurrent resolution passed to meet the case of Georgia, he said it was to his mind entirely constitutional and eminently proper. He vindicated its wisdom in an able argument, and referred to the precedent established by Congress in 1821, when MONROE was elected, and when a scene in some respects not unlike that of yesterday was enacted.

During the latter portion of Mr. SHELLABARGER's remarks Mr. BUTLER interrupted him, by remarking that if each House could not act separately on receiving the vote of any State, the same result would be reached by the refusal of the House to sit in the Convention.

Mr. SHELLABARGER said that was to suppose what the gentleman must be fully conscious of, that the House of Representatives would be guilty of a bold and deliberate act of revolution. The speech was listened to with great attention. It cleared up the minds of many members as to the right phase of the question, and strengthened the opinion that Mr. SHELLABARGER is the ablest constitutional lawyer in the House.

Mr. WOODWARD, of Pennsylvania, then obtained the floor, but yielded to Hon. FRANCIS THOMAS, of Maryland, who recited the historical precedent of the case of Michigan thirty-three years ago, when he himself was one of the tellers and participated in the counting of the vote. There was doubt in Congress then as to whether Michigan was a State or not, and following the precedent of 1821, a resolution alike in terms to that acted on yesterday, was agreed upon for Michigan. It had received the sanction of the Senate of that day, by a vote of 34 to 9, when such men as CRITTENDEN, CLAY and GRUNDY sat in that body. Those who opposed it at the time, Mr. THOMAS said, were led by Mr. CALHOUN, the mischief-maker of that day. This was a terrible hit at BUTLER.

Mr. WOODWARD and Mr. ELDRIDGE succeeded Gov. THOMAS, in brief arguments against the concurrent resolution, but as it seemed to be a purely Republican fight, they speedily abandoned the field to Mr. BINGHAM, who soon concentrated the attention of the House upon himself, and proceeded to oppose BUTLER's proposition with characteristic fire and eloquence. He analyzed his bearings, commended the firm action of Mr. WADE in enforcing the concurrent resolution, and denounced BUTLER's resolution as anarchy and revolution. He hoped it would be laid on the table, as he expressed it, "there to rot."

Mr. SCHENCK followed, and made an argument in defence of the resolution of BUTLER, during which he arraigned Speaker COLFAX for his action yesterday in ordering the Sergeant-at-Arms to arrest members for disorder, and attributed it to the excitement under which the Speaker labored. Mr. COLFAX, who was on the floor, Mr. DAWES acting as Speaker *pro tem.*, at once replied to the charge in vindication of his action, and showed that what he did was so imperatively required of him by the rules that he would have been liable to impeachment had he not enforced order. He read the provisions of the joint rule on this subject, which expressly provide what the Sergeant-at-Arms of the House shall do under direction of the Speaker. His answer was a complete reply to the imputation of Mr. SCHENCK.

Gen. GARFIELD next got the floor, and defended the action of Mr. WADE in a brief speech, closing by moving to lay the resolutions on the table, but he subsequently withdrew it at the request of friends.

Gen. LOGAN followed, but yielded to BUTLER, who had been chafing for three hours at the merciless manner in which his conduct had been shown up, and his resolutions dissected. He nerved himself for an effort. He at first paid his respects to BINGHAM, making several palpable hits, by accurate allusions to certain rhetorical phrases frequently indulged by Mr. BINGHAM. He then turned to the legal aspect of the case and made a specious argument, defending his position with an apparent consciousness of the weakness, for he closed by offering, as above stated, a second modification of his resolutions.

A vote any time to-day would have laid the matter on the table, and that will probably be the fate to-morrow, when a conclusion will be reached. BUTLER wants it referred to a select committee, himself chairman, that the House, by the light of the able debate of to-day, will scarcely gratify him.

GARRETT DAVIS endeavored to continue the House excitement of yesterday in the Senate to-day by introducing a joint resolution censuring Gen. BUTLER and the representatives who voted with him in the joint Convention yesterday, characterizing their conduct as disreputable and an insult to the American people. There did not appear to be the slightest disposition in the Senate to consider the subject. The reading of the resolution did not occasion a ripple of excitement, and on the objection of Mr. FERRY it went over to-morrow.

THE POSTAL TELEGRAPH SYSTEM.

The Senate Committee on Post Offices and Post Roads, at its meeting this morning, considered the subject of the postal telegraph system, and agreed on some of the important features of Mr. HUBBARD's bill. Another meeting of the Committee will be held on Tuesday next to consider the same subject, and it is very probable that the Committee will recommend the adoption of some plan which will transfer the telegraph business to the Post Office Department. There does not appear to be a very strong inclination in the Committee to recommend an appropriation for the purchase of the lines now in existence, and the granting of subsidies and acts of incorporation to new companies does not meet with much favor.

THE BROOKLYN BRIDGE.

Mr. MORGAN, from the Senate Committee on Commerce, to-day reported the bill providing for the erection of the Brooklyn bridge. The House, it will be remembered, passed the bill without any restrictions whatever. The Senate Committee proposes several important amendments, among others one requiring the Bridge Company to submit plans and specifications to the Secretary of War. The most also be satisfied that the construction of the bridge will not prove any impediment to navigation.

POST OFFICE EMPLOYES.

The House Post Office Committee agreed upon a bill to-day providing that Postmasters, stage drivers and messengers, whose salaries do not exceed $200 per annum shall only be required to take the oath prescribed by act approved March 3, 1863, entitled an act to amend the laws of the Post Office Department.

Dispatches to the Associated Press.

WASHINGTON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

AFFAIRS IN PARAGUAY.

The Secretary of the Navy, to-day, sent to the House, in compliance with resolutions, voluminous correspondence relative to affairs in Paraguay. It appears that on the 4th December last, Admiral DAVIS addressed to President LOPEZ a letter, in which he says:

"It does not belong to me to define or even to consider the status of BLISS and MASTERMAN, but on this subject your Excellency will I do not doubt, repose confidence in the justice and friendship of the United States, which has affected a neutral Government, and my sympathy with its respect and sympathy."

Mr. LOPEZ replied: "These papers were received, dated 'Off the Paraguayan Coast,' and I read very particularly the further: He said he would cheerfully consent to the delivery of the criminals, provided it were represented in a manner more in conformity with the dignity of the Paraguayan Government, and that Waterman, and Bliss, accomplices of Masterman, are his subjects in the character of conspirator and spies against the country of which he is accused in the national tribunals. To this Admiral DAVIS replied that there was no part of his official duty which he felt so ill fitted any better which would affect more keenly sensitive feelings of those two Americans. Again yesterday, the Paraguayan Government sent to Paraguay, to asked the Bliss and Masterman be surrendered as heard as vessel, subject to the decision of the Government of the United States, of whom Bliss and Masterman are citizens. President LOPEZ concluded and the Admiral DAVIS, President LOPEZ concluded and the Admiral DAVIS replied. The correspondence, however, is could not be complied with in the part of the Government of Paraguay towards the United States.

GRANT AND COLFAX.

Senator MORTON, Representatives PRUYN of New-York, and WILSON of Iowa, the Committee appointed to notify Gen. GRANT and Mr. COLFAX of their election, will discharge the duty assigned to them on Saturday morning next. They will visit Gen. GRANT at his headquarters, and Mr. COLFAX at his residence.

THE INAUGURATION BALL.

The Committee on the Inauguration Reception have completed their arrangements. It will be given at the north wing of the United States Treasury building, which, being new and admirably adapted for the purpose, furnishes superior and ample accommodation. Gen. GRANT, Vice-President COLFAX and their families will be present on the occasion. Several bands of music have already been engaged. Supper will be furnished by an accomplished caterer, accessible from the opening to the close of festivities. The tickets are $10 each, which will admit a gentleman and two ladies to the reception, dance and supper. There is already a great demand for them. They can be obtained by letter, or in person. The number to be issued is limited, and may be had on application at the headquarters of the Committee, No. 401 F-street, Washington, D. C.

RECONSTRUCTION MEASURES.

The Reconstruction Committee is exhibiting indications of deciding to recall the Mississippi Convention to make another State Constitution. It is said that the Senate Committee on Judiciary has determined to report in favor of a modification of the Constitution of Virginia, as suggested by the Committee of Nine.

PACIFIC RAILROAD BONDS.

The Government has agreed to issue bonds for forty miles more road to the Union Pacific Railroad Company, receiving a large amount of First Mortgage Bonds for the complete construction heretofore. These bonds are deposited in the New-York Sub-Treasury.

LOUISIANA CONTESTED ELECTION.

The Election Committee of the House considered the Louisiana contested election case to-day. Mr. UPSON, who is in charge of the report, presented its points, which were approved by the majority of the Committee. They are, in effect, that SIMON JONES was not elected, but that Col. MANN (deceased) was elected, and that neither Col. HUNT nor Mr. MENARD, who claims it under the recent election, is entitled to a seat. Fraud and intimidation having invalidated that election. There will be also a minority report soon.

THE ST. LAWRENCE RIVER.

The Committee of Ways and Means has agreed to report the House resolution opening a Conference with the British North American Provinces as to the navigation of the St. Lawrence and as to commercial intercourse, reserving all final action for Congress. The same Committee has also agreed to report a Bill exempting from duty the ocean cable bought for the Collins Russo-American Telegraph, and now in bond.

THE PARDON OF DR. MUDD.

The pardon of Dr. MUDD, one of the conspirators for the assassination of President LINCOLN, sentenced by the Military Commission which tried him for the offence to be confined at hard labor for life at Dry Tortugas, was signed by the President to-day and sent to the State Department, where it will receive the signature of the Secretary of State and the seal of the United States, and then be sent to the commanding officer at the Dry Tortugas, where it will arrive about the middle of next week, and Dr. MUDD will be liberated. The pardon is accompanied by a report of the Attorney-General, made by direction of the President, embracing the history and points of the case and reciting the various considerations moving the Executive to grant the same, which are chiefly that there now appears to be some doubts as to the complicity of said MUDD in the conspiracy, he only rendering aid by attending to the wounds of the chief conspirator; that the medical profession of Harford County, Md., have satisfactorily shown that it was the professional duty of said MUDD, as a physician, to attend BOOTH when called upon, and further, that he was attentive to the sick at the Dry Tortugas during the prevalence of the yellow fever at that post last Summer, saving the lives of many officers and soldiers, besides that of Mrs. SMITH, wife of Surgeon JOSEPH SMITH, United States Army, who himself died of the fever. It further recites that his pardon has been recommended by thirty-nine Senators and members of the House of Representatives, by over three hundred of the officers and soldiers of the Dry Tortugas, and others.

THE SUPREME COURT OF INQUIRY.

The Dyer Court of Inquiry, to-day, completed the examination of Col. BAKER after a long session, and then adjourned until Monday, on the motion of the counsel for the accused, in order to allow the counsel to examine the records of the Ordnance Office.

THE SUPREME COURT.

In the Supreme Court to-day the following cases were heard:

No. 41.—The Board of Supervisors of Lee County, Iowa, plaintiff in error, vs. The United States on the relation of Jacob Rich et al. Motion to dismiss or affirm. Argued by Mr. JOHN A. JAMES for the plaintiff in error, and by Mr. BRISTOW for the defendants in error.

No. 42.—The Board of Supervisors of Cerro Gordo County, Iowa, plaintiff in error, vs. The United States on the relation of Jacob Rich et al. Motion to dismiss or affirm. Argued by Mr. JOHN A. JAMES for the plaintiff in error, and by Mr. BRISTOW for the defendants in error.

No. 43.—The Board of Supervisors of Hancock County, Iowa, plaintiff in error, vs. The United States on the relation of Jacob Rich et al. Motion to dismiss or affirm. Argued by Mr. JOHN A. JAMES for the plaintiff in error, and by Mr. BRISTOW for the defendants in error.

No. 44.—The Board of Supervisors of Wright County, Iowa, plaintiff in error, vs. The United States on the relation of Jacob Rich et al. Motion to dismiss or affirm. Argued by Mr. JOHN A. JAMES for the plaintiff in error, and by Mr. BRISTOW for the defendants in error.

No. 45.—The Board of Supervisors of Humboldt County, Iowa, plaintiff in error, vs. The United States on the relation of Jacob Rich et al. Motion to dismiss or affirm. Argued by Mr. JOHN A. JAMES for the plaintiff in error, and by Mr. BRISTOW for the defendants in error.

No. 46.—The Board of Supervisors of Webster County, Iowa, plaintiff in error, vs. The United States on the relation of Jacob Rich et al. Motion to dismiss or affirm. Argued by Mr. JOHN A. JAMES for the plaintiff in error, and by Mr. BRISTOW for the defendants in error.

No. 38.—The Agnew Wolson Company vs. Jordan. The argument in this patent case was resumed.

FORTIETH CONGRESS.

THIRD SESSION.

SENATE....WASHINGTON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

Mr. POMEROY presented a memorial for equal suffrage, particularly in the District of Columbia.

COMMITTEE REPORTS.

Mr. RAMSEY, from the Committee on Post Offices and Post Roads, reported a joint resolution giving the assent of the United States for the construction of a bridge between Cincinnati, Ohio, and Newport, Ky. Passed.

Also, from same Committee, a bill giving the assent of the United States for the construction of a bridge between New-York and Brooklyn, which, at the suggestion of Mr. MORGAN, was laid on the table.

Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN, from the Committee on the Judiciary, asked to be discharged from the further consideration of the resolution directing the Committee to inquire into the practicability of preventing the discharge of convicted criminals, under Judge UPSHUR WOOD's decision in Virginia, on the ground that the Committee did not think it proper to interfere by legislation with special adjudications of a Court.

Mr. CHANDLER, from the Committee on Commerce, reported favorably the House bill for the repeal of the tonnage duties on Spanish vessels. Also, favorably, the bill appropriating $25,000 for the purchase of additional ground for the Nashville Custom-house. Also, adversely to the House bill to repeal the act of March 2, 1867, regulating the disposition of fines and forfeitures received under the Customs laws, &c.

Mr. MORGAN, from the Committee on Commerce, reported, with amendments, the House bill declaring that the bridge across the East River, between Brooklyn and New-York, shall be a post road when completed, in accordance with the laws of New-York.

The amendment consists of proviso that the bridge shall be so constructed as not to obstruct, impair or injuriously modify the navigation of the river, and that the Secretary of War shall, after full examination of the details, plans, name and the information to be furnished him by the Company, approve the plan and location of the bridge at conforming to the requirements of the act herein named. The bridge shall not be commenced until this approval shall be obtained, and all changes of plan made during the progress of the work should be subject to the same approval.

A RESOLUTION OF CENSURE.

Mr. DAVIS offered the following resolution:

Resolved, &c., That the noisy and disorderly conduct of BENJAMIN F. BUTLER, a Representative from the State of Massachusetts, and other members of the House of Representatives, in the Representative Hall, on the 10th day of February, during the time the President of the Senate, in the presence of the Senate and House of Representatives, was counting the votes of the electors for President and Vice-President of the United States, from the several States, and while said votes were being counted, whereby said business was disturbed and obstructed, is a disreputable act, and Mr. BUTLER and the other Representatives acting with him, have said a wrong and an insult to the people of the United States.

Mr. FERRY—Let it govver.

The resolution accordingly went over under the rule.

PRIVATE RELIEF.

Mr. CRAGIN called up the bill for the relief of HENRY E. NOYES, of Claremont, N. H., directing the Secretary of the Treasury to issue to him a new $1,000 bond in place of one destroyed.

Mr. CONKLING called attention to the great importance of being settled beyond the possibility of doubt that the bond was not burned twice.

Messrs. FRELINGHUYSEN and CRAGIN said the circumstances of the destruction of the bond were fully proved by the affidavits, and by fragments of the bond itself.

Mr. HOWE wished it to be understood that the Committee did not think, composed of human beings, and therefore fallible, could not absolutely guarantee the Government against wrong in such cases.

The bill was then passed.

On motion of Mr. DRAKE, the Senate took up the bill for the relief of CHARLOTTA F. HART. It pays her $9,000 as compensation for the use by the Government of a gun-elevating screw invented by her late husband, SAMUEL R. HART.

Mr. CAMERON opposed the bill on the ground that the deceased Mr. HART was an officer of the Government at the time which was, therefore, entitled to the benefit of his services and skill without giving him or his representatives extra compensation.

Mr. DRAKE said that Mr. HART was not a naval officer, but a naval contractor, and that his invention had been very valuable to the Government. He was dead, and his widow and four children, who needed and were entitled to compensation.

Mr. ANTHONY said the Committee were so well satisfied of the great value of the invention, and of the justice of the claim of Mrs. HART, that they would be willing to increase the amount of the appropriation, only that the bill would then have to go back to the House for concurrence, and might, therefore, fail to pass this session.

The bill was then passed.

THE CURRENCY BILL.

At the expiration of the morning hour, Mr. SHERMAN moved to proceed to the consideration of the Currency bill.

Mr. CHANDLER moved to take a recess from 4:30 to 7 o'clock this evening, the session to be devoted to the consideration of the River and Harbor bill, and other matters reported from the Committee on Commerce.

Mr. FESSENDEN objected.

Mr. SHERMAN's motion to take up the Currency bill was then carried.

Mr. CHANDLER moved to postpone that bill to allow him to renew his motion for an evening session. Carried.

Mr. CHANDLER's recess was then carried, and the Senate resumed the consideration of the Currency bill, upon which Mr. CONKLING addressed the Senate.

SPEECH OF MR. CONKLING.

Mr. PRESIDENT: It is not my purpose to dissect upon finances or currency, nor to discuss the multiplied theories and plans of treatment to which the monetary situation of the country has given rise. Three-fourths of the session has gone, and I cannot doubt that the two Houses of Congress, taught by experience, will see that the necessary measures of legislation will be perfected and disposed of by the 4th of March. Those who look with favor or indifference upon an extraordinary session of Congress as the concomitant of inaugurating the new Administration, may be concerned for the President for reasons them apparent, and adequate, if session into which we are to drift inadvertently, or purposely, will, of course, see no objection to considering at large now questions engaging public attention, whether their consideration be strictly legislative or not. My own conviction is, that we should bend the energies of the session to the dispatch of such actual measures as command attention by their urgency, as well as by their importance, and resolving to leave nothing undone which may not without serious detriment abide the next Winter session. The subject of the pending measure, however it may be with the measure itself, is of grave importance and commanding urgency. The bill is proposed as a means of changing for the better the financial situation of the country. If it will do this it deserves at once our whole attention and our votes. If, on the other hand, it promises nothing desirable, its presentation will confirm the fear which has of late abided with me that a better administration of our finances and sounder legislative principles respecting them must wait for the advent of a new Secretary of the Treasury, and for methods and assurances to be evolved by him, or at least with his cooperation. To find the true value and utility of the five sections proposed, I take them up in order for examination within limits only so large as to embrace as much as would seem to be easily foreseen. The first section, leaving the proviso out of view for a moment, legalizes contracts specifically answerable in coin. The propriety or a question of which much might be said. The Senate, however, has heretofore adopted equivalent provisions, and has then determined the expediency of trying the experiment. Nevertheless, should it be again decided to try it, all must look with some concern for the result. None can know in advance that to withdraw a large body of the commercial transactions of the country from affinity and sympathy with the circulating medium which will still remain, will not tend to depreciation greater than now exists. But the proviso which concludes this section suggests the first point to which I ask the attention of the Senate. I read the section including the proviso. It was obviously the conviction of those who appended this saving clause, that it would be unsafe to legalize bargains for payment in coin, leaving all power also that the parties make such bargains or not. Hence a guard is set over some persons. Who are they? First, borrowers of money. These, must, it is thought, be protected against the rapacity which would, as a condition of the loan, exact coin in return for borrowed money. If the soundness of this position be granted, and if it be granted also that the laws of morals would secure the protection desired, a serious commentary arises. We declare that it is wise to make it optional to enter into agreements which coin alone can satisfy, and in the same breath we declare that society requires one-half the commercial transactions of the country to be removed from the exercise of such option. If it be no exaggeration to say that one-half of our commercial operations involve the loan of money directly or indirectly, and if it be true that the parties themselves cannot be trusted to make their own bargains, the fact is not suspicious to the individuals it affects of the nature of the proposed experiment and its possibilities of evil. If we agree with the Committee that borrowers should be insured against the exaction of repayment in coin, we must then consider whether such provision as this would be adequate or efficient. As this point is the inquiry, it would not be unkind to be easy. While a widely different view is now remains between gold and currency, no man would borrow currency to be returned in coin, dollar for dollar. No legislative restriction is needed to guard against such importunity. The transaction against which the Committee must have intended to guard is that of loaning currency the amount of which is adjusted between lender and borrower to be returned in gold, the amount of which is also adjusted in the same way. This being prohibited by the assumed proviso, what alteration would be necessary to bring the same loan within the permission of the law? Only to agree in form, as they do in fact, and they would, then the lender to render the proposed in currency contemplated to the coin, make first such allowance, and only such allowance for the difference in value between gold and currency as the parties themselves might fix. The selection of the library laws would then be extended, and a handling, read in, upon the province of such an amendment. Would not the provision then be worse than a dead letter, worse than unobserved law, because so evaded and safely violated law? The proviso next seeks to remove beyond the operation of the section all contracts for the renewal or extension of an existing indebtedness. Will the object here sought be attained? The lender in the case of an existing indebtedness, like the lender in the case already put, would make him pay coin under the law, by simply advancing to his debtor the amount due upon a new agreement to a loan consummated by the standard of coin as a factor agreed upon. In both instances, the whole result must be confined to the agreement insisted upon on the one side, and acquiesced in on the other. The attempted provision extended to renewals and extensions has a quite contrary effect. It has to me cannot have been conceived with a little regard as has been proved to the principle it will, if adopted, do no harm, at least, will come of the change. That good will come is not, perhaps, equally apparent. Section 2 finds a swift and sure mode of reducing to par in currency the twenty-year bonds, and consequently of depreciation all other bonds not turned back in the same proportion. Any one may buy twenty bonds at par in lawful money. This is the substance of the section. Why should this be? These bonds are now 109¾, with current coupons on five months' accrued interest; that is, the Government is paying in coin, or coupons in currency, leaving the net value of the bonds 104¾. Why sell them for par? Why issue more bonds at par and reduce all existing similar bonds to the same point? The effect would be to depress them below par. The amendment of the Senator from New-Jersey, (Mr. CLAY, L.,) allowing bonds to be exchanged for currency at the option of the holder, might prevent their sinking below par, but could not raise them higher. Having referred to this amendment, I may say it was thoroughly considered in the Senate on a former occasion and rejected by a decided vote. It proposes to make the Government the custodian of such money as individuals may choose to deposit at the Treasury, and to charge the Government with 5 per cent in coin for the privilege of keeping it without even the privilege of lending it in currency, to be loaned to the Government on call at 1 per cent in coin. One effect it seems to me would be to turn the existing currency into bonds, which would themselves become currency and pass from hand to hand, preferred to bank notes or legal-tender notes because bearing interest and convertible, back again at any moment into notes. This would result in the payment of interest upon the whole or a large part of the legal-tender currency. The Senator from Ohio proposes to restrict the sales of ten-forty bonds to $2,000,000 a month. In one sense this might diminish the evil, but in another I fear it would aggravate it. Once a month there would be a moderate spasm of contraction; once a month there would be an immediate demand for the purchase of the funding bonds; and thus if the same depression of the price of the securities did not occur, fluctuations, alleged favoritism and recurring contractions must cease. Section 4 empowers the Secretary of the Treasury to issue demand notes, payable in gold, and with them at his discretion to purchase Government six per cents, and at the option of the creditor they are also payable for the liabilities of the United States. The issue is limited to the amount of coin and bullion in the Treasury, and they may be released as the exigencies of the public service may require. Before consenting that such power should pass into the hands of a Minister of Finance, if I could not take a bold view of fate," I should at least require to know who the Minister was to be. He should be fit, not only to administer his department, but to be the arbiter of the market. Men would laugh, or they would cry, at the wave of the wand of the wizard coming, however, to the great discretion proposed. Other topics present themselves. It is proposed that at the same time and at the same place two kinds of currency, differing widely in their value, shall circulate in harmony. Do the annals of commercial communities furnish an example of this? Is it not an axiom that distinct kinds of money, differing greatly in this standard of value and in their purchasing power, cannot interchangeably or simultaneously circulate together in the same channels? Why does not gold circulate along with currency? Because it is demonetized. It is a commodity like diamonds or pearls. It is, however, the image and superscription of money still, and it circulates as money all about us. But wherever our paper money goes, gold vanishes. Gold and silver are constituted by the nature of things a cheaper circulating medium. The cheaper money always must monopolize the field it occupies until one of two things occurs. When the currency that was cheaper than coin, either by becoming scarce or by becoming the symbol of a stable currency, coincides in value with coin, all distinction disappears, and each is convertible into the other. So, on the other hand, when the cheaper currency becomes so redundant or suspected, and hence so worthless as to be discarded or repudiated, it is driven out also by the dearer, and the circulation reappears. Upon what theory would a gold dollar, in a time of war, be cheaper than a paper dollar? Why make more bonds at par and reduce all existing similar bonds to the same point? The effect would be to depress them below par. The amendment of the Senator from New-Jersey, (Mr. CLAY, L.,) allowing bonds to be exchanged for currency at the option of the holder, might prevent their sinking below par, but could not raise them higher. Having referred to this amendment, I may say it was thoroughly considered in the Senate on a former occasion and rejected by a decided vote. It proposes to make the Government the custodian of such money as individuals may choose to deposit at the Treasury, and to charge the Government with 5 per cent in coin for the privilege of keeping it without even the privilege of lending it in currency, to be loaned to the Government on call at 1 per cent in coin. One effect it seems to me would be to turn the existing currency into bonds, which would themselves become currency and pass from hand to hand, preferred to bank notes or legal-tender notes because bearing interest and convertible, back again at any moment into notes. This would result in the payment of interest upon the whole or a large part of the legal-tender currency. The Senator from Ohio proposes to restrict the sales of ten-forty bonds to $2,000,000 a month. In one sense this might diminish the evil, but in another I fear it would aggravate it. Once a month there would be a moderate spasm of contraction; once a month there would be an immediate demand for the purchase of the funding bonds; and thus if the same depression of the price of the securities did not occur, fluctuations, alleged favoritism and recurring contractions must cease. Section 4 empowers the Secretary of the Treasury to issue demand notes, payable in gold, and with them at his discretion to purchase Government six per cents, and at the option of the creditor they are also payable for the liabilities of the United States. The issue is limited to the amount of coin and bullion in the Treasury, and they may be released as the exigencies of the public service may require. Before consenting that such power should pass into the hands of a Minister of Finance, if I could not take "

SOUTH AMERICA.

Additional Dispatches from the Government Ships in Paraguay.

Blockade in Paraguay.

Washburn in Paraguay.

We print below an additional number of letters from Mr. WEBB, Mr. SEWARD, Mr. PARANHOS, and others, relative to the difficulty with the Brazilian authorities in regard to the passage of the steamer *Wasp* through the lines of blockade established by the Allies on the Paraguay River:

MR. WEBB TO MR. SEWARD.

LEGATION OF THE UNITED STATES, RIO DE JANEIRO, July, 1868.

SIR: I have the honor to inclose a communication from Rear-Admiral CHARLES H. DAVIS, commanding the United States South Atlantic Squadron, in which he advises me that the United States steamer *Wasp*, sent by him to Assunion to bring from there our Minister, Mr. CHARLES A. WASHBURN, and family, and thereby removing them from that embarrassing and possibly dangerous situation, has been prevented passing the lines of the Allies by the Marquis DE CAXIAS, the Brazilian commander of the Allied forces.

You will perceive from the correspondence accompanying Admiral DAVIS' communication that the United States steamer *Wasp* arrived at Curupaity, the headquarters of the Allies, on the 29th of April, and remained there until the 11th of June—forty-seven days—when her commander was compelled to return to Montevideo without accomplishing the object of his visit, in consequence of the persevering refusal of the Marquis DE CAXIAS to permit the steamer *Wasp* to pass up to Assunion.—Although he was very willing to send a Brazilian iron-clad up the river under a flag of truce and the protection of the Stars and Stripes, to say out the State of affairs in Paraguay, Mr. WEBB was quite willing to allow the return of the iron-clad, the Admiral, and myself, to the United States and land Mr. WASHBURN and family below that fortress, which iron-clad, it is said, cannot repass that stronghold!

The Admiral's report of what has occurred on the Paraguay was placed in my hands on the 1st day of July, and on the 3d at 1 P. M. my Secretary and Clerk left this City with the report in the hands of the Minister of Foreign Affairs the official note of which inclosure B is a copy.

I assume that your guidance as expressed in dispatches Nos. 170, 171, 174 and 180, and the instructions therein in regard to Mr. WASHBURN's difficulties, will be sufficient to enable me, in being no other mode of reaching it than by the Paraguay River—as equally binding upon me in regard to his return, when at your request a national vessel had been sent for him, in the well-grounded conviction that "the settlement of the annoying question" in that was not against the offence at that time perpetrated against "the sovereignty and honor of the United States," might be palliated on the plea of ignorance, but not so now, and consequently its repetition, by the Marquis DE CAXIAS, under the circumstances under which it has taken place is doubly offensive, and, doubtless, are intended so to be. Such, at all events, is public opinion here; and as the fact of the *Wasp's* return was officially known to this Government, and communicated to the Press eight days before the Admiral addressed me on this subject, and as soon as it was the fact leaked out that I have moved in the matter, the triumph of Brazil is considered complete.

You will perceive by my note to the Minister of Foreign Affairs that I assume the Marquis DE CAXIAS has acted without authority, and that his conduct will be apologized for and disavowed. I have, Mr. Secretary, his assurance in the language you used in instructing me to make a similar demand in reference to the conduct of the President of Paraguay; and consequently, I urge the immediate issue of instructions to permit one of our national vessels to proceed to Assunion, and take Mr. WASHBURN off or his finance from the Allied forces at Curupaity.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

J. WATSON WEBB.

Hon. WILLIAM H. SEWARD, Secretary of State.

MR. WEBB TO SENHOR SILVEIRA DE SOUZA.

LEGATION OF THE UNITED STATES, SAN DOMINGO, July 1, 1868.

The undersigned, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary from the United States, begs leave to inform His Excellency JOAO SILVEIRA DE SOUZA, Councilor to His Imperial Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, that he has this day received a communication from Rear-Admiral CHARLES H. DAVIS, commanding the South Atlantic Squadron, informing him, that the United States steamer *Wasp*, sent by him to Assunion, for the purpose of bringing from that city the United States Minister, CHARLES A. WASHBURN and family, has been prevented by the commander of the Allied forces, from going to Assunion, as her commanding officer was ordered to do, in the performance of a duty to which he was assigned by command of Admiral DAVIS, in obedience to instructions from the Secretary of the Navy, based upon an application from Hon. WILLIAM H. SEWARD, the Secretary of State of the United States; and in consequence of such grave denial of the rights of the United States, the commander of the steamer *Wasp*, after making repeated applications to pass the lines of the Allies engaged with the national ships of the friendly nation against which they were in rebellion.

That Brazil will promptly and most emphatically repudiate the extraordinary and very unfriendly conduct of Mr. Marquis DE CAXIAS, the undersigned does not for a moment doubt, and as his indefensible interference has already caused a delay of at least four months in delivering the United States Minister and his family from what his Government consider an embarrassing and possibly dangerous situation, the undersigned earnestly entreats that the Government of Brazil will without any unnecessary delay, issue instructions that all hindrance to the United States steamer *Wasp* passing through the lines of the Allies, be withdrawn, and promptly advise the undersigned that such hindrance has already ceased, in order that he may inform the commanding officer of the United States South Atlantic Squadron that there will be no further interference by any representative of Brazil with the performance of his duty to humanity and to his Government.

The undersigned avails himself of this occasion to renew to His Excellency the assurance of his respect and high consideration.

J. WATSON WEBB.

His Excellency JOAO SILVEIRA DE SOUZA, Councillor to His Imperial Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.

SENHOR DE SOUZA TO MR. WEBB.

MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS, CENTRAL SECTION, NO. 3. RIO DE JANEIRO, July 6, 1868.

The undersigned, Councillor to His Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, has the honor of acknowledging the receipt of the note which, under date of the 1st inst., was addressed to him by Gen. JAMES WATSON WEBB, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary for the United States.

In reply to Gen. WEBB's note, the undersigned has the honor of calling special attention to the following: The Marquis DE CAXIAS could not recognize Lieut. KIRKLAND, a commander in the United States Navy, in command of the *Wasp*, as a competent person to make a direct request for permission for that vessel to pass the war lines of their very legal and effective blockade of the Paraguay River, which he only did toward the end of May, and not four months ago, as by so doing the blockade would have been broken.

In refusing that permission the Marquis of Caxias did not ignore any right whatever of the United States, nor did he in any way wish to offend, as he did not; its honor or its sovereignty. On the contrary, he thereby maintained as unquestionable right of sovereignty on the part of the Allies in the occupation of the waters and territories of that river, where they are at present.

To have broken through that blockade in the manner which was and is requested—that is, that the *Wasp* should pass through the war lines of the Allies and their military positions into the heart of the enemy's territory which is blockaded, arrange disarrangement in the operations of the war, at the very moment, too, when important and perhaps decisive movements were impending, would have been, yes, the disregarding of a right of the belligerent which has never been questioned, would have diminished and violated the sovereignty of a friendly Power without the consent of its Government, thus situating it and its allies to difficulties in the speedy termination of a war in which their honor is compromised.

It is further to be added that the refusal of the Marquis of Caxias was not precipitate, as Gen. WEBB supposes. The Marquis DE CAXIAS objections to the way in which Lieut. KIRKLAND proposed to call Minister WASHBURN to withdraw from Paraguay were related by his opposition of the very weighty reasons he had for so doing, and at the same time offered him, with the greatest cordiality and deference, the choice of either of two modes of arriving at the same result without precluding any of the evil consequences which would result to the Allies from the admission of the proposal made by him in the name of that Minister.

Those reasonable means, which would have reconciled, in a most dignified and effective manner, the rights of the Allies with the wishes of both Messrs. WASHBURN and KIRKLAND, were rejected by those gentlemen, who continue to insist in exacting a demonstration offensive to the sovereignty of the Allies.

One of the least of the inconveniences which would happen through satisfying the request of Lieut. KIRKLAND and Mr. WASHBURN would evidently be the nullification of the whole of the blockade established in Paraguay by the Allies, which would be laying open to the rear of the receding military fractions from any other nation which might under any pretext demand a like privilege for its ships.

So true is this, and so worthy of consideration in view of the consequences on the part of friendly Powers, that Admiral GODON himself, in thinking that by allowing the passage of Mr. WASHBURN to Paraguay was in truth, yes, the first to admit, merely bowing in his request for the permitting of the passage up the river to Assunion of the said Minister WASHBURN, that it should be done in any way without the barrier with the United States and Brazil, and its allies, further declaring that Mr. WASHBURN might be helped forward to his destination either by land or by water without placing any obstacle in his way.

This is just what the Marquis DE CAXIAS offered to effect in the present instance, but he not been denied the option.

Gen. WEBB alludes in his note to orders received by Rear-Admiral DAVIS from the Secretary of the Navy, at the request of Hon. Mr. SEWARD, Secretary of State for the United States, for the purpose of assisting Mr. WASHBURN to withdraw from Paraguay.

The undersigned begs leave, however, to impress upon Gen. WEBB that the text of those orders cannot but be subject to an intelligent analysis.

If a nation cannot, in equity, or justice, issue orders contrary to the rights or sovereignty of another Power, which, if they be issued, cannot without, should accompanied by some clause which would cause the execution of them to be carried out in a way not to offend those rights or the sovereignty, and having that in view to contain some arrangements both expressed and tacitly understood, which would be, in a manner, without hesitation, conform its wishes to that decision, and accept either of the ways proposed for the departure from Paraguay of Mr. WASHBURN, and that is plainly from such acceptance resulting to Rear-Admiral DAVIS the following directions for the offending of it. Meanwhile the Imperial Government will have no objection to accept any other alternative which may be suggested as equally effective and honorable by Gen. WEBB, provided that it be not the one already discussed, or a similar one, but that its conditions be such as to place difficulties in the way of its acceptance by the Allies in the River Plate, nor interfere with any plan they have or may conceive for the consummation of the desired end.

By these steps the Imperial Government endeavors to prove how great it is desirous, without injury to the rights of its allies, and sovereignty of those of its allies, arrive at an early, and, to the United States, satisfactory termination of the question.

The undersigned renews, &c., &c., &c.

JOAO SILVEIRA DE SOUZA.

Senhor Gen. JAMES WATSON WEBB, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary of the United States.

MR. WEBB TO SENHOR DE SOUZA.

LEGATION OF THE UNITED STATES, RIO DE JANEIRO, July 12, 1868.

The undersigned, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary from the United States, has the honor to acknowledge the receipt, on the 9th inst., of a note, bearing the date from His Excellency JOAO SILVEIRA DE SOUZA, Councillor to His Imperial Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, in reply to his note of the 1st July instant.

The note of His Excellency, after reciting the contents of the undersigned's note of the 1st, says:

"The Marquis DE CAXIAS could not recognize Lieut. KIRKLAND, a subaltern in the United States Navy, in command of the *Wasp*, as a competent person to make a direct request for permission for that vessel to pass the war lines of their very legal and effective blockade, which he only did toward the end of May, and not four months ago."

In the first place, the marquis did recognize Lieut. Commander KIRKLAND as a proper person to make the request he did, as appears from his prompt response to his application.

Secondly, Lieut. Commander KIRKLAND was not sent to Curupaity on a direct request for permission to pass the Allied lines. His instruction was Assunion; and presuming that Brazil would not again wound the sensibilities of the people of the United States, or outrage their sovereignty and honor, it was not deemed necessary for him to do more than courteously notify the commander of the Allied fleet of the fact, destination and purpose, accompanied by the assurance, that nothing would be done or permitted, militating against the rights of either of the belligerents, or in disregard of the national character of his ship and flag.

Thirdly, the Marquis DE CAXIAS, in his remarks upon the conduct of the undersigned in regard to the matter of four months already produced by the action of the Marquis DE CAXIAS. If the *Wasp* had not been constrained to leave Montevideo on the 29th April last, Mr. WASHBURN and family would probably have reached Montevideo early in May, whereas it is not possible for him to do so now until September, and to say, he still later, if the under approach of getting him and family from their "embarrassing and possibly dangerous situation" is to be determined at Washington.

His Excellency the Minister of Foreign Affairs here denies that, in refusing to let the *Wasp* pass, the Marquis DE CAXIAS offended the sovereignty and honor of the United States, as is alleged by the Secretary of State, Mr. SEWARD, and he insists that, by so doing, he only maintained as unquestionable right of sovereignty on the part of the Allies. And it is insisted also that in stopping the *Wasp* the Marquis in so way violated the arrangement made between the undersigned and Brazil in August, 1866, because the steamer, with Minister WASHBURN on board, was only allowed to pass the allied lines under a flag of truce.

On the subject of this protest the Honorable Secretary of State wrote to the undersigned as follows:

"The President, however, marks the motion that the occasion to the passage of Mr. WASHBURN was withdrawn under a protest of the Government of Brazil, that the right of Mr. WASHBURN to proceed to his destination as a diplomatic one the United States cannot concede to argue that question."

His Excellency the Minister of Foreign Affairs will perceive, therefore, that the undersigned has no authority to discuss the right of the United States to communicate with its Minister in Paraguay. The obstruction offered in 1866 was declared by Mr. SEWARD "diplomatically to itself, and entirely inconsistent with the law of nations."

And yet His Excellency the Minister of Foreign Affairs, informs the undersigned, that "the Brazilian Government does not consider that the proceedings of the Marquis DE CAXIAS in this transaction, are at all open to censure; but that, on the contrary, they merit its appreciation."

It is a well-known fact that Asunion, the capital of Paraguay, can only be reached by the river, of that name; and that, that long before the Empire of Brazil and Uruguay, and the Argentine Confederation, bound themselves to war against the Government, and not against the people of Paraguay, but, against its Government, had overthrown the United States had established diplomatic relations with that Republic. In fact, all, in the presence of the United States Minister, Mr. WASHBURN in Asunion, in 1865, and his threat of closing his mission if the Brazilian Minister, Ferreira de Oliveira, left the country by water instead of by land, as the Marquis DE CAXIAS proposed that Mr. WASHBURN should do, that gentleman would, most probably, have shared the captivity of Gov. LOPEZ. How is it possible, then, for any intelligent Brazilian to think that by allowing the passage of Mr. WASHBURN to Paraguay the United States shall not communicate with her Minister by the only channel he can be reached, is more than the undersigned can conceive. But, as Mr. SEWARD says, from a sense of self-respect, the United States cannot consent to argue that question. The President from a sense of self-respect, or the question of right, the undersigned notes the declaration that in this proceeding by the Marquis DE CAXIAS there is no disrespect intended, and no nationally feeling toward the United States.

And this is said to be made manifest by the declaration that he did offer and place at the command of Mr. WASHBURN and Lieut. KIRKLAND, the use of the *Wasp* in good will, and good offices for the safe and more convenient conveyance of the American Minister from Paraguay. That is to say, Brazil, or the commander of her land and naval forces on the Paraguay, is to determine what is "the proper means" of getting our Minister out of Paraguay, and not the United States. Brazil and were these "proper means" than proposed?

First—The Marquis DE CAXIAS proposed that the Minister, his lovable wife and child, his servants, with their luggage, &c., should traverse some 50 or 100 miles of wilderness, pass on land, and then embark on board a Brazilian boat, bearing a flag of truce, and descend the Paraguay to Curupaity. President LOPEZ, of course, to give sanction to this exchange of his territory by an enemy avowedly engaged in a personal warfare against him and for his overthrow, and not to ship Mr. WASHBURN off his country.

To this His Excellency CHARLES A. WASHBURN, the Minister of the United States accredited to the Government of Paraguay, which it is the avowed purpose of the Allies to overthrow, replied as follows, after very properly and peremptorily refusing to leave his post of duty, except in the manner indicated by his Government:

"The proposition of the Marquis DE CAXIAS that, if we are so disposed, we may go by land, is similar to that which was made to me by the Paraguayan Government, in the person of the Minister, Senhor VIARA DE LIMA, after the taking of the Marquis DE OLINDA and the surrender of his Brazilian relatives. When I intimated on his behalf, I was told he could go by land if he chose, but that the Government would not pay conduct it; adding that he was highly esteemed by the Brazilian Government and Prince, which that of Paraguay was willing to do all in its power to show. The Marquis DE CAXIAS offered to take me himself and family that I would not accept for a Brazilian Minister."

Secondly—The first proposition of the Marquis DE CAXIAS having been very properly and indignantly rejected, he deliberately substituted the following:

"I will give orders that one of the Brazilian vessels of war above Humaita shall go up the river with a flag of truce to receive His Excellency, his servants, and effects, at the point of the river Paraguay, indicated by His Excellency, and that, if possible, at the same point to Humaita, or near that fortification with the consent of the Government of Paraguay, so that His Excellency may be able to return immediately from here to the United States gunboat *Wasp*."

It would be improper on the part of the undersigned, to speak of the proposition in the terms it deserves, after it has been gravely indorsed by the Brazilian Government; and, therefore, he leaves it to the just indignation of that public opinion, which, with Brazil, is the only tribunal to which, in the present instance, and which will treat the unexpected proposition with the severe condemnation it so richly merits. Your Excellency pronounces it frankly and magnanimous; while the undersigned, with all deference, pronounces it offensive, and he might add, a direct insult to the Marquis trifling with the dignity of his position.

That the commander of the *Wasp* so considered it is manifest from his reply, which, written in doubt whether it was a serious proposition or one intended to cast ridicule upon the whole affair, is worthy of all praise. *He says:*

"Your Excellency will probably prove me, unless we know, which, notwithstanding the bullets that may be fired at us, we may go by land, or that, by a report of a flag of truce, to pass by the Brazilians, and into the heart of the enemy's country without notice of the fact, that the Government of Brazil would be guilty of a false action if anything did it perfect such an act by a Brazilian vessel of war."

"A communication, simply by one of the members of your Excellency's staff, may not be taken that your Excellency, thinks that the family of President Lopez, is a proper one for the *Wasp* to take, and that is proved such an occurrence you can allow the United States steamer *Wasp* to pass through your fleet. The best of friendly feelings to present the United States Minister and family of Paraguay to the Brazilian Minister and family of Paraguay, is the duty of the Brazilian portion of President Lopez's family is so reasonable that it every security of safety, from the dangers and difficulties of war to women and children."

Whether this proposition was ever intended by the Marquis DE CAXIAS to be seriously received, or whether it was designed to treat Lieut. Commander KIRKLAND's application with ridicule and contempt, it is equally unfriendly and offensive. Unfriendly, because it denies on the most frivolous and trifling pretext, the right of an interview to a friendly and neutral Power, which could not be withheld without offence, even if the law international, conferred the right of such denial on Brazil, which it manifestly does not. And greatly offensive, because it seeks to associate with the dignity of the officer and crew of the United States steamer *Wasp* would act dishonorably and give information in regard to the Brazilian fleet to the Paraguayan, while no such suspicion of dishonorable conduct could possibly attach to the officers and crew of a Brazilian vessel of war, which, if it chose, could proceed to round hundreds of miles into the enemy's country.

Now, it is manifest that the number and character of the Brazilian fleet, above and below Humaita, are as well known to President Lopez as to the Marquis DE CAXIAS, the Brazil and the world. It is equally certain, that the state of things on the Paraguay, above the Allied forces, is absolutely unknown to the Marquis DE CAXIAS or any other person. If, then, every person on board the *Wasp* were of the dark complexion of Brazilians, and intended to be equally communicate to the injury of the Allies would be to report the number of vessels they saw—information which President Lopez has already. How utterly idle, then, and how exceedingly offensive the pretense that the passage of the United States steamer *Wasp*, under the United States flag, and commanded and officered by gentlemen who recognize all the obligations which a high sense of honor imposes upon those as neutrals—how exceedingly offensive to a friendly nation to allege that such passage would be injurious to the interests of Brazil "because of the operations and movements of war" shortly to be carried into effect, near the place indicated as the destination of the North American steamer. That is to say, "the steamer of a friendly neutral Power, rapidly running through our lines, and communicating with no one but the Commander-in-Chief and his staff, will become spy, and, unconsciously, no doubt, of all our purposes; and those on board not being men of honor, will disclose to our enemy, the President, and not the people of Paraguay, the knowledge thus suppose naturally acquired."

But while the Marquis DE CAXIAS thus guards against Affairs, the officers not revealing what everybody knows, and actually better than President Lopez; and while he cannot listen to the idea of a friendly neutral passing his lines, he perceives the benefit to be derived from availing himself of the occasion presented to send one of the Brazilians to the war above Humaita with country, to bring away the United States Minister, and at the same time explore the area "recognize" where he would willingly extend his operations. Nay, he would go even still further, and permit the frontiers that thus employed, now above Humaita, to remain in communion with the Humaita of the Government of Paraguay; and thus escape from what is deemed a perilous position until the fall of Humaita, if fall it should. What President Lopez would have said to this proposition, the good sense of the Commander of the *Wasp* promptly determined; what the Brazilian Government think of it, is to be found in its formal approval, conveyed to the undersigned by the despatch of your Excellency; but what the Government, Americans and military men of Europe and America may say of it, remains to be seen.

President Lopez, "the Government of Paraguay," was the very party who could possibly inflict injury upon the passage of a friendly neutral in his waters, and said, in his dispatch, and yet President Lopez, and to the equity that should be paramount between nations, and exhibiting none of the doubts in regard to the honor of American naval officers, which so disturbed the mind of the Marquis DE CAXIAS and made him desirous of sending a Brazilian vessel, with a flag of truce, to be guaranteed by the flag of the United States Minister, no doubt, promptly consented that the United States steamer *Wasp* should ascend the Paraguay to any place the night desire to visit.

The undersigned is of opinion, that the facts of the case will abundantly demonstrate whether the act of the Marquis DE CAXIAS, indorsed by Brazil, was not as unfriendly and offensive, as it clearly was in violation of international law and the sovereignty and honor of the United States.

But, says your Excellency, if the *Wasp* had been allowed to pass the Allied lines, "would the Allies not have cause to complain, that a vessel of war, under the United States flag, would have been sent to the enemy avowedly engaged in a personal warfare against them and for their overthrow, and not to ship Mr. WASHBURN off the country."

Mr. WASHBURN thought it impossible that President LOPEZ would consent to the passing of a Brazilian steamer under a flag of truce, for the inoffensive purpose of sliding him to rather, as mentioned before. Well, then, the Government of His Majesty, in their own name or in that of the Allies, will not be willingly to the detention from Asunion of the United States Minister, on board of a Paraguayan vessel, under a flag of truce, to Curupaity, or to the most advanced post of the Allies, the *Wasp* to go up to that point whenever it may be, and there to receive on board the diplomatic functionary, and depart.

Apparently the material difficulty pointed out by Mr. WASHBURN will be thus removed; there will be complete reciprocity of the just and friendly propositions which are advanced on both sides; in a word, the rights and supreme necessities of the belligerents will be in harmony with the wishes of the Brazilian Government and of the representative of the United States.

But the Imperial Government and its Allies will carry their deference to the United States beyond that. If its representatives near this Court and at the Republic of Paraguay hold that they should not accept either of the methods by which the return of Mr. WASHBURN can be only effected safely and conveniently by the *Wasp* going to receive him at his place of embarkation, then the Allies will agree that a United States vessel of war shall proceed to that point for that purpose, the sole object being subject only to such trifling delay as may arise from the active execution of any military operation which may transpire at the time; the Allies being well assured that the assertions of Gen. WEBB (which they never have doubted) with regard to the observance of the Union's neutrality in the war, and of the vessel and of the representative of the Union shall most rigorously be carried out.

The undersigned avails himself of the opportunity to renew to Gen. WEBB the assurances of his high consideration.

JOSE MARIA DA SILVA PARANHOS.

MR. WEBB TO SENATOR PARANHOS.

LEGATION OF THE UNITED STATES, RIO DE JANEIRO, Aug. 5, 1868.

The undersigned, Envoy Extraordinary and Minister Plenipotentiary from the United States, has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a note from His Excellency JOSE MARIA DE SILVA PARANHOS, Councelor to His Imperial Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, under date of the 4th inst., in which is in reply to a note from the undersigned addressed to the predecessor of His Excellency, dated July the 13th, remonstrating against the decision of the late Government, approving of the conduct of the Marquis DE CAXIAS in refusing to permit the United States steamer *Wasp* to pass the military lines on her way to Asunion, the capital of Paraguay, for the purpose of bringing from that capital His Excellency CHARLES A. WASHBURN, the duly accredited Minister of the United States to Paraguay, and thus relieve him and his family from what the Government of the United States declared to be "a disagreeable and probably dangerous situation."

The undersigned is greatly impressed with the friendly tone of this note from His Excellency the Minister of Foreign Affairs, and tenders to him his sincere acknowledgments for the courteous and frank manner in which he has complied with the wishes of the Government of the United States.

His Excellency, in reconsidering the too hasty action of his predecessor, and in recognizing the propriety and necessity of a movement by the Government of the United States for the relief of its diplomatic agent in Paraguay, and the mode adopted for that relief, has given another and most acceptable proof, on the part of the Government and Emperor of Brazil, of their determination to reciprocate the friendly feelings which have always characterized the conduct of the United States in its intercourse with all the Governments on the Continent of America. An "American policy," based upon the sentiments of the rest of the world, the progress of the age, the interests and wants of its peoples—a policy free from the fear of which has made Europe, the offspring of the past, and fettered by the thought and action of the period in which it originated—is recognized by all American statesmen, whether of the North or of the South. And in reference to the Governments of America, a member of such an "American policy," it is of paramount importance that Brazil and the United States, and all the Governments on this Continent—all of them being constitutional Governments—should cultivate among each other the most friendly intercourse and the closest political alliance compatible with their constitutional forms of government, to accomplish this great work, and to draw closer together the United States and Brazil, has been the one great object of the undersigned during his long residence at this Court; and he is happy to recognize in the dispatch of his Excellency the evidence of a similar desire on the part of the Government.

Orders have been forwarded by Rear-Admiral Davis, commanding the United States South Atlantic Squadron on this station, to Lieut.-Commander KIRKLAND, commanding the United States steamer *Wasp*, to leave Asunion for Asunion for Mr. WASHBURN and his family; and it would be idle for the undersigned to assure your Excellency that, under no circumstances and in no conceivable event, will the progress of that vessel to or from Asunion, impede or in any way be injurious, to the interests of the Allies waging war against the Government of Paraguay.

The undersigned is happy to avail himself of the occasion presented, to renew to your Excellency, the expression of his cordial respect and great consideration.

J. WATSON WEBB.

JOAN MARIA DA SILVA PARANHOS, Councilor to His Imperial Majesty the Emperor, Minister and Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.

MR. SEWARD TO MR. WEBB.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE, WASHINGTON, Aug. 17, 1868.

SIR: Your dispatch of the —— day of July, No. 56, has been received.

I learn from it that Rear-Admiral DAVIS, who commands the United States South Atlantic Squadron, has sent the United States ship-of-war *Wasp* up the Paraguay with a view of bring the United States Minister, CHARLES A. WASHBURN, and his family, from Asunion, the capital of Paraguay, and thereby remove them from their embarrassing and possibly dangerous situation; and that the Marquis DE CAXIAS, the commander of the Allied forces, refused to permit the *Wasp* to pass through his lines to Asunion, in consequence of which refusal the *Wasp* returned to Montevideo, after a delay of forty-seven days, without having accomplished the object of her voyage. Your dispatch is accompanied, by a copy of a note which on the first of July last you addressed to His Excellency, JOAO SILVEIRA DE SOUZA, the Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs of his Majesty the Emperor of Brazil, in which note you made complaint of this proceeding of the Marquis DE CAXIAS, and requested that the same might be disapproved, and that the *Wasp* might without delay, be allowed to proceed to Asunion for the purpose of removing Mr. WASHBURN and his family. I find in an appendix to these papers a correspondence concerning the events which were the basis of your note to the Brazilian Secretary, in which correspondence are contained letters from the Marquis DE CAXIAS, to Commander KIRKLAND; of the Mr. CHARLES A. WASHBURN, Esq., United States Minister to Paraguay, and Marquis DE CAXIAS. I have also received from Mr. MORAN, late United States Charge de Affaires in London, a cable telegram, from which it would appear that Lord Russel intimated a purpose to ask for a reply to your note before mentioned. I further find a reference to this latter point in your dispatch of the 6th of July, No. 56, which has been previously acknowledged. From this last paper I learn that at the time it was written, your answer to Your note had not reached from the Brazilian Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, and that, in the event of an unfavorable reply, you contemplated making a request for a passport and an immediate withdrawal from Brazil.

The grave and critical point which it thus appears we are pending at the date of your dispatch is now probably decided, and the time required for a decision, although many days may elapse before that decision shall become officially known to this Government. It would be impossible, under these circumstances, to give you definitive instructions upon the course to be pursued in the possible event of a negative answer of the proceeding of the Marquis DE CAXIAS by the Brazilian Government; nor am I now authorized, nor is it deemed necessary, with such contingency, by anticipation as the Note, to proclaim the views of the Government of the United States in regard to a case which may yet arise, and the consequences of some decision so fatal.

It is thought, however, to be neither improper nor premature to consider the several matters in regard to the *Wasp* which are expressly brought in review by your dispatch.

The proceedings of Rear-Admiral DAVIS in directing the *Wasp* to go up the Parana to Asunion for the purpose mentioned is approved.

This Government holds that it has a lawful right to send a ship of war up the Parana to Asunion, for the purpose of receiving the United States Minister and his family, and conveying them from the scene of danger and war to a place of safety or comfort; that the vessel of Marquis DE CAXIAS to permit the *Wasp* to pass up to Asunion violates a friendly country on the part of Brazil and the Allies toward the United States, contrary to the law of nations; that Mr. WASHBURN and Commander KIRKLAND justifiably and properly declined the alternatives offered by the Marquis DE CAXIAS. The requests upon the Brazilian Government, expressed in your note to the Secretary of Foreign Affairs, are approved and adopted.

Should this dispatch find you in the performance of your official duties, you will read it to the Brazilian Secretary of Foreign Affairs, and deliver him a copy if he shall desire it.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,

WILLIAM H. SEWARD.

JAMES WATSON WEBB, Esq., &c., &c.

MR. SEWARD TO MR. WEBB.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE, WASHINGTON, Aug. 17, 1868.

SIR: I have to acknowledge the receipt of your dispatch of the 7th of August, which is without numbered and which is accompanied by a copy of the final correspondence which has taken place between yourself and Mr. PARANHOS, the Brazilian Secretary for Foreign Affairs, relating to the opposition which was offered by the Marquis DE CAXIAS to the voyage of the *Wasp* up the Paraguay River, with the purpose of relieving Mr. WASHBURN and his family at Asunion.

Your proceeding in the settlement of that unfortunate case, as they are represented in your dispatch and the accompanying correspondence, are approved and commended.

You will assure the Marquis de PARANHOS that this Government highly appreciates the just and friendly spirit in which the transaction has been brought to a satisfactory end on the part of the Government of Brazil.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,

WILLIAM H. SEWARD.

JAMES WATSON WEBB, Esq., &c., &c., &c.

THE GAMBLE CASE

The Remains of Mrs. Gamble Found in this City—Action of Coroner Schirmer—The Body to be Reinterred.

It will be remembered that in the early part of the month of November, one MICHAEL MURPHY, of Hudson City, N. J., applied to Coroner FLAVIN, of Kings County, for a warrant against MATILDA HINDS and ROBERT J. GAMBLE, of Clarkstown, N. Y., husband of MARGARET J. GAMBLE, who died at Clarkstown, N. Y., on the 2d of last August under suspicious circumstances. The charge made against these persons was that they had poisoned Mrs. GAMBLE, and on the inquest Prof. R. OGDEN DOREMUS testified that he had discovered traces of poison in the body of the deceased fourteen days after death. As the Coroner could find no proper evidence against either of the accused they were discharged. An injunction was subsequently granted by one of the Supreme Court Judges to restrain Coroner FLAVIN from further proceeding in the case, and an injunction had previously been issued upon Coroner QUACKENBUSH, of Rockland County. Since the cessation of official inquiry on the part of the Kings County Coroner the body of the deceased has been in this City without burial, and on the request of the Board of Health Coroner SCHIRMER assumed jurisdiction in the case, and yesterday granted a permit for the removal of the remains.

In order to do this in proper form, Coroner SCHIRMER yesterday summoned a jury at the Bellevue Hospital Dead-house, and proceeded to make such investigation in the case as was deemed by him necessary. The Coroner first administered an oath to the jury, requiring them to make an investigation over the remains of a woman, contained in a coffin then lying at the Dead-house, supposed to be those of one MARGARET J. GAMBLE. As the jury appeared a little confused at this language, the Coroner briefly addressed them and made some explanations, as follows:

CORONER SCHIRMER: I now intend before we proceed with this case to state to you how the case came into my hands. On the last day of the old year I received the following letter from the Superintendent of the Metropolitan Board of Health:

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY SUPERINTENDENT, METROPOLITAN BOARD OF HEALTH, No. 301 MOTT-ST., NEW-YORK, Dec. 31, 1868.

William Schirmer, M. D., Coroner, City and County of New-York:

SIR: I beg respectfully to transmit the inclosed affidavit, which I have received from the Board of Health, and to request that you will reply as soon as possible, informing me of whatever action you may take in the case. Very respectfully, E. H. DALTON, Sanitary Superintendent Metropolitan Sanitary Department.

The following are the preamble and resolution alluded to in the above letter:

OFFICE OF METROPOLITAN BOARD OF HEALTH, No. 301 MOTT-STREET, NEW-YORK, Dec. 31, 1868.

Dr. E. H. Dalton, Sanitary Superintendent, &c.

SIR: At a meeting of the Metropolitan Board of Health, held at its office, on the 30th of December, 1868, the following preamble and resolution were adopted:

Whereas, The body of a deceased person supposed to be that of Mrs. GAMBLE, has been found upon a vehicle in the streets of this City, and has been placed at the Morgue, and the circumstances of the death and disposition of the body have been such as to warrant an inquest, in the opinion of this Board, as demanded an inquest, and it not appearing that any inquest has been held;

Resolved, That the Superintendent of this Board transmit a copy of this resolution to some Coroner of this City, and request such Coroner to at once hold inquest on said body, and that the Superintendent report to this Board the reply and action of such Coroner.

(Signed) SIMMONS CLARKE, Secretary.

E. H. DALTON, Sanitary Superintendent.

I then addressed a reply to Mr. DALTON, as follows, in which I announced to him that I had examined the condition of the remains, and that I considered it best to cover and inclose that same in a coffin, in which it should be taken in the public interest, to prevent the spread of contagion through decomposition, was prejudicial to the public health. Although the case was properly outside of the jurisdiction of the Board of Health, I stated that I would proceed to New-York, I said, I would be ready to proceed in the matter as soon as the necessary evidence was furnished. The Board of Health agreed to cooperate with me in the difficulty of obtaining access to the witnesses, we shall to-day examine before you, as they were hindered from coming amongst us, as they were detained in Hudson City, but here, at their conduct, does not turn a matter of your inquiry or consideration. After a long and troubled illness, I have ultimately succeeded in procuring the attendance of the Sexton, who will appear before you in a few minutes. These remains will be examined, simply to ascertain whether they can identify the remains as those of MARGARET J. GAMBLE. Only that, and the ulterior consideration of the body will determine the course of our conduct. The witnesses to be examined are the two brothers and the sister of MARGARET J. GAMBLE, and we now produce the coffin, which I will have remark have been preserved intact in the condition in which I found them on assuming charge of the case on the 31st of December.

The Coroner then turned and the three witnesses then proceeded to the charnel-house and viewed the remains. They were contained in a coffin, the lid of which had been sawed open, and the coffin had been boxed up for the purpose of removal. The remains were in a very offensive condition, owing to the decomposition which had naturally occurred. As soon as the jury had made such examination of the coffin and its contents as was possible, the Coroner proceeded to the inquest chamber and elicited the following evidence:

Mary Morgan, (Sister Corsina,) a Sister of Charity in the Orphan Asylum, testified: I recognize the body shown me as that of my mother, Mrs. Margaret J. Gamble; I know the fact that I recognize the plate of the coffin shown me as correctly inscribed; second, by the wrought and pierced coffin; third, by the inscription on the coffin, the words in my own handwriting; third, by a prayer book which I identify as once belonging to my deceased sister; fourth, I have seen teeth in the head of my mother; fifth, by the fact that the dentition was left blank, the result of a blow; it is impossible to recognize the features in consequence of decomposition.

Mary Morgan, being sworn, was continued by the Coroner that he would counsel her anthony to answer, the just such questions as might be put to him. Counsel Sherman remarked that if the witness did not do so he would allude to the matter in court. The Coroner could take no official notice of it. The witness then testified as follows: I reside at Hudson City, N. J.; am a sister; I have viewed the box and coffin containing the body of a deceased; I recognize the box as that in which the remains of my mother were carried to Fairmount Rockland County, N. Y., and from thence to the office of the Metropolitan Board of Health at 301 Mott-st. I recognize the coffin as that in which the Morgan, in consequence of the advanced state of decomposition. I find it impossible to identify the remains in any other way; I have no doubt that the body is that of my mother.

Michael Murphy also testified: I reside at Hudson City, N. J., and am a blacksmith; I recognize the body now shown me by the side of the coffin, the appearance of the thumb on the left hand, and the shape of the head; I also recognize the peculiar and regular teeth in the upper and lower jaw; I am quite sure that the deceased was my sister, as I recognize the teeth, in the same coffin in which deceased was buried, and was disinterred in Greenwood Cemetery.

John O'Brien, keeper of the Bellevue Hospital Dead-house, testified: On the 31st of December (Thursday last) a box and coffin containing the dead body of a woman was brought to me by an officer of the Board of Health, who directed me under a Warden Brennan, directing him to have the body until some investigation could be had on the file of the Coroner. The box was sealed up and delivered by his direction I opened the box; after his brief examination I nailed up the box again,

ASSISTANT ALDERMEN.

Twenty Thousand Dollars Appropriated for the Celebration of Washington's Birthday—The War Expenses of the City.

The Board met at 3 P. M. yesterday—the President in the chair.

A resolution that all public offices of the Corporation be hereafter closed at 4 P. M., was adopted.

A resolution to donate $10,000 to the Superior of the Order of St. Dominque, was referred.

Resolutions empowering the Clerk of the Board to appoint an engrossing clerk and second and third assistant clerks, each to receive a salary of $1,200 per annum, were laid over.

Resolutions from the other Board appointing a weekly and two of the penny evening papers Corporation papers, were concurred in.

The following was read:

Resolved, That the Comptroller be and he is hereby authorized to pay to John Kelly, the balance due to contractor for building sewers in Eighty-fifth, Eighty-sixth and Eighty-seventh streets between the Fourth and Fifth avenues, in the City of New-York, on his assigns, the sum of $604.16, the same having been refunded from the amount of his contract for the construction of the above-mentioned sewers, for 101 days overtime in finishing said sewers; also for the sum of $50 for 51 days overtime, deducted from said contract, the commencement of the work at Forty-sixth street and Hudson River and Forty-first-street and Hudson River.

Mr. Gleason said he did not understand the resolution.

Mr. Rosekrans said that he was opposed to this and all similar resolutions. Mr. McGuire had entered into a contract with his eyes open, and he should be compelled to fulfill it.

Mr. Pinckney said that if the resolution was adopted the Board would virtually be violating the charter by giving the contract not to the lowest but to the highest bidder.

The resolution was lost.

The resolution from the other Board appropriating $20,000 for the celebration of the anniversary of WASHINGTON's birthday was called up.

Mr. Pinckney said he did not know how this was to be expended. Twenty thousand dollars was a large sum—too much to spend on an annual celebration. He moved that the amount be reduced to $5,000.

This motion was lost.

Mr. Rosekrans said that last year the fireworks on WASHINGTON's birthday were witnessed by over one thousand persons. It would seem far better to give the money to the poor, and he moved that the $20,000 be handed over to the Commissioners of Charities and Correction. If WASHINGTON were alive he would approve of this manner of disposing of the money.

The motion was of course lost, and the resolution was adopted.

The following general order was called up:

Resolved, That the Comptroller be and he is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant in favor of WILLIAM HENNESSY, for the use of the various persons mentioned in preamble, for the sum of $1,275.62 M, at various times during the years 1865, 2, 3, 4.

Mr. Pinckney said they had no information as to what this money was disbursed for. Mr. Hennessy was a messenger in City Judge's office, with a salary of $4,000; then it was raised to $5,000, and now he gets $6,000. He thought this ample remuneration for all the work performed. At any rate, he knew plenty of competent persons who will take the position for the same salary.

The resolution was unanimously adopted.

The following was read:

Resolved, That a Special Committee of five members of each branch of the Common Council be appointed to confer with the Legislature, and to urge upon the proper committees of the United States, the propriety and propriety of settling the claim of the City of New-York, being for moneys voluntarily contributed at a time when such pecuniary aid was of the greatest value to the United States, and that in the premises there given, or at least implied, that such money would be at some future time refunded to the City of New-York, the expense attending the journey to Washington, and the time of the members of said committee to be paid by the Comptroller, on presentation of the order, vouchers signed by a majority of the special Committee.

Mr. Pinckney said he did not think the Committee would accept the trust. At any rate, he was disposed to limit them in their expenses. Their fares to and from Washington would cost $200; hotel expenses for one week, $350; carriages, $150; incidentals, $100—in all, $1,000 in all. He moved that that amount be inserted in the resolution.

The motion was, as usual, lost; and the resolution was concurred in.

Resolutions to pave Allen-street and Centre-street with Belgian pavement were adopted.

A communication was read from Typographical Union No. 6, stating that WEED, PARSONS & CO. were about to lease the Printing-office of the City of New-York, being by

The New-York Times.

TRIPLE SHEET.

NEW-YORK, FRIDAY, FEB. 12, 1869.

Announcements this Morning.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC.
14th-st. and Irving-place.
NORMA, (Italian Opera.) Characters by Mme. La Grange, Miss McCulloch, Mesdms. Borti, Antonucci and others.

BROOKLYN ACADEMY OF MUSIC.
Montague-st., near Clinton-st.
GRAND CONCERT. Artists: Miss Agatha Staten, Herr Ole Bull, Messrs. S. B. Mills, Steinberg, Colby, and others.

GRAND OPERA HOUSE.
23d-st., corner 6th-av.
ORPHEE AUX ENFERS. Characters by Mlle. Tostee, Mlle. Duclos, Mlle. Delphine, Mlle. Cadie, Messrs. Dubois, Ledoux, Duschesne, Guilon, Lagrillard, and others.

BOOTH'S THEATRE.
23d-st., corner of 6th-av.
ROMEO AND JULIET. Characters by Messrs. Edwin Booth, Mark Smith, Adams, N. J. Sinclair, Monroe, Norris, Miss Mary McVicker, Miss Fanny Morant, Miss Proudfoot, and others.

WALLACK'S THEATRE.
Broadway, corner 13th-st.
MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING. Characters by Messrs. Lester Wallack, Gilbert, Fisher, Stoddart, Kingsland, Miss Rose Eytinge, Mrs. Clara Jennings, Miss Annie Ward and others.

BROUGHAM'S THEATRE.
24th-st., near 6th-av.
AN IRISH STEW and THE DRAMATIC REVIEW FOR 1868. Characters by Mr. John Brougham, Mr. Stoddart, Miss Newton, Miss Fisher and others.

NIBLO'S GARDEN.
Broadway, between Prince and Houston sts.
FORTY THIEVES; OR, STRIKING OIL IN FAMILY JARS. Characters by Mesdms. Luella Thompson, Weber, Markham, Gratian, Mr. Beckett and others.

OLYMPIC THEATRE.
Broadway, between Houston and Bleecker sts.
HUMTY DUMTY. Characters by Mr. Geo. L. Fox, Mr. F. Lacy, Mr. G. K. Fox, Mlle. Lennox, Mrs. C. Edmonds, Miss E. Harrison, Mr. Sinclair and others.

FRENCH THEATRE.
14th-st., near 6th-av.
FLEUR DE THE. Characters by Mme. Desclauzas, Mlle. Risarelli. Messrs. Carrier, Lefevre, Berthez, Genot, Petit and others.

THEATRE COMIQUE,
No. 514 Broadway.
PLUTO, and COMIC SKETCHES and LIVING STATUES. Characters by Mr. W. H. Lingard, Misses Alice Dunning, Norman, Lingard, Leton and others.

BROADWAY THEATRE.
Broadway, near Broome-st.
THE LIVE INDIAN and THE PEOPLE'S LAWYER. Leading characters by Mr. John E. Owens.

NEW-YORK THEATRE.
Broadway, near 9th-st.
OTHELLO. Leading characters by Mr. McKean Buchanan and Miss Virginia Buchanan.

WOOD'S MUSEUM AND THEATRE.
Broadway, corner of 30th-st.
Afternoon—IXION. Evening—FIELD OF THE CLOTH OF GOLD. Leading characters by Mr. and Mrs. W. J. Florence. EXHIBITION OF CURIOSITIES. Open day and evening.

BOWERY THEATRE.
Bowery, near Canal-st.
MACBETH, JOCKO, BUTLER TROUPE, &c. By a powerful cast.

THE TAMMANY.
14th-st., near 3d-av.
GRAND COMBINATION OF MUSIC, PLAYS, PANTOMIMES, ACROBATIC FEATS, &c.

NEW-YORK CIRCUS.
14th-st., opposite Academy of Music.
EQUESTRIAN AND GYMNASTIC PERFORMANCES.

SAN FRANCISCO MINSTRELS.
No. 585 Broadway.
SHAKESPEREAN FESTIVAL, (Burlesque,) NEGRO COMICALITIES, &c.

BRYANT'S MINSTREL HALL.
14th-st., near 3d-av.
MUCH ADO ABOUT NOTHING, (Burlesque,) VOCALISTS, HUMORISTS, &c.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

Page 1—Concerning Crime; An Underground Railway. Page 2 Affairs in Spain; Affairs in Peru; Affairs in Georgia; Texas and the Texans; South Carolina; The New Indiana Senator; Alexander H. Stephens; Homicide in Pittsburg, &c. Page 3—Washington Despatches and Congressional Report. Page 4—Diplomatic Correspondence; The Gamble Case; Terrible Tragedy; The Newsboys' Lodging-house. Page 5—Assistant Aldermen; Fruitgrowers' Club, and other local reports. Page 6—Editorials and Minor Topics. Page 7—Telegrams; Albany Letter and Legislative Proceedings. Page 8—Law Reports. Page 10—Congressional Reports Continued; Local News. Page 11—Letters to the Editor; Temperance; Conviction of a Prize Fighter; A College Burned; Miscellaneous Matters. Page 12—Dr. Holmes' Lecture on Doctors.

Terms of the New-York Times.

MAIL SUBSCRIBERS.

The DAILY TIMES, per annum	$12
The DAILY TIMES, exclusive of Sunday Edition	10
The SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES, one year	4
The SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES, two copies, one year	7
The WEEKLY TIMES, one year	3
Five copies	12
Ten copies	15

These prices are invariable. We have no traveling agents. Remit in drafts on New-York or Post Office Money Orders if possible, and where neither of these can be procured send the money in a registered letter. All Postmasters are obliged to register letters when requested to do so, and the system is an absolute protection against losses by mail. Address

H. J. RAYMOND & CO.,
TIMES Office, New-York.

Notice.

We cannot notice anonymous communications. In all cases we require the writer's name and address, not for publication, but as a guarantee of good faith. We cannot return rejected communications, nor preserve manuscripts, unless specially requested so to do.

To Advertisers.

Advertisers in the TIMES are requested to bring in their notices as early as hour in the day as possible. If received after 8½ o'clock, it will be impossible to classify them under their proper heads.

NEWS OF THE DAY.

EUROPE.

The Spanish Constitutional Cortes assembled yesterday at Madrid. Great interest in its deliberations are manifested throughout the country. It is rumored that a majority of its members are in favor of tendering the crown to FERDINAND, father of the King of Portugal, and that in the event of his refusing it, the Duke DE MONTPENSIER will be asked to wear it. The Carlists are very active in several districts, and twenty-eight who attempted to cross the border from France were arrested by French troops.

REVERDY JOHNSON dined with the Corporation of Glasgow yesterday. He also made a speech. In the course of his remarks he quoted Earl RUSSELL as having said that the escape of the *Alabama* from a British port was due to the fact that the then law officer to the Crown was seized with insanity just at that time.

CONGRESS.

Mr. DAVIS introduced a resolution to the Senate yesterday reciting that the conduct of Mr. BUTLER and other Representatives who acted with him in the House on the previous day, while the electoral vote was being counted, was an insult to the Senate and the people of the United States, and disreputable to the persons mentioned. At the instance of Mr. FESSENDEN the resolution goes over. The Currency bill was then taken up and discussed at great length by Messrs. CONKLING, MORRILL and CORBETT. The bill to secure equal rights in the District of Columbia, by striking the word "white" from the city charters of Washington and Georgetown, was taken up and passed. It has been passed twice before, but never obtained the President's signature. A bill appropriating $6,000 for the relief of Mrs. C. P. HARZ, whose husband invented a gun-screw which the Government has used without paying for, was passed. The Senate then held a brief Executive session for the consideration of some Indian matters, and took a recess till evening. The River and Harbor Appropriation bill was discussed and variously amended at the evening session, but was not definitely acted upon.

The Speaker announced that the first business in order was the consideration of the question of privilege submitted by Mr. BUTLER on the previous day, which was the resolution protesting against the action of the Senate in counting the electoral vote of Georgia in the Joint Convention of the two Houses. The Speaker appointed Mr. DAWES Speaker *pro tem.*, who, on taking the chair, appointed Messrs. WILSON and FRYE a committee on behalf of the House to notify the President and Vice-President elect of their election. Mr. SCHELLABARGER then spoke at length in opposition to Mr. BUTLER's resolution. Mr. ELDRIDGE spoke on the same side of the question. Mr. BINGHAM held that the President of the Senate was bound to count the vote of Georgia in the manner prescribed by the joint resolution adopted by both Houses, and that consequently Mr. BUTLER was wrong from the beginning of the controversy. He denounced the resolution in vigorous terms as revolutionary and disgraceful. Mr. SCHENCK defended Mr. BUTLER, and in the course of his remarks reflected upon the action of Mr. COLFAX in directing the Sergeant-at-Arms to arrest refractory members. Mr. COLFAX replied in a pointed manner, defending his course. Mr. BUTLER replied to the attacks made upon him, and made a lengthy argument in support of his resolution. This he offered to modify so as simply to protest against the action of the Senate, but no vote on the question was reached. The debate occupied the entire day, and will be resumed this morning.

GENERAL.

Two of the leaders of the insurrection in Cuba are said to have been, until very recently, officers of the United States Government. They are brothers, named ADOLF and FERDERICK CAYADA. The former was Colonel of a Philadelphia regiment of scouts during the war, and latterly Vice-Consul at Cienfuegos, from which position he was removed at the instance of Captain-General LERSUNDI. His brother, FERDERICK, was our Consul at Trinidad, but recently resigned his position to join the insurrection.

Under instructions from the United States Attorney-General, a *nolle prosequi* has been entered, at Richmond, in the cases of JEFFERSON DAVIS and thirty-eight other persons indicted for treason. Among these persons are WILLIAM SMITH SEDDER, BERKENRIDGE, LONGSTREET, EARLY, EWALL and FAULKNER. The securities of DAVIS are released from their bond. This action is the result of the last proclamation of amnesty.

The message of JUAREZ to the Mexican Congress congratulates that body on the celebration of the late treaty relating to the claims of Mexican and American citizens; on the concessions granted to the Mexico and Vera Cruz Railroad; on the increased individual security of men; on the law which relates to the free exportation of minerals; on that relating to public instruction, and on the one providing for the institution of military juries. It refers also to the general peace existing throughout the country, and regrets the revolution in Tamaulipas has not been suffocated, but thinks that an increase of the federal forces now in operation against the revolutionists in that State, would cause the prompt suppression of the uprising. Congress adjourned Jan. 31.

The United States steamer *Delaware*, which was sunk in 1861 by the side of the United States man-of-war *Columbus*, in the southern branch of the Elizabeth River, near Norfolk, is now being sheathed, preparatory to being raised.

Brig.-Gen. SAMPSON, of the Second Division of the Eighth Brigade of N. Y. S. N. G., died suddenly, at Rondout, N. Y., on Wednesday, from an abscess in the back.

WHALEN, the murderer of Hon. D'ARCY MCGEE, was hanged yesterday at Ottawa, Canada. A large number of persons witnessed the execution. He did not make a speech from the gallows, as it was reported he would do, but it is stated that he left a communication with the Sheriff regarding the crime for which he suffered.

The purser of the steamship *San Francisco*, which reached this port from Sisal, Vera Cruz and Havana yesterday, has our thanks for favors.

The obsequies of JAMES T. BRADY took place at St. Patrick's Cathedral yesterday. An immense congregation was present. A solemn mass of requiem was offered up, and an eulogium pronounced on the eminent lawyer by Rev. Dr. MCGLYNN, of St. Stephen's, Twenty-eighth-street. The remains were interred in the family vault under the Cathedral.

JOHN J. EGNEY, recently convicted of defrauding the Government, was yesterday taken to the Albany Penitentiary, where his sentence is to be carried into effect.

Rev. HENRY WARD BEECHER delivered his lecture on "Rational Amusements," at Cooper Institute, last evening, before a very large audience.

In consequence of the funeral of JAMES T. BRADY yesterday, the Supreme Court, (except Chambers,) the Superior Court and Court of Common Pleas, in all their branches, were closed. The offices of each Court were open in conformity to law, but, for all practical purposes, might as well have been closed for the occasion also.

The sailors held a meeting last night at Botanic Hall. Certain men of standing have taken hold of the Association, and measures are to be taken to interest the public in it.

The Board of Assistant Aldermen yesterday appropriated $20,000 for the celebration of WASHINGTON'S Birthday, and concurred in the resolution to send a Committee to Washington to obtain payment of moneys expended by the City during the war. The resolution to extend Park-place by widening Robinson-street was also concurred in.

An inquest was held yesterday over the remains of Mrs. MARGARET J. GARDLE, who died at Clarkstown, N. Y., on Aug. 2 last, under circumstances that led to the belief that she had been poisoned. The inquest, which was merely formal, resulted in a verdict of death from unknown causes. The Coroner announced that he should give a certificate to this effect in order that the body may be interred.

Cotton was quiet and rather weak in price yesterday. Breadstuffs were held with more firmness on an improved demand. Provisions were inactive; hog products were depressed. Groceries were more sought after; sugars were dearer. Freights were dull and rates lower.

Counting the Electoral Votes—What Congress Has to Do With It.

It cannot be denied that the question raised by Gen. BUTLER, in the House, on Wednesday, is one of a good deal of importance, though it is equally clear that the time and the manner of his raising it were both unfortunate. The Constitution provides that the electoral votes of the several States for President and Vice-President shall be opened and counted by the President of the Senate, *in presence of the Senate and House of Representatives*; but it makes no provision whatever for the organization, proceedings or control of the assembly created by the meeting of the Senate and House. How questions of order or of law, or of practical action that may arise in that meeting shall be entertained or decided, is not prescribed. Indeed, there is no provision whatever for any organization. The meeting is not even styled a Convention, nor is it presumed, so far as appears, that it shall have any power whatever, or even any independent existence, as a separate assembly.

The Constitution prescribes that certain acts wholly ministerial in their nature, shall be done by a certain person, the President of the Senate,—in the presence of certain other persons,—the Senate and House of Representatives. That is all.

But the usage has been for these two bodies to regard their meeting in each other's presence as a separate assembly,—as a Convention independent in form of either of the two Houses which compose it,—and to make certain rules for the control of its proceedings, these rules being made by concurrent action of the two Houses of Congress, but in the form of joint resolutions, not of laws. One such rule was adopted by joint action, known as the twenty-second joint rule, on the 6th of February, 1865, when it became evident for the first time that the question might arise whether the vote of some one State should, or should not, be counted. That rule provided that whenever such question should arise the two Houses should retire, each to its own chamber, and decide the question without debate. The concurrence of the two would of course be requisite to the validity of a decision; it was to be decided, however, simply by the action of the two Houses,—not by a law to which the Executive assent should be essential. This rule proceeded on the theory that the meeting of the two Houses to witness the counting of the votes, was not such an independent assembly as would constitute a Convention, capable of making its own rules and controlled by a majority of its own members,—but that each House preserved its right of separate and independent action, and that, by such independent action of the two Houses only, could rules be adopted for the regulation and control of its proceedings.

But when it became evident, as it did a few days since, that there would be a difference of opinion as to counting the vote of Georgia, the two Houses of Congress adopted a joint resolution prescribing exactly what should be done with the vote of Georgia,—requiring that it should be counted, and that it should be reported by the President of the Senate in one form if it did, and in another if it did not, affect the general result.

During the process of examining and declaring the votes of the several States, Gen. BUTLER objected to the vote of Georgia being received or declared, and made several propositions and points of order which the President of the Senate, "sitting in presence of the House of Representatives," refused to entertain. The two Houses then retired, each to its own chamber, and in accordance with the twenty-second joint rule, proceeded to vote on the question raised by Gen. BUTLER, whether the vote of Georgia should or should not be declared, ignoring the joint resolution previously adopted by the two Houses on that very point. The House decided, 150 to 41, that the vote of Georgia should *not* be counted; the Senate decided that it should be counted in the form prescribed by the joint resolution. When the Senate again came into "the presence of" the House, the examination of the certificates and the declaration of the votes were resumed and completed, in strict compliance with the form prescribed by the joint resolution, and of course in accordance with the decision of the Senate.

When the House resumed its independent sitting, Gen. BUTLER offered a resolution "that the House protest that the counting of the vote of Georgia by the order of the Vice-President *pro tem.* was a gross act of oppression and an invasion of the rights and privileges of the House," and in the debate on this he developed his theory of the joint meeting which had just dissolved. He held that it was a Convention, of which the President of the Senate was Chairman, to be governed by its action and subject in all things to its decisions. He was bound to entertain points of order and appeals when made from his rulings upon them. Gen. BUTLER did not distinctly claim,—though he seemed at one time inclined to do so,—that the two Houses in joint meeting might decide all questions that should arise by a majority of all the votes, which, as he justly said, would swamp the Senate altogether; but it is not easy to see precisely how he can maintain his theory of the character of the assembly without also asserting its right to decide such questions by a majority vote.

But Gen. BUTLER objected mainly to the *power of the Vice-President*, as exercised by Mr. WADE, and as sustained by the Senate against the House of Representatives. He put in a very strong light the wrong that might be done by an officer disposed to do it, under the precedent established in the present case:

"Suppose," he said, "that the Vice-President had seen fit to declare that HORATIO SEYMOUR had been elected President; or suppose the Senate had gone to its Chamber and had declared, after the votes of New-York and New-Jersey had been counted, that no more States should be counted, and having decided that, had ordered its President to take the stand with the gavel in his hand and pound down everybody else until he had declared HORATIO SEYMOUR President of the United States; what could have been the remedy? From this moment, and under this precedent, unless the House asserts its privileges, from this moment, I say your liberties and the liberties of the country are gone, and fall in the hands of an executive body known as the Senate of the United States."

This presentation of the possible evils that may result from the power given to the Vice-President and to the Senate in this matter is very striking, and is entitled to weight. But Gen. BUTLER is really objecting to the provision of *the Constitution*, rather than the joint resolution of the two Houses of Congress in this matter. He declares that resolution to be, in his judgment, utterly "unparliamentary and unconstitutional," and of no more value than the paper on which it was written. Possibly;—but *why*? On what other ground can he base this opinion of a joint resolution of the two Houses, except on the ground that it conflicts with the Constitution? But he will find that the Constitution is still more explicit in conferring *all the power* to be exercised over the electoral votes by any body upon the President of the Senate. It creates no Convention,—no joint meeting of the two Houses to be organized, presided over and guided in its action by its presiding officer, or by rules of its own adoption. It prescribes merely that "the President of the Senate" [in that character, and not as President of the Joint Convention,] "shall, in the presence of the Senate and House of Representatives, open all the certificates" [received from the electors of the respective States] "and the votes shall then be counted,"—whether by the President of the Senate or somebody else, it is not provided. No authority is given to the "President of the Senate" to decide or ascertain in any way, questions of order or any other questions that may arise in the joint meeting of the two Houses. He is there, at that meeting, not as its presiding officer, but only in his capacity as *President of the Senate* and for the performance of a single specific duty, namely, to open the certificates of the electoral votes. But there is nothing whatever in the Constitution to indicate that the House of Representatives has anything to do with the proceedings; that body is required to be present merely as a witness of what is done by the President of the Senate. It is very clear that in the view of the Constitution, the office of the President of the Senate in this matter is purely ministerial, and that the whole proceeding is for the exclusive purpose of ascertaining certain facts of importance certain records of which has been lost elsewhere,—and no provision whatever is made for settling questions that may possibly arise in so simple a process. It was evidently never supposed that a time would ever arrive when the question whether the vote of a State should, or should not, be counted, could possibly arise; and no provision was therefore made for deciding it.

But the question has arisen, and of course it may arise again; and it is scarcely safe to say that it may not bring with it all the consequences predicted by Gen. BUTLER. A Senate may declare that no votes of States, known to have voted against the Senate's choice, shall be counted, and the President of the Senate may refuse to count them. But it is scarcely safe to predict that Congress or the Government would be powerless to avert the ruinous consequences of such an act. It is generally considered the part of practical wisdom not to spend time or thought in fighting imaginary, or only apprehended, difficulties and dangers. Mr. LINCOLN expressed the common sense of the English and American mind when he said, that it is not worth while to worry about a bridge until we come to the stream. Our people and our Congress have been content, as a general thing, to contend with difficulties when they arise, and not before. It was doubtless this feeling which dictated the joint resolution in the case of Georgia. If it had been found that the vote of Georgia *would* affect the result of the election, we should have had a very different state of things, and very different action on the part of Congress.

But Gen. BUTLER's movement, as against the Senate, is by no means without significance. It indicates a *growing impatience of the pretensions of the Senate to supreme control in the Government*. These pretensions are shown in its claims to executive power, to control over appointments and removals of all executive officers, to initiate as well as ratify treaties, to the entire direction of all matters involving our relations with foreign Powers, and to the primary and paramount authority in all affairs of domestic legislation. Senator SUMNER, we believe, has said in so many words that *the Senate is the Government*; and that body seems by its action inclined to make the declaration good. Gen. BUTLER is disposed to resist these pretensions, and the House has thus far given reason to believe that it will stand by him in so doing. It voted by a very large majority to repeal the Tenure-of-Office bill, and again on Wednesday it voted, in face of the joint resolution and of the Senate, that the vote of Georgia should not be counted. The Senate will be wise enough, we hope, to avoid such a controversy by abating something of the pretensions out of which it seems likely to grow.

Congress and the Supreme Court.

The disposition of Congress to invade the domain of the Supreme Court manifested itself during the progress of reconstruction. An apprehension of judicial interference with the operation of the Reconstruction acts led to the introduction of measures designed to affect the action of the Court; and the debates on these occasions, in both Senate and House, developed the aversion of the extreme Republicans to its authority in matters affecting the relation of the General Government to the States. The feeling did not result in positive interference with the judicial function, as bearing upon the constitutionality of reconstruction, but the positions assumed by ultra Senators and members indicated a readiness to make Congress the sole judge of the constitutionality of its action in the controversy then pending.

An incidental allusion to the debate on the proposed suffrage amendment elicited from Mr. DRAKE a declaration which shows how far he at least is prepared to push the pretensions of the legislative body. In reply to a remark affecting the probable effect of a decision adverse to the constitutionality of reconstruction, the Missouri Senator is reported to have "denied that the Supreme Court had a constitutional right, under any circumstances, to pronounce *any* act of Congress unconstitutional."

Mr. WHYTE, (Democrat) of Maryland, asked him whether, if the Supreme Court should decide the Legal-tender act to be unconstitutional, the decision ought to be respected?

Mr. DRAKE replied—Not at all. He would be found standing to his position, and both *Houses of Congress would have to come to that position at last, or else recede from the bench of the Supreme Court the man who dared to attempt to cripple, by their judicial decisions, the law-making power of the country.*

We do not find that this extraordinary assertion received the indorsement of any other Senator; but, on the other hand, not one repudiated it, or exposed the revolutionary tendency which it displays. It is not probable that Mr. DRAKE's view would at present receive the sanction even of his fellow extremists, but his avowal is worthy of remembrance as an indication of the drift of things in the quarter where violent measures are most in vogue.

The time may come when the law-making power shall be absolute—when the Executive shall be reduced to the rank of a subordinate officer, and when the Supreme Court shall cease to sit in judgment upon the constitutional phases of legislation. When this point is reached, Congress will be politically omnipotent, and the only Constitution will be its will. But the road is not so smooth nor the end so near as Mr. DRAKE supposes. He evidently labors under the delusion that the extraordinary powers possessed by the Government in the midst of revolution may be extended indefinitely; and that because the people sustained Congress against States in rebellion they will also sustain it against the Constitution. We believe that this is a slight mistake, and that some more clearly realizes the fact than the great body of the party with which Mr. DRAKE professes to act. The refusal of Congress to legislate universal suffrage into all the States, and the reliance it places upon a constitutional amendment as the only means by which the object may be attained, are circumstances which prove that Republicans have not yet abandoned the Constitution as a dead letter. While that instrument continues in force the Supreme Court will exercise its functions, whether the Legal-tender act be shaken or sustained by its decision.

The Execution Murder—Justice and Justice—Our Professional Criminals.

The astounding feature in the trial of REAL for the murder of Officer SHEDRICK, was the "recommendation to mercy" which the jury coupled to its verdict of guilty.

If such deliberate murder as the shooting down of SHEDRICK be ground for "recommending to mercy," pray let us know for what sort of murders is *justice* reserved? Never, probably, was a more flagrant case of willful and cold-blooded assassination recorded; never, certainly, was evidence of it more direct and damning. This the jury recognized by their prompt verdict of guilty; and, indeed, the defence took refuge mainly in the plea that REAL had once been beaten by SHEDRICK, and feared that he was *going* to be beaten again at the time he killed his victim.

But it was proved, on the contrary, by unimpeached witnesses, that the ruffian hid behind a coal-box on the officer's beat, lay in wait for him, pistol in hand, shot him down from that ambush, and, then, rushing out, finished him with another ball. REAL himself, when arrested, voluntarily declared at the station that "he had shot SHEDRICK," that he had "put three balls in him, and if he didn't kill him it wasn't his fault."

Nor was he content with this open avowal of the murder; he went on to declare that he committed it intentionally, deliberately, with a distinct determination to do it, formed long before and nursed for days. He avowed that he killed the officer from motives of revenge, because he had arrested him and had threatened, and was likely to do it again. He "had had satisfaction," he said, and he didn't care what became of himself. We never heard of an assassination committed in colder blood, or more distinctly with malice aforethought, than this.

Why then was this confessed murderer—this avowed assassin, who gloried in his act of murder and proclaimed *revenge* to have been his only motive,—why was this man "recommended to mercy" by the jury? What was there about him, or his offence, to lead the jury to such a recommendation? It was not previous good character; for he was one of the most notorious and reckless ruffians in the City. His murder was not an act of sudden passion,—not of constructive insanity, "temporary" or otherwise; but plotted in cold blood,—deliberately followed up and perpetrated in the most remorseless manner.

REAL belonged to a large gang of notorious roughs and ruffians,—a detachment of the great army of scoundrels who follow crime of all sorts, as other men follow trades, for a living, and for the gratification of their natural instincts and appetites, who have come to regard themselves as having rights and immunities with which neither the law nor its officers must be allowed to interfere, and who combine to resist, resent and punish such interference. In murdering a Police officer, REAL had not only taken revenge for his own wrongs, but he had acted as the champion of this gang to which he belonged; and they repaid his service by mustering for his defence. They thronged the Court-room on his trial; they were present to overawe the Judge and the officers of the law; it was announced by Judge BARNARD, before the case opened, that "some of the witnesses for the prosecution had received notice that, if they testified in this case, they would be assassinated before leaving the building;" and individual members of the jury were followed and threatened with a similar fate if they did not acquit the prisoner. A Police force of about 300 was required to protect the Court-house, and prevent a forcible attempt of these scoundrels to break up the Court and rescue the murderer.

It is gratifying to be able to state that in spite of all these demonstrations, and in spite of the enforced recommendation to mercy by the jury, Judge BARNARD promptly sentenced the assassin to be hung on the 23d of April next. The delay is somewhat longer than either justice or the public good require; but if the sentence shall be even then carried into execution, we shall have the satisfaction of knowing that there is one desperate scoundrel less among us, and the class of professional thieves, assassins and ruffians may possibly be disabused of the idea that they are wholly above the law and are absolutely masters of the situation. They certainly need such a lesson very much.

The Debate on Counting the Electoral Votes.

The clearness and accuracy with which the President of the Senate ruled the points of order raised by Gen. BUTLER, on Wednesday, must have inspired in the mind of that belligerent statesman fresh regrets at the failure of his favorite project of impeachment. Its success would have made Mr. WADE President of the United States *pro tem.*, and the whole country would, in that case, have had the benefit of the brilliant intelligence, and peremptory energy, which are now confined within narrower limits. Gen. BUTLER, however, we observe, did not express anew the regrets he must have felt over the failure of impeachment, in the debate on Wednesday. Possibly time, aided by Mr. WADE's action on that day, may have somewhat abated those regrets and assuaged the poignant grief in which the General has more than once indulged on that subject heretofore.

We fail to see in the occurrences attending the counting of the electoral votes any such national disgrace or infamy as the Press has been quick to detect, or any occasion for the resolution of censure introduced in the Senate by GARRETT DAVIS. It is to be regretted that any difference of opinion should have arisen; it is always more agreeable to have everything, in such ceremonies, go off smoothly. But it could scarcely be expected that Gen. BUTLER would submit in silence to such peremptory rebuffs as Mr. WADE administered to him, or that he would fail to pay him off in full on the first opportunity. In the issue which he raised with the Senate, on behalf of the House he evidently had the sympathies of the body to which he belonged.

The debate on his resolution was renewed yesterday, and Mr. SCHELLABARGER, with his usual clearness and precision, showed that the Vice-President acted in strict accordance with the Constitution, however much his action may have shocked Gen. BUTLER's ideas of the respective rights and prerogatives of the two Houses of Congress. Mr. SCHELLABARGER holds the doctrine we have set forth more fully in another article, that the Constitution confers all the power over the counting of the electoral votes which it confers on anybody, on the President of the Senate; and that *neither the Senate nor the House has anything whatever to do with the matter, except to be in his "presence,"* so as to be witnesses of his action, in opening the certificates and counting the votes. This doctrine may be very hostile to the liberties of the country, as Gen. BUTLER says it is; but it is the doctrine of the Constitution nevertheless.

The discussion will do good, rather than harm. It will tend to clear up a point of some interest and importance, to which public attention has hitherto been but little directed.

The States of Georgia.

The position conceded to Georgia in the counting of the electoral vote for President, seems to determine the question until now in dispute in regard to that State. The fact that its vote was received and recognized, disposes of doubts as to its title to Congressional representation. A State which may vote for the President must be in the Union; and, being in the Union, it has a right to representation in the Senate and the House.

The joint resolution by which Senator EDMUNDS hoped to escape the difficulty really amounted to nothing. There was not even ingenuity in the declaration that the Georgia vote should be counted if the results were not thereby affected, but excluded if the effect were otherwise. Its terms admitted of no other interpretation. Expounding them officially, the President of the Senate said that their purport was, "that if the votes of the State of Georgia did not alter the result of the election they might be counted, and if they did alter the result, they were not to be counted." But the artifice is too puerile to serve the purpose for which it was intended. For the right of a State is not contingent upon the political complexion of its vote. Whether it might elect GRANT or whether it might defeat him, had nothing to do with the point to be decided. That was a simple yea or nay on the question of admitting or excluding the vote. And when an affirmative decision was rendered, as it was on Wednesday, the status of Georgia was practically settled.

The acceptance of the vote is an unconditional recognition of the power to vote. No special pleading based upon the Edmunds joint resolution or on any other ground, can at all modify the essential fact. The joint action of the two Houses in receiving the vote admits of no appeal. It is positive and conclusive as well as to the legal regularity of the vote as to the authority of the State to give it. There is no middle ground in the premises. That State cannot be out of the Union, or as a State subject to Constitutional penalties, whose voice for SEYMOUR and BLAIR was formally listened to by the President of the Senate in the presence of both Houses.

The result is especially noteworthy, because directly occasioned by the Senate, which has thus far refused admission to the Georgia Senators. Had Gen. BUTLER's objections prevailed, we should have said that exclusion from the Electoral College in this case implied exclusion from the Union. But these objections having been overruled—arbitrarily and irregularly, we must admit, but still overruled—the theory of the Senate Judiciary Committee is exploded, and the unwillingness to admit Mr. HILL ceases to be excusable. We do not look for absolute consistency in these matters, but when an important constitutional principle is at stake, we must assume that the Senate will adhere to the logical consequences of its own proceeding. After declaring that the votes of Georgia should be received, it cannot, without self-stultification, deny the right of the State to Senatorial representation.

The Erie Railroad Suits.

We published in the TIMES of yesterday Judge CARDOZO's decision in the Erie Railway case,—vacating the previous order of Judge SUTHERLAND by which the illegal action of the directors of the Erie Railroad was restrained and Ex-Judge DAVIES appointed receiver of the road. The opinion was very long and elaborate and had the effect, of course, of denying the relief for which BELMONT and others had applied to the Court against the wrongful and injurious acts of the directors of the road.

Mr. BELMONT, however, has commenced a fresh suit in the United States Court, which will subject this whole matter to a decision in that tribunal.

MINOR TOPICS.

Some of the newspapers are doing very great mischief by persistently asserting that races are got up between ocean steamers by their owners or captains, and that they have large sums of money staked on the result. The fact that both owners and captains deny these assertions in the most explicit and peremptory manner seems to make not the slightest difference. The newspapers ignore all this, and reiterate their statements over and over again as if their truth had been established. The public is thus kept in a state of anxiety and alarm about the safety of friends who have embarked, and discredit is thrown upon all the managers of the various lines of ocean steamers. The newspapers gain by their reckless assertions nothing but the cheap satisfaction of having created a short-lived sensation,—which apparently is all they want.

Recorder HACKETT performed another good day's work yesterday among the burglars—sending another batch of them promptly to the State Prison for long terms. There can certainly be no doubt of the sincerity of Recorder HACKETT's efforts to repress crime, so far as the terrors of the law will act in that direction. He now expresses the determination to carry on the work at any cost and against every adverse influence.

An evening paper says there is reason to believe that the whole matter of City locomotion will speedily be solved, inasmuch as somebody has invented a method of using cows as a propelling power on city railroads, and that it is to be tested in Williamsburg. We do not derive any great consolation from this fact. The thing to be done is to get our railroad managers to adopt such a mode of propulsion, and to induce our Common Council to permit it to be used. Whenever it is discovered and put in practice we, too, shall have hope that the whole problem will be solved,—but not before.

It is scarcely necessary to call the reader's attention to the carefully prepared article on crime in New-York, printed on the first page of the TIMES this morning. The facts presented speak for themselves. The frequency, impunity and increase of offences against life and property in this City, the remissness of the Police, the shameless partiality of the magistracy, and the general inefficiency of our whole system for the prevention, detection and punishment of crime, are matters that deserve the thoughtful attention of every citizen.

BUTLER has succeeded in raising another storm in both branches of Congress. See the proceedings of yesterday, in addition to those of Wednesday. He will doubtless find encouragement from the resolution of censure which Senator GARRETT DAVIS yesterday introduced to the Senate.

Some of the book-printers on a strike have taken our advice about starting a cooperative printing establishment; and they are to hold a meeting to-night to admit shareholders. It is important that the business of organization should be done properly. We have heard of some features in the present scheme which we fear are not favorable to its success. The proper way would be to organize it on the same plan and principles as govern the cooperative printing company already in existence,—which is a success.

In another part of this morning's paper our London correspondent gives a full, clear and interesting account, from personal observation, of the operation of the Underground Railroad in London. It will repay careful perusal, as it throws light on the improvements of a similar kind projected for our own City. Just at present, however, the Elevated Railway offers the most hopeful prospects of success and adoption.

WHALEN, the Fenian murderer of D'ARCY MCGEE, was executed yesterday at Ottawa, Canada. His last words were, "God save Ireland! God save my soul!"

Everything seems to show that our law Courts cannot cope with the growth of crime in our cities—at least on the present system of action—and that some supplemental influence must be brought to bear on the difficulty. Malefactors should not be allowed to swarm together in a festering mass. They should be scattered—the worst sent to some distant and penal settlement, for life, and the reclaimable helped into some way of retrieving themselves and benefiting society. As it is, and in the natural growth of population in this land of rough, irrepressible freedom, New-York would, in a decade or so, require an army of 10,000 men, cavalry, infantry and marines, to make a show of saving people from one another. Our *shystering* law-work and its jugglery must give way to something more peremptory and more simply just to society at large.

It is said that the reason the jury recommended the murderer REAL to the leniency of the Court, was because of the intimidation that had been exercised against them by the audacious gang of ruffians who are the murderer's companions. Really, the criminals are attempting to carry things with a high hand in this City. But what is to be thought of a jury who can thus be intimidated?

It does not tend to increase the confidence of the public in the efficiency of the Police to find that members of the force will use their own discretion, at times, in the matter of giving or withholding important evidence. On the trial of REAL for the murder of SHEDRICK, it appeared that Officer LAMBERT kept back, at the time of the Coroner's inquest, a piece of testimony which told with most effect against the prisoner. The impropriety of this must have been evident to every one; and such exercise of individual judgment should be discountenanced as much as possible in an institution so important to society as that of the Police.

Mr. MCALPINE, in his lecture on Modern Engineering the other evening, informed his audience, as an instance of the progress of modern engineering, that the express locomotives on the "Paris and Dover Railway" have twelve wheels, and "weigh upward of sixty tons." We have never traveled on the "Paris and Dover" Railroad, but this statement regarding its engines is important, if true.

Everybody who has visited Havana has seen the immense and palatial residence of Señor ALDAMA,—a man who, though one of the wealthiest of Cuban nabobs, has long been known for his liberal political principles. We have lately had a mention of the assassination of his daughter, Señorita ALDAMA, at the theatre; but the Havana correspondent of the New-Orleans Times gives a different account of the affair from that already published. It appears that, at the period of the recent political outbreak, the young lady was one evening present in the theatre, seated in her father's box, when the Cuban revolutionary song happened to be sung; and the audience, seeing on her left breast the American colors, with the inscription, "Long live the Republic of Cuba," sprang to their feet and cheered her enthusiastically. As she rose to acknowledge the salute, she was shot with a revolver and instantly killed—the deed being done by a Spaniard in the audience, who, in turn, was instantly shot by an American who occupied the box adjoining Señorita ALDAMA. Instantly, the whole theatre was the scene of the greatest confusion, and the Spanish troops rushed in and commenced firing upon the masses present, as described in letters we have already published. We suppose that when the time comes that Cuba shall be liberated from Spanish domination, (and it must be liberated some time,) the name and figure of Señorita ALDAMA will shine out lustrously and beautifully, and she will pass into the history and traditions of a liberated country, to be worshiped forever as a heroine and martyr.

Yesterday's cable informed us of two interesting points in English politics. The first, that the Conservatives are preparing for a vigorous opposition in Parliament—which is a matter of course if they are still alive, as they indubitably are. The second, that Mr. GLADSTONE proposes to abolish the University tests. This is indeed a fine "leap ahead," in good earnest. If

TELEGRAMS.

SPAIN.

Meeting of the Constitutional Cortes—Movements of the Carlists.

MADRID, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The greatest interest is felt in the proceedings of the Constituent Cortes, the sessions of which commence here to-day. RIVERO will certainly be chosen President. A majority of the members favor FERDINAND, father of the reigning King of Portugal, as the future ruler of Spain. Should he refuse the Duke of Montpensier will probably be chosen.

The movements of the Carlists in Guipuzcoa, Biscay and Alava (commonly known as the Basque Provinces) are quite active. The French Government is watching the frontier closely.

Ten thousand troops are to sail immediately for Cuba.

PARIS, Thursday, Feb. 11.

Twenty-eight Carlists, who were attempting to cross the frontier yesterday, were arrested by a body of the French Corps of Observation and sent to Bayonne.

LISBON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The United States steamer Richmond arrived here to-day on her way to join the European Squadron. All on board are well.

GREAT BRITAIN.

Reverdy Johnson and the Alabama Treaty—Banquet to the Ministry.

LONDON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

REVERDY JOHNSON was the guest of the Corporation of Glasgow at dinner yesterday. After the usual toasts Mr. JOHNSON made a speech, in the course of which he defended the treaty recently made for the settlement of the Alabama claims. He regarded this treaty as one settling the points at issue and preventing further trouble in future. He quoted from Earl RUSSELL as saying that the escape of the Alabama from a British port was due to the insanity of the law officer of the Crown.

The House of Lords met to-day, but, without business of importance, adjourned until the 16th inst.

LONDON, Thursday, Feb. 11—Midnight.

A banquet was given to the Ministry this evening, at Fishmongers' Hall. Mr. GLADSTONE made a speech. He reviewed the progress already made in carrying into effect the resolves for the disestablishment of the Irish Church, and declared his intention of proceeding speedily and prudently, encouraged by constitutional knowledge, by the character of the Queen, who associates herself with the interests and affections of the people, by the character and ability of his colleagues, by the favorable judgment of the country and by the justice of the cause.

Mr. BRIGHT also addressed the company. He condemned the profuse expenditure of past years, and urged the necessity on the part of the House of Commons of being more positive and decided on the question of economy.

GREECE.

The Chambers to Meet Immediately.

ATHENS, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The Chambers have been convoked by the new Ministry, and will meet within a few days.

PORTUGAL.

The Iberian Union Project Revived.

LISBON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

A dispatch from Lisbon mentions that the project for an Iberian Union is again renewed.

CUBA.

Two American Officers Become Insurrectionary Leaders.

HAVANA, Thursday, Feb. 11.

It is reported that the leaders of the revolution in the sugar districts of Cienfuegos, Villa Clara and Trinidad are ADOLFO CAVADA and his brother, FREDERICK CAVADA. The former was recently American Vice-Consul at Cienfuegos, and was removed at the request of Captain-General LERSUNDI. He was Colonel of a Philadelphia regiment of Zouaves during the civil war in America. The latter was until last week the American Consul at Trinidad de Cuba, and has just resigned.

Telegraphic communication between Havana and Sagua-la-Grande, and Villa Clara, which had been destroyed by the revolutionists, has been restored.

HAVANA, Thursday, Feb. 11—Evening.

Arrests continue to be frequently made by the military and the Police of suspected parties in the city and throughout the island. Among the prisoners are many well-known planters, merchants and lawyers. The English Consul at Cardenas is also among those arrested.

The *Prensa* says that at present JIMENEZ, PLANITA and CASSANOVA are the only rebel leaders in the Central Department. None of the other chiefs with their men have appeared. The number of the rebel forces at Camarones is supposed to be three thousand.

The meeting of the merchants at the Palace last night to raise funds for the Government had no decisive result. A mixed commission of merchants and planters was appointed with instructions to report a feasible plan for supplying the needs of the Government quickly and securely, without imposing additional burdens upon the middle or poorer classes.

The yacht *Henrietta* has arrived from New-York with Gen. VAN ALEN and his friends on board. Gen. VAN ALEN dined with Admiral HORN to-day.

CANADA.

Execution at Ottawa of Whalen, the Murderer of D'Arcy McGee.

OTTAWA, Canada, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The morning opened dull, accompanied with drifting snow from the east. The country people began to arrive at an early hour, and set about securing good positions to see the scaffold and hear the last words of WHALEN, who was to be there executed. Up to 9 o'clock A. M. there were about 300 people on the east side of the jail. By 10:30 o'clock the crowd had increased to 7,000 or 8,000, but all was quiet and orderly. At 10 o'clock the Prison physician reported WHALEN in good nerve, and that no agitation or flurry was noticeable in him. A short time after the Sheriff announced that everything was in readiness for the execution. At 11 o'clock WHALEN was pinioned, and, accompanied by the Sheriff and three priests, led from the prison, looking very pale and excited; but he moved along with a firm step and mounted the stairway to the scaffold, responding, as he walked, distinctly to the prayers of the priests. After the Paternoster had been repeated he said: "I beg pardon for my offence I may have committed. I forgive all parties who have injured me. I ask forgiveness from any one I may have injured. God save Ireland, and God save my soul!" The executioner then fitted on the white cap and drew it over his face, adjusted the rope, and in a few moments the drop fell, and WHALEN hung by the neck for four minutes, suffering intensely, and then breathed no more. The execution took place at 11:15 o'clock A. M., precisely. It is rumored that WHALEN left a written paper in the custody of the Sheriff, the purport of which has not yet been made public.

Appearance of the Indians at Fort McPherson.

ST. LOUIS, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The Indians again made their appearance on the south side of the Platte, near Fort McPherson. Two companies of troops were sent from Omaha last night in anticipation of serious disturbances, as the appearance of the Indians in that neighborhood is in violation of the treaty and indicates mischief.

The Cornell University—Meeting of the Directors.

ALBANY, N. Y., Thursday, Feb. 11.

A meeting of the Board of Directors of the Cornell University is now being held at Geological Hall, Hon. EZRA CORNELL in the chair, and Hon. A. B. WEAVER Secretary. Mr. CORNELL read a statement relative to the condition and progress of the new building. The resources of the University amount to $76,711 per annum; the expenses for professors to $68,200 per annum.

Hon. GEO. W. SCHUYLER, Treasurer, read a report showing the receipts to be $108,008, and expenses $101,616. Hon. A. D. WHITE, President of the University, presented his report, giving in detail the operations of the institution.

WOMAN SUFFRAGE.

Two Conventions of Women in Chicago in One Day—The Second Division.

CHICAGO, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The two Woman Suffrage Conventions commenced their sessions to-day. Some months since the Second Society determined to hold a mass convention, and appointed committees, invited speakers, &c. Simultaneously slighted members of the same Society met and arranged also to hold a convention. Both accidentally chose as one of the days for the Convention, that on which Miss ANNA DICKINSON lectures, and both committees were anxious to secure her services. The Second Division No. 1, aristocratic branch, met in Crosby's Music Hall, and Division No. 2 in the Library Hall, the latter meeting being the stronger. Mrs. STANTON and Miss ANTHONY commenced at 11 A. M. No. 2 was called to order, and Mr. E. J. GOODSPEED was elected Chairman pro tem., and Mrs. LIVERMORE vigorously stated the object of the meeting. Miss ANTHONY sketched the history of the movement, and rejoiced at the agitation and division of the Chicago Sorosis, as a proof that women were asserting their rights. The Northwest ought to demand, through the Convention, that Congress should include women in the new amendment of the Constitution. Mrs. STANTON always believed in woman's right to vote, the best way to develop a high manhood being to elevate her.

Rev. ROBERT COLLYER opened the afternoon session with prayer.

Mrs. LIVERMORE, of Chicago, was elected permanent President. Prominent ministers, Judges and ladies were elected Vice-Presidents.

On motion of Mrs. BLACKWELL, a committee was appointed to visit Springfield and urge the Illinois Legislature to pass laws protecting widows and orphans.

In the evening there was a rush to hear Mrs. STANTON and an immense audience filled the Library Hall. This is probably the greatest woman's suffrage Convention ever held. At 8 o'clock Mrs. STANTON, being introduced, delivered an address, she has sent to Congress in support of a series of resolutions favoring woman suffrage. The reading of the address occupied nearly three quarters of and hour, and it was listened to with close attention. The discussion then took a rambling form, resulting in the adoption of the resolutions. The Convention will continue in session to-morrow.

It was nearly noon before the Sorosis No. 2 organized. Mr. W. PERKINS, of Ottowa, Ill., was elected Chairman pro tem. Rev. R. HAREO COLLYER regretted the split in the Sorosis. He favored neither female nor universal suffrage, but approved of all real human progress. Mrs. WILLARD, of Boston, said man's invention of genius had relegated woman to menial labor and given her a chance to become educated and time to attend to the government of society. The report of the Committee on Permanent Organization was adopted, electing Mr. PERKINS President, and five Bridge ladies Vice-Presidents. Prof. DEWEY completed the R. R. COLYER's last of sympathy, and contended that suffrage ought to be universal. Mrs. MILLS gave an historical sketch of the Chicago Sorosis, and explained the cause of the division. In the afternoon the Committee on Resolutions reported resolutions condemning Congress for proposing an amendment to the Constitution without extending the franchise to the woman; and declaring that a woman is a man's equal and coöperator, and should also be his equal in legislation and politics.

The evening session was very thinly attended and devoid of particular interest.

TENNESSEE.

Certificates to Congressmen—The Militia—Fire in Nashville.

KNOXVILLE, Tenn., Thursday, Feb. 11.

Gov. BROWNLOW issued a proclamation, this morning, granting certificates of election to LEWIS TILLMAN in the Fourth Congressional District, as against SWAYZE, and to W. J. SMITH, in the Eighth District, as against JOHN W. LEFTWICH.

The Legislature yesterday voted to request the Governor to disband the militia now in the field, and it also defeated the Omnibus Railroad bill.

NASHVILLE, Tenn., Thursday, Feb. 11.

A fire occurred this forenoon, between 5 and 6 o'clock, at Franklin, in this State, and before it could be arrested property to the amount of $100,000 was destroyed. The fire originated in a dry-goods store and continued until the entire row of buildings, from the southwest corner of the Public-square to HARRISON's, on West Main-street, was consumed. The fire was the work of a negro incendiary, who was shot down as he emerged from the store he had fired. Most of the property was insured, but the catastrophe nearly puts an end to the business of FRANKLIN.

It is probable that the resolution adopted by the House requiring the Governor to disband the militia will meet the concurrence of the Senate, though strong efforts will be made to defeat it.

MEMPHIS, Tenn., Thursday, Feb. 11.

An affray occurred last night between two negroes, named DOBSON and SNYDER, in which the former, after being shot at by the latter, drew a carving knife and cut SNYDER in a dreadful manner. DOBSON fled.

Important Railroad Movement—A Through Route to Montreal.

RUTLAND, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The Lebanon Springs Railroad, just completed and soon to be put in operation, has been leased perpetually to the Bennington and Rutland Railroad, and the latter road has been purchased, and is now owned by WM. BUTLER DUNCAN, of the firm of DUNCAN, SHERMAN & Co., of New-York; OLIVER CHARLICK, President of the Long Island Railroad; L. W. PARK, Gen. H. HENRY BAXTER, SAMUEL J. TILDEN, Major A. A. SELDEN and others. The owners yesterday organized by electing a Board of Directors, consisting of Oliver Charlick, Wm. Butler Duncan, A. A. Selden, Samuel J. Tilden, of New-York; L. W. Park, John N. Baxter, Henry Blood, Carlton T. E. Stevens and E. J. Phillips, of Vermont. The Board elected OLIVER CHARLICK, President, and L. W. PARK, Vice-President. The Company have made arrangements with the Harlem Railroad for a close connection for a long term of years. Palace and sleeping cars are to be put on when the road is opened, and will be run from New-York to Montreal without change of cars.

Abandonment of the Prosecution Against Jeff. Davis and Other Rebels.

RICHMOND, Va., Thursday, Feb. 11.

In the United States District Court to-day, the District-Attorney, under instructions from the Attorney-General, in accordance with President JOHNSON's Amnesty Proclamation, entered a *nolle prosequi* in both indictments against JEFFERSON DAVIS, and in those against LEE, WADE HAMPTON, BRECKINRIDGE, LONGSTREET, WISE, PETOR, SEDDES, MAHONE, EARLY and thirty others. On motion of ROBERT OULD, the Court ordered that the securities on DAVIS' bail bond be discharged from further consideration.

The Illinois Legislature.

CHICAGO, Ill., Thursday, Feb. 11.

The Lake Park bill, which was passed in the Illinois Assembly yesterday, cedes to the City of Chicago a strip of land four hundred feet wide and half a mile long, east of Michigan-avenue, partially submerged by the lake. The proceeds of the sale or lease are to constitute a park fund for the city.

The land east of the Illinois Central Railroad track and breakwater, for one mile, all under water, is granted to that Company.

Gen. FULLER's second railroad bill is more objectionable than the one that was vetoed. It not only compels the Railroad Company to adopt a cheap uniform fare, but prevents their granting commutation or excursion half-fare tickets.

The Nebraska Legislature.

ST. LOUIS, Thursday, Feb. 11.

The Special Committee of the Nebraska Legislature having the matter in charge, reported back the memorial joint resolution praying for female suffrage, and recommending its passage.

The Fraudulent Naturalization Cases at Trenton, N. J.

TRENTON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

WM. A. TRENDWELL, arraigned to-day on five indictments for forging naturalization papers, and conspiring with others with same intent, pleaded not guilty, and was bailed for $5,000 to appear daily, pending trial, with NOAH TAYLOR and SAMUEL RAY, sureties. ABM. LEAK, charged with falsely swearing to certificates of naturalization, was admitted to bail for $5,000 to appear on the 2d of February. The trial of GEORGE W. THORN, Collector in Fifth District, for breach of bond, involving $100,000, was opened to-day.

The Duties of the President of Harvard College.

BOSTON, Thursday, Feb. 11.

At an adjourned meeting of the Overseers of Harvard College to-day, the proposition to enable the corporation to nominate a candidate for President was discussed, the prevailing opinion expressed being that the duties of the office of President should be definitely laid down before an election. An adjournment of two weeks was carried, when the committee on the subject will probably report.

The Funeral of Ex-Gov. Hubbard, of Maine.

AUGUSTA, Me., Thursday, Feb. 11.

Ex-Gov. HUBBARD was buried this afternoon at Hallowell. The funeral services were held in the Baptist Church, and were conducted by all the clergymen in that city. Gov. CHAMBERLAIN and the Council, a large number of members of the Legislature, and a great concourse from different parts of the State were in attendance.

The Kansas Legislature.

ST. LOUIS, Thursday, Feb. 11.

Both Houses of the Kansas Legislature have adopted a memorial to Congress in aid of the central branch of the Pacific Railroad, the St. Joseph and Denver Road, and the eastern division of the Union Pacific Road. Also, a memorial to Congress to tax the lands held by the Indians under patents.

Thomas Lafon Convicted of Manslaughter.

NEWARK, N. J., Thursday, Feb. 11.

The jury in the trial of THOMAS LAFON, for the killing of JOSEPH HERRING, rendered a verdict for manslaughter with a recommendation to mercy. The jury was out about four hours. The sentence will be passed Saturday.

The South Carolina Legislature.

COLUMBIA, S. C., Wednesday, Feb. 10.

The Governor sent in a veto message to the Legislature to-day, returning with his objections the act to lend the name and credit of the State to the Greenville and Columbia Railroad Company.

Miscellaneous Telegrams.

ELMIRA, N. Y., Feb. 11.—WM. WALSH, a laborer, was killed about 9 o'clock last evening, a few miles east of this city, by the cars on the Erie Railway. He stepped from one track to the other to allow a train to pass, when a train coming from the opposite direction struck him, killing him instantly.

NEWARK, N. J., Feb. 11.—JOSEPH SCHFIELD, an intelligent hatter, committed suicide at his boarding-house this morning by blowing his brains out with a pistol. He leaves a family residing in Brooklyn.

BINGHAMTON, N. Y., Feb. 11.—The town elections held in Broome County this week resulted in a Republican gain. The Board of Supervisors this year consists of sixteen Republicans and four Democrats.

WORCESTER, Mass., Feb. 11.—The case of PIKE, charged with poisoning his wife, was again before the Police Court at Fitchburg this morning, but after a long argument of the question of postponement, the examination was continued to the 20th inst.

BOSTON, Feb. 11.—SOL. AARON, a well-known pugilist, is under arrest on indictment for aiding in the late prize fight at Lynnfield.

EUROPEAN NEWS BY MAIL.

ARRIVAL OF THE CUNARD STEAMSHIP CHINA.

The Cunard steamship *China*, which left Liverpool Jan. 30, and Queenstown Jan. 31, reached this port yesterday, with one day's later news than was at hand by the *St. Laurent*.

The six directors of OVEREND, GURNEY & Co. (limited) were on Jan. 27 committed for trial on the charge of conspiracy to defraud the shareholders. The Court was densely packed in every part, and on the Lord Mayor announcing his decision, loud cheers were given, and were taken up by an immense crowd which had gathered outside. In reply to the usual caution, Mr. J. H. GURNEY, Mr. BARCLAY, Mr. GORDON and Mr. RENNIE each made a statement to the effect that they had no intention to defraud; that in forming the Company they had acted in perfect good faith, and that they desired the fullest investigation. The defendants were released on bail, each in $10,000, and two sureties of $5,000.

A mysterious affair has created a sensation in the Faubourg St. Germain, Paris. A son of the Comte DE MOUSTIER, nearly connected with the Ex-Minister, aged 17, attending the course of preparatory lectures for St. Cyr, quitted his parents' house on Sunday week, having previously asked for sixty francs to distribute among some poor relations he was in the habit of visiting. He neither returned home nor appeared in his accustomed place at the lecture. On Jan. 29 he was picked up on the sea coast near the Hague, in a state of asphyxia, gagged, dripping wet, and wounded in the shoulder. In his pocket-book were found twenty francs, and most fortunate his name and address. The French Legation at the Hague was instantly apprised of the fact. The Secretary to the Minister telegraphed to Comte DE MOUSTIER, who instantly started, and found his son partly paralyzed, and only able to speak after two days of medical treatment. He had been brought to Paris, but he could give no account of his adventure.

The Prussian journals state that on the occasion of the anniversary of King FREDERICK III.'s birthday, the founder of the "Order of Merit," the Superior Chapter, sitting at Berlin, has just conferred the title of Knight on the King of Saxony, for a remarkable translation of DANTE's *Divine Comedia*. The Prussian representative at Dresden presented the insignia to His Majesty at a special audience on Jan. 25.

The property of King GEORGE of Hanover, at present placed under the administration of the Prussian authorities, amounts in value, according to a statement in the Berlin papers, to $3,074,000, which, placed in the Prussian funds, would bring in an annual revenue of about $6,200. The expense of the management, which is under the direction of the Superior President of the Council of Hanover, reaches $37,000.

The King of Prussia, according to some of the Berlin journals, has just made his *début* as a journalist by publishing in a small print, much read in the army, an article entitled "In January, 1869," vaunting the new organization of the military service of the country, and criticising the conduct of the deputies whose objections, had they been listened to, would not have permitted Prussia to inscribe Sadowa in her military annals.

ALBANY.

The New State Constitution—Tolls on Railroad Freight—Absence of the Railroad Lobby—The Governor's First Veto.

From Our Own Correspondent.

ALBANY, Thursday, Feb. 11, 1869.

The Senate, in Committee of the Whole to-day resumed the discussion of Mr. POLGER's bill for submitting the new Constitution to a vote of the people on the fourth Tuesday in April next. The question as to what should be the indorsement on the ballots for or against negro suffrage was finally decided in favor of the provision of the bill as reported—leaving the indorsement as follows: "Constitution—property qualification." Senator O'DONNELL surprised the Senate by moving an amendment to the bill so as to submit section 15 of article 8 of the Constitution to a separate vote. The section as it now stands provides that "real and personal property shall be subject to a uniform rule of taxation." This Mr. O'DONNELL considered wrong, and unless he could be permitted to dissent from that section he would be compelled to vote against the entire Constitution. The people should not be prevented by the organic law from imposing special taxes on those corporations which were best able to bear taxation. He charged that this section was inserted in the new Constitution through the influence of corporations—an influence whose baleful effects were seen in all the legislative halls of the country. Something had got to be done to lighten the taxation on real estate; the farmers were overburdened with taxes, while rich corporations appeared to conspire together to evade taxation.

The Committee rose before taking a vote on Mr. O'DONNELL's amendment.

IMPOSING TOLLS ON RAILROADS.

Senator CRAMER introduced a bill to compel all railroads running parallel, or nearly parallel, to canals, &c., within thirty miles of the same, to pay toll on all property transported by them other than the luggage of passengers—the amount of toll is to be the same as that paid by the canals, and into the same fund.

THE ASSEMBLY.

spent most of the morning session in Committee of the Whole on General Orders, and many bills of a local character were ordered to a third reading. A special session is to be held this evening, to continue the discussion of the New-York Commission, and also to debate Mr. LABAU's resolution asking Congress to amend the Naturalization laws.

AFTER THE RAILROADS.

Mr. CRAIG, of Brooklyn, offered a motion, which lies over, calling for all sorts of information from the Erie Railroad Company. A very strong desire is manifested, by a portion of the Legislature, to do something that shall bring the railroad kings to Albany again this Winter. This is the secret of most of the bills, resolutions and investigating committees about railroads. The enormous sums of money spent here last Winter, during the quarrels of the railroad kings, seem to have utterly demoralized a portion of the Legislature, and they long for a return of that festive season when greenbacks were plenty as snowflakes, and votes were worth five, ten or twenty thousand dollars apiece. But the railroad men have learned wisdom. It is reported that they have determined not to spend a cent this Winter, but to rely for protection on the Courts, being confident that the lawyers will be able to drive a locomotive, or a whole train of cars if necessary, through any bill that the Legislature can enact.

THE FIRST VETO.

Gov. HOFFMAN exercised his veto prerogative for the first time to-day, in returning to the Assembly a bill authorizing the First Presbyterian Society of the town of Perry to dispose of its real estate. The veto is as follows:

STATE OF NEW-YORK, EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT, ALBANY, Feb. 11, 1869.

To the Assembly:

I return without my signature an act entitled "An act to authorize the Trustees of the First Presbyterian Church and Society of the town of Perry to convey certain real estate," which was passed in the Assembly, Jan. 19, 1869, and in the Senate, Feb. 1. No special legislation is necessary to attain the object of the bill. The Legislature long ago very wisely provided by a general law for such cases. It will be seen by reference to the portion of our Revised Statutes which relates to religious corporations, that the Supreme Court may, upon application, make an order for the sale of real estate, belonging to such corporation, and direct the application of the moneys arising therefrom to such uses as the Court may deem to be proper and for the interest of the society. The wisdom of the Legislature, in placing this power, by a general enactment in the Supreme Court, has never been doubted, and need not now be discussed. It may be convenient in some instances to have special legislation in the nature of a license for the sale of the property of a Church; but it is always safer to refer the applicants to the Court, the rules and regulations of which provide for proper notice to parties who may be interested in such application. I am sorry to be compelled to interpose my veto in the case of a bill of so little apparent importance; but I have long deplored the fact that so many applications are made for special legislation in cases which are or should be covered by general laws. These applications press heavily upon the valuable time of public men, and add largely to the public expense. They should be discouraged, rather than encouraged. General laws should be passed to meet, as far as possible, the requirements and wants of the people; and special legislation should always be required in cases which are already covered by general enactments.

(Signed) JOHN T. HOFFMAN.

The veto was unanimously sustained. The Governor will be lucky if his future vetoes meet a similar fate.

THE EXCISE LAW.

Mr. BLISS, counsel for the Excise Commissioners, is here, and will have a hearing before the Committee on Internal Affairs this afternoon on the various amendments that have been offered to the present Excise law. There is a general sentiment among the Republican members of the Legislature in favor of some modifications of the law, and it will doubtless undergo some changes this session. The counsel for the Commissioners does not oppose reasonable modifications, and is willing to consent to an extension of the hours during which liquor shops are allowed to keep open, and also to a more equal distribution of the tax in the matter of license fees. S.

THE STATE LEGISLATURE.

SENATE. ALBANY, Thursday, Feb. 11.

BILLS INTRODUCED.

By Mr. GERNET—To complete high-service water works at Carmansville, in the City of New-York.

By Mr. MURPHY—To amend the code of procedure.

By Mr. TWEED—To incorporate the New-York Real Estate Banking Company, with a capital of $200,000. The first corporators named are Samuel A. Lewis, Griffith Rowe, Benjamin Nathan, James M. Bunce and Terrance Farley.

By Mr. HUMPHREY—To incorporate the Trustees of the Wadsworth Library at Geneseo. Also, for the further endowment of the Geneseo College. Also, to legalize the acts of JOHN M. BEACH, of Geneseo.

By Mr. VAN PATTEN—To amend the charter of the village of Harlem. Also, to authorize the Regents of the University to apply $2,500 to purchase books for the benefit of Academies.

By Mr. FOLGER—Relative to a review by the Assessors of the assessment rolls.

By Mr. FARRIN—To amend the laws relative to rural Cemetery Associations.

By Mr. O'DONNELL—To authorize the construction of a bridge over Beaver River, in Lewis County.

By Mr. CASTLEWELL—To lay out a highway in West Farms, Westchester County; also, to establish the office of Receiver of Taxes in New-Rochelle, Westchester County.

By Mr. MATTOON—That every notary public may continue to discharge the duties of his office after his term may have expired, till a successor has been appointed.

By Mr. CRAMER—Providing that any railroad company running parallel with the canals, and within thirty miles of such canal, such company shall pay to the canal fund on all property the same toll upon that part of the road running parallel to the canal that would have been payable to the State if such property had been transported over the canals.

By Mr. PIERCE—To incorporate the Passenger Transit Company between the Cities of New-York and Brooklyn.

Senator GERNET's bill proposes empowering the New-York City authorities to expend the sum of $500,000 in addition to the amount heretofore given, for high-service water works, and $190,000 for completion of storage and reservoirs in Putnam County.

BILLS PASSED.

In relation to normal schools. To authorize the town of Manhatt to construct a bridge across Rocky Creek. To amend the charter of the village of Red Creek. To incorporate the Board of Education of the Methodist Episcopal Church. Requiring the accounts of the Superintendent of the Poor of Allegany County to be audited by the Board of Supervisors. To provide for the improvement of Sacket River. To authorize towns in Jefferson County to fence stock in the Carthage, Watertown and Sackett's Harbor Railroad Company. Relative to the purchase by the Flushing and Northside Railroad Company, of the Woodside Railroad. To authorize the extension of the Clove Branch Railroad. For the construction of a Court-house in Salem, Washington County. Senator MURPHY presented the memorial of the Kings County Supervisors, asking for the continuance of the General Storekeeper in the Kings County Almshouse. Adjourned.

ASSEMBLY.

BILLS PASSED.

To authorize the Village of Hamilton to issue bonds and to take stock in the Utica, Clinton and Binghamton Railroad. To release the interest of the State in certain lands in Highland, Sullivan County. To change the name of the "Devoe Print Manufacturing Company." To incorporate the Round Lake Camp-meeting Association. To incorporate the Andrew Johnson Club of New-York City. Authorizing the General Theological Seminary of the Protestant Episcopal Church to confer honorary degrees in theology. To legalize the acts of JOSEPH GUTHAIE, Jr., a notary public. To legalize the acts of JAMES SOMERVOR, a Justice of the Peace of Lewis County, to settle the affairs of JERVIS D. GILSON, a former clerk of Clinton Prison. Making the waters of Harrison Creek in Canton, St. Lawrence County, a public highway. For the drainage of certain lands in Ira, Cayuga County. To authorize the borrowing of money for the erection of a schoolhouse in Sherman, Chautauqua County. To confirm the official acts of certain town officers in the County of Westchester. To release to SUSAN QUINN the State's interest in certain lands. To restrict the service of civil process on non-resident stockholders. To provide for taking affidavits in other States, to be used in this State. To incorporate the Pinaing Library Association. To establish a police force and commission in Syracuse. To change the name of the Manhattan Mutual Benefit Company, of the City of New-York. In relation to the opening of roads through lands devoted to vineyards. To permit the Fulton Manufacturing Company to reduce the number of trustees.

BILLS INTRODUCED.

By Mr. HUBBS—To provide for the grading of Oswego-street, Fredonia.

By Mr. HITCHMAN—To authorize the Commissioners of Public Charities and Correction, of New-York, to establish an industrial school on Hart's Island.

By Mr. COWGILL—Relative to the elections in Bethlehem, Albany County.

By Mr. HIGH—Authorizing the trustees of the Society of Disciples, of Newstead, Erie County, to sell property; also, relative to the tolls on the Buffalo and Williamsville Road.

By Mr. BRINCK—To authorize the village of Adams to aid in the construction of the Hodgeford Collegiate Institute.

By Mr. JACOBS—To extend the term of service of the Sheriff of Kings County. To authorize the Kings County Court Judges to send persons to the Penitentiary.

By Mr. CLARK—To amend the laws relative to the inspection of boilers in Kings County. To provide for the payment of the salaries of the Quarantine Police.

By Mr. SMITH—To incorporate the trustees of the Wadsworth Library.

By Mr. [illegible]—For the consolidation of [illegible] and at Rochester. Also, for the relief of the Rochester and Brighton Railroad.

By Mr. [illegible]—To prevent the civil war records from being lost or mislaid. Also, relative to the [illegible] Cemetery.

By Mr. HITCHMAN—To incorporate the State Poultry Association.

By Mr. KEESON—To incorporate the New-York Plate Glass Insurance Company. Also, to promote the safety of travelers on railroads.

By Mr. POTTER—To amend the laws relative to the Carmansville high-service water works.

By Mr. HITCHMAN—To amend the charter of the Western Homeopathic Dispensary of New-York.

By Mr. STEVENS—To provide for canal improvements in Oneida County.

The Committee on Federal Relations, to whom was referred the resolutions in relation to the deferred payment of the bounties of volunteers of 1864, reported the following resolution:

Resolved, If the Senate concur, that in the judgment of this Legislature it would be the part of the Federal Government requires that provision should be made by Congress for the full payment of the promised bounties to soldiers who served for so long three-fourths of the enrolled time.

Also, requesting the State Representatives at Washington to lay the subject before Congress and use their best endeavors to procure the requisite legislation. Carried.

The Governor returned to the Assembly to-day without his signature the bill authorizing the First Presbyterian Church and Society of the town of Perry to convey real estate. The message says no special legislation is necessary to attain the object of the bill, as the Legislature long ago very wisely provided by general law for such cases. The veto was sustained.

Mr. H. M. CLARK offered the following:

Resolved, That the President and Treasurer of the New-York and Erie Railroad Company be required, within ten days from the adoption of this resolution, to report in writing, under oath, to the Speaker of this House the exact number of stock of the said Company now outstanding; also, the amount in detail of the funded and floating debt of the said Company; such information to be up to and including the date of the passage of the resolution.

Debate arising, the resolution was tabled.

Also the following:

Resolved, That the Assembly of the State of New-York recognize the claim for the pay of those serving soldiers of the War of 1812 not provided for by the existing laws, and said Assembly respectfully requests our Senators in Congress to act in behalf.

Resolved, That His Excellency the Governor be respectfully requested to transmit a copy of this resolution, duly certified, to the Senators and Representatives in Congress from this State, to be brought by them to the attention of the Senate and House of Representatives respectively.

Carried.

The House then took a recess.

EVENING SESSION.

The House, in Committee of the Whole, considered the message of Gov. HOFFMAN, Messrs. HITCHMAN, MAGETTIGAN and PLUNKETT supporting the measures recommended in it, by eloquent speeches.

The naturalization resolutions of Mr. LABAU, asking Congress to pass general naturalization laws were then taken up, but without debate were made the special order for Thursday evening.

AMUSEMENTS.

Musical.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC.

Mr. MARETZEK's short season of twenty performances commenced last evening with the usual result. The man was at the wheel, but those who said he should not be there were absent. In other words the performance was good, and the attendance wretched. The opera was the "Sicilian Vespers," a work that is familiar but which possesses many dramatic opportunities for new artists. Mme. AGATHA GRATES was admirable as the *Duchess Elena*. Her large, grand voice was heard to advantage—a voice, we take occasion to say, which is not excelled on any stage. If the lady had not the misfortune to be an American she would probably be considered the most amply gifted artist that has ever touched these shores; but she is American and must linger. Signor BRIGNOLI was the *Arrigo* and sang charmingly. He is still the best soft tenor of the stage. Signor ORLANDINI was simply bad. Signor ANTONUCCI, with his large, true voice, once more maintained the position of an artist. He sang well, and took some interest in the performance, which is more than can be said of any one except Mme. GRATES. The whole performance is not worth referring to except in a brief and unofficial way. It is now sadly but distinctly apparent that opera can be given anywhere—except at the Academy of Music.

Matinee To-Morrow.

There will be *matinée* to-morrow at both the theatres sacred to opera bouffe. At the Theatre Français, "Fleur de Thé," a work in which music, drollery and pageantry are happily combined, will be sung by Miles. DESCLAUZAS and REBREILLE, and MM. CARRIER, BECKERS and PETIT. At the Grand Opera House, Mlle. TOSTES, with MM. DECRES, DUCHESNE, LEDUC and LAGRIFFOUL will interpret "Orphée aux Enfers."

Personal.

Count Henri Conrad Irscinski and Count de Wierzbicki, of France, are at the Hoffman House. Gen. George S. Boebelder, of Albany; Gen. Sutton, of Salem; and Hon. John Lynch, of Maine, are at the St. Nicholas Hotel. Admiral Christmas, of the Danish Navy, is at the St. Denis Hotel. Hon. Marshall Jewell, of Hartford; Hon. A. Brandegee, of New-London, Conn.; Hon. A. Wing, of Albany, and Hon. T. B. Graves, of Boston, are at the Fifth-avenue Hotel. Gen. John C. Wyman and John L. Swift, of Massachusetts, are at the Westmoreland Hotel. Gen. Johnson, of Boston, is at the St. James Hotel. Gen. Samuel Curtis, of the United States Army, is at the Westminster Hotel. F. Girardet, of London, is at the St. Charles Hotel.

Death of Bamberger.

BAMBERGER, who shot Miss BOHD, and afterward shot himself, at No. 116 Seventh-avenue, at 6 A. M. yesterday, as reported in another column, died at a late hour last night.

Correction.

The statement that the Plasterers' Society is about to strike for increased wages is an error. They have had no such project under consideration. The standard wages during the last few years have been $4 a day, and the plasterers have no intention of making further demands.

The West Side.

A reference to our advertising columns will show another important sale, to take place on Monday next, of lots in this favorite district. It consists of the entire block bounded by Eighth and Ninth avenues, and Seventieth and Seventy-first streets. It has a full front of 200 feet on the Central Park, as a point combining doubtless more attractions at a single view than can be found at any other one point on the whole line. The upper and lower Ball Grounds, the Mall, the Music Stand, the Lake and the Ramble, the whole bounded by the magnificent setting of the Fifth-avenue, are here brought into one view, and that such a view of beauty, magnificence and life as, in a few years, will probably have no parallel on the globe. The Ninth-avenue must, from necessity, become the fashionable avenue of that district, since the Eighth (soon to have a more aristocratic name) and the Boulevard will be appropriated by magnificent residences, and ornamented grounds. This must give the lots on this avenue a great value. Everything indicates that this favored district, bordered on the one hand by the Central Park and on the other by the magnificent scenery of the Hudson River, and bisected throughout by the Grand Boulevard, is the chosen ground of the future fashion and wealth of New-York. As such, we dare not venture to predict what its future value may be. There is no rule by which to measure the value of property in New-York, when touched by the golden wand of fashion. She wills it, and the cost disappears from the calculation. Some men's fortune is in their foresight. Such are laying their foundations now, in the West-side district.

Sale of Diamonds.

In accordance with announcement, the very large and valuable collection of diamonds and gems of Mr. ISAAC HERMANS, were yesterday offered at public sale by A. M. CHESEBROUGH & SON. The attendance was numerous and included many business men. The catalogue consisted of two hundred and three lots, some represented by clusters of perfect six and seven carat diamonds, and others embellished by rare gradations of gleaming sand. The bidding, although spirited, was hardly as animated as might have been looked for from such gems. As a consequence, most of the valuable lots, among which were many pieces unique in elegant beauty, remained unsold. The total value of the collection is estimated at $200,000.

BALLSTON SPA.—Arcadian Little Spring Water cures rheumatism, gout, dyspepsia, kidney and other diseases. The only spring water in the world that contains all the ingredients of the European and Saratoga Springs with twice their strength. For sale by druggists.—*Advertisement.*

MISSISQUOI SPRING WATER.—The only known remedy for Cancer and all diseases of the Kidneys. For sale by druggists. Depot, 102 Broadway, N. Y.—*Advertisement.*

Church and Parlor

ORGANS AND MELODEONS.

40,000 NOW IN USE.

GEORGE A. PRINCE & CO.,

BUFFALO, N. Y. | CHICAGO, ILL.

We have manufactured Melodeons and Organs twenty-two years, and will not risk our well-earned reputation by making cheap instruments.

We have not made a great reduction in prices, and cannot, until a reduction in the price of labor and material will warrant us in doing so. Organs are advertised at $60, Gold Watches at $15, &c. Sensible people are judges of their value.

Instruments of our make (twenty years old) are now valued by their owners as highly as the day they were bought, and it is our intention to sustain our old reputation.

Illustrated catalogues and price lists sent free to any address. A liberal discount to Churches and Clergymen.

GEO. A. PRINCE & CO.

Agent in New-York.....S. T. GORDON

Agent in Philadelphia.....JAMES BELLAK

Velocipedian Mania.

GREAT STEEPLE CHASE

BETWEEN

GREELEY,

DANA

AND

RAYMOND.

A most amusing Cut representing the above will appear in

THE EVENING TELEGRAM,

SATURDAY, Feb. 13.

Velocipedian Mania.

GREAT STEEPLE CHASE

BETWEE

LAW REPORTS.

COURT CALENDARS FOR FRIDAY.

SUPREME COURT—CIRCUIT.

Part I.—Held by Barnard, J.

Adjourned to Monday.

Part II.—Held by Sutherland, J.—Court opens at 10:30 A. M.

Short Causes.

Nos. 2282—Russell vs. Seaborn. 2280—Niles vs. Price. 2283—Rymer vs. Miller et al. 2279—Hotchkiss vs. Blake N. imp., &c. 2284—The Neptune S. S. Co. vs. Savage et al. 2285—Brown vs. Pelt. 2182—Wright vs. Hilliges. 2244—Wickes vs. Reed et al. 2286—Emberson vs. Wicks. 2287—Shea vs. Richardson et al. 2288—Benthal et al. vs. Livingston et al. 2277—Straub vs. Mildemann. 2194—Fourth Nat. Bank of New-York vs. N. A. Steamship Co. 2289—McGrath et al. vs. Young. 2290—Tyrrell et al. vs. Carpenter. 2291—Reed vs. Saunders et al. 2292—Wickham vs. Hilbert et al. 2293—Cook et al. vs. Collier et al. 2212—Waller vs. Crocker. 2294—Wells, Fargo & Co. vs. Healy. 2295—Fitzsimmons vs. Colligan. 2296—Johnson vs. Jackson et al. 2297—Amen vs. Bennett. 2298—Golden vs. Bayley. 2299—Stone vs. Same. 2300—Ward vs. Upright. 2301—Ash et al. vs. Sweet. 2302—Thorburn vs. Seymour et al. 2273—Barnes et al. vs. Ocean Ins. Co. 2303—Adams vs. Savage et al. 2304—Brown vs. Pelt. 2305—Cahill et al. vs. Bergen. 2306—Sherwood vs. Wilcox et al. 2307—Bell vs. Salt Spring Co. 2308—Fryer vs. Goodwin. 2309—Kelly, Sheriff, &c., vs. Whitney et al. 2310—Weaver et al. vs. Same. 2311—Russell vs. Myer et al. 2312—Moss vs. Jewett et al.

Part III.—Held by Clerke, J.—Court opens at 10:30 A. M.

Short Causes.

Nos. 2313—McGrane vs. Lafon et al. 2271—Barton vs. Isaacs et al. 2240—First National Bank of Richmond vs. Walker. 2314—Bayley vs. Phelps. 2315—Birdsey vs. Kimberly Horse Shoe Company. 2277—Hancock vs. Gomez et al. 2243—Shall vs. King. 2316—Potter vs. Hyman et al. 2317—Meyer vs. Lozier. 2318—Dunning vs. Tell Eyck. 2273—Bartram et al. vs. Leith. 2319—Washington Iron Works vs. Chase, bailee et al. 2320—Wagler vs. Gilroy. 2321—Mass vs. Ross et al. 2315—DeGroot et al. vs. Espy. 2320—Vidmar et al. vs. Rosenbaum et al. 2322—Scribner vs. Mitchell. 2323—Starr vs. Mead, impleaded. 2270—Prendile et al. vs. Sullivan.

SUPREME COURT—SPECIAL TERM.

Held by Sutherland, J.—Court opens at 10:30 A. M.

Demurrers.

Nos. 6—Wilks vs. The Mayor, &c. 9—Tilden et al. vs. The Mayor, &c.

Issues of Law and Fact.

Nos. 236—Survival vs. Gilman et al. 29—Knapp vs. Met. Collier Co. 120—Clem, Assignee, vs. Worcester Sherman's Sons. 221—Hawkins et al. vs. Hold et al. 228—Pintar, Surr., vs. Hold et al. 229—Dietz vs. Geyer, Ex. 230—Goodwin et al. vs. Blatchford et al. 140—Norton et al. vs. Troy. 240—Sixth-avenue R. R. Co. vs. Mahoney et al. 239—Elmore vs. East N. Y. Water Works & R. R. Co. et al. 232—Voorhis, Jr., vs. Kleinman et al.

SUPREME COURT—CHAMBERS.

Held by Cardozo J.—Court opens at 10 A. M.—Call of Calendar at 10 M.

Reserved Cases.

Nos. 51—Livingston, &c., vs. Birdsall et al. 160—Collins, Receiver, vs. Manhattan Oil Co. 232—Mankowski vs. Fire Ins. Co. 192—Rucker vs. Lorillard Fire Ins. Co. 246—Winans vs. Isod. 255—Birdsall et al. vs. Livingston, &c.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Part I.—Held by Monell, J.—Court opens at 11 A. M.

Short Causes.

Nos. 492—Smith vs. Duchardt. 1281—Bartram vs. Bendall. 1215—Bennett vs. Wardwell. 1281—Griggs et al. vs. Dr. Vision Express Co. 1129—Kilmartin vs. Fell. 1280—Ely vs. Ely. 1241—Bennett vs. Richards. 1491—Price vs. Gong, Bankr. Chollar Ulo. Gold. Co.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Part II.—Held by Jones, J.—Court opens at 11 A. M.

Nos. 488—Schoele et al. vs. Lippman. 489—Cooley vs. Stiegitz. 1264—Cowles vs. Hitchcock. 1265—Crowley et al. vs. McKnight. 1261—Moylan vs. Crawford. 1263—Malone vs. Spanish Imp. 214—King vs. Heinzel. 1266—Jones vs. Crook et al. 1270—Rendall vs. Wheedall.

SUPERIOR COURT—TRIAL TERM.

Held by Cardozo J.—Court opens at 10 A. M.—Call of Calendar at 11 M.

Reserved Cases.

Nos. 1—The People vs. Amelia Appel; grand larceny. 2—The People vs. Robert Shearer, burglary. 3—The People vs. Charles Newman, larceny. 4—The People vs. Bernard Tuppey and John Williams, burglary.

CITY COURT—BROOKLYN—FEB. 12.

Nos. 24—Gregory vs. Collin. 117—Smith vs. Butler. 118—Hanson vs. Vigt. 119—Briggs vs. Berwick. 127—Davis vs. Roebuck. 128—Hawthorne vs. Gould. 129—Ryan vs. Short.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT—SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW-YORK.

ATTACHMENTS IN COMMON LAW ACTIONS—SECTIONS OF LB FEDERAL—POWER AND PRACTICE OF THE COURT.

The United States vs. *Vernon K. Stevenson.*—BLATCHFORD J.—This is an action at common law. The first paper placed on the records of the Court in it was an information, which was filed on the 1st of March, 1867. It states that the Attorney of the United States comes "in a suit of common law and informs the Court" that the United States bring suit against the defendant for the cause of action propounded in two written affidavits, which follow in the information. The substance of them is, that the United States were entitled to the immediate possession of certain bales of cotton, their property; that the defendant, being in possession of the cotton, wrongfully converted and disposed of it to his own use; that such conversion was fraudulent; and that the proceeds of the property had been disposed of by the defendant with intent to compute the same from and to defraud the United States. The information prays that process of attachment might issue directed to the property of the defendant, in the hands of the marshal, in pursuance of the application for an attachment. Indorsed on the information is a direction signed by my predecessor, and dated Feb. 21, 1867, in these words: "Let process of attachment issue against the property of the within-named Vernon K. Stevenson, according to the prayer of the within-named information, and let the property of Vernon K. Stevenson be held to answer the return of process issued in this behalf." Thereupon, process was issued to the Marshal on the 1st of March, 1867, recites the information and had been filed "in a certain action of common law," and commanded "that you attach the defendant, if found in his district, to appear and answer the information, and, also, to attach the property of the defendant." The information and the process stated the claim to be the sum of $1,000,000. The return of the marshal is, "Executed by my leaving with the within named Vernon K. Stevenson a copy of it on the 2d day of March, 1867." The defendant, a banker in the City of New-York, stands, it is alleged, to be owned by the defendant. On the 1st of March, 1867, a notice signed by the District Attorney, and addressed to the defendant, and headed "In the matter of the proceeding," was filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court. The notice states, "that an action has been commenced, and is now pending, in this Court, upon an information against the above-named defendant, and that a warrant of attachment, according to the rules and practice of this Court and the statute in such case made and provided, has been duly issued therein against all and singular the property of the said defendant, Vernon K. Stevenson, both real and personal, situate and being within the City and County and State of New-York, and also situate and being in the Southern District of the United States for the State of New-York, and that the following is a description of all and singular the real estate of the said defendant, Vernon K. Stevenson, situate and being within the said City and County and State of New-York, attached, levied, seized and affected under said, by virtue of said proceeding, viz., [here follows a description of the property, by a specific description, by metes and bounds, of the real estate referred to, which embraces sixty lots of land in the City of New-York. A like notice of the proceeding and of the issuing of the warrant of attachment, signed by the Clerk of the Supreme Court for the City and County of New-York, was filed in the office of the Clerk of New-York. The warrant of attachment, issued on the 2d of March, 1867, and which forms and modes were required by the act of June, 1798, taken in connection with the act of June, 1798, the forms and modes used or allowed on the 8th of September, 1789, and the forms and modes of the act of Sept. 24, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 73,) and the forms and modes of the act of May 19, 1828, (4 U. S. Stat. at Large, 274,) which provide that when a defendant is not found within the district, the process of attachment of property, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the demand, may issue against the property of such defendant, upon the filing of a notice of *lis pendens* in a suit of the character of that at bar. This and most recently, the Supreme Court, in a suit at common law or in equity, in which the United States were plaintiffs, has held that the Circuit Court would have no jurisdiction of it, for, by the ninth and tenth sections of the Judiciary Act of Sept. 24, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 77,) no jurisdiction of suits at common law is given to this Court, or of suits in equity, except in certain cases specified in the ninth section of that act, in which the United States are the plaintiffs, and the Circuit Court has jurisdiction, by the ninth section of that act, in what is called admiralty cases. The Supreme Court decided, in Wayman vs. Southard, 10 Wheaton, 1, that the act of 1793 was confined, in the adoption of State laws or regulations of practice, in proceeding in suits at common law, to those in force in Massachusetts, in 1789; that it did not recognize the authority of any State; that the adoption which might be afterward passed by the Union to make such laws in their forms and modes of proceeding as experience might suggest, and especially to adapt such State laws as the judiciary might vary, to advantage the forms and modes of proceeding in suits at common law, in the Courts of the United States, in the act of May 19, 1828, (4 U. S. Stat. at Large, 278.) The second section of that act provides that "the forms of writs, executions, and other process, except those of the forms and modes of proceeding in suits, in those of common law, shall be the same as are now used in the said Courts respectively in pursuance of the laws of the United States, or such as may hereafter be prescribed by the said Courts respectively, or by the rules and regulations of the Supreme Court of the United States, subject, however, to such alterations and additions as the said Courts respectively shall in their discretion deem expedient, or to such regulations as the Supreme Court of the United States shall think proper, from time to time, by rule, to prescribe to the said Courts respectively, such forms and modes of proceeding in suits at common law, as may be found necessary to attain the ends of justice, and to prevent delays in the administration thereof." The act referred to, "to regulate proceedings in the Courts of the United States," is the act of Sept. 29, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 93) the second section of which provided that further provision be made, and except where by this act or other statutes of the United States it is otherwise provided, the forms of writs and executions, except their style, and modes of proceeding in suits at common law, shall be the same in each State respectively as are now used or allowed in the Supreme Courts of the same. This Court existed when the act of Sept. 29, 1789, was passed. It therefore is required by the act of May 19, 1828, to use as forms of process and modes of proceeding in suits at common law, the forms and modes which were used in the State of New-York at the 8th of May, 1828, which forms and modes were required by the act of 1789, taken in connection with the act of 1828, to be the forms and modes used or allowed on the 8th of September, 1789, in suits at common law, in the State of New-York, subject only, as provided by the act of 1828, to any provisions to the contrary in the act of Sept. 29, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 93,) and of Sept. 24, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 73,) and to such rules and regulations as this Court shall, in its discretion, deem expedient, and also, to such regulations as the Supreme Court of the United States shall think proper, from time to time, to prescribe to the Courts, by rule. The first section of the act of May 19, 1828, (4 U. S. Stat. at Large, 278,) provides that "in any case, because the State of New-York was admitted into the Union before the 29th of September, 1789, and the third section of that act applies only to trial proofs, it is made to depend on the fact, to be ascertained, that on the 29th of September, 1789, there was no process of attachment of property, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the demand, to be allowed in the State of New-York, in a suit at common law, upon the application of an individual on the ordinary proceeding, the defendant was personally served with process in the usual manner, or voluntarily appeared to be sued in the ordinary course of law." To grant process of attachment or property in a common law action, at law or at equity, in the State of New-York, known to the common law, "by ordinary and usual proceeding of an individual," is the same, in effect, as to grant it in the State of Massachusetts, in 1789, "by ordinary and usual proceeding." It is not to grant it "by the forms and modes of proceeding in the Courts of the State and the United States, in the same, footing." It is contrary to the spirit of the act of 1828, which, in order to favor, comparatively, as a ground for dissolving a writ of attachment, the fact of the motion is based upon the ground of a recovery of a judgment, or, which the defendant, in the ordinary course of law, or in the ordinary action, and not merely to the question of attachment, and must be brought up, if at all, by way of plea. The question of particular process, in the case of the United States, and not merely to the question of attachment, was not before the Supreme Court, in the case of Wallace vs. Fitz. It the attachment was properly issued, it was not necessary to fall back upon the ancient, and, in modern times, obsolete practice, of proceeding in rem, or referable to the Court of Admiralty, in such cases. As to the one filed in the Clerk of the Clerk of this Court the notice of *lis pendens* has all operative effect, in the case of that, in the action of the District Attorney, in bringing to have The motion is denied.

Mr. Benedict, United States District Attorney, for the plaintiff. J. H. Ward and C. A. Seward, for the defendant.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT—SOUTHERN DISTRICT.

Before Judge Benedict.

BRIGANDS—INSANITY.

The United States vs. *Charles Harper.*—District-Attorney Courtney moved that the sentence of the Court be pronounced upon this defendant, who has pleaded guilty at this term of the Court to the charge of uttering counterfeit national currency. Judge Stewart, counsel for the defense, called the attention of the Court to the prisoner's appearance, stating that he was certainly not of his right mind, even if he could not be called positively insane. Counsel for the prisoner had been made by a competent physician, and that Mr. Bell reported that he was sane. Moreover, there had been a decision of a similar character and sentence suspended. The Court decided that it would not sentence the prisoner then, but would give personal opportunity to examine the lunatic. Counsel for the prisoner, in reply, said that he was sane, it was not a case for any sentence; but if sane, it was no case for a light one.

THE ITALIAN COUNTERFEITING GANG—NAMES OF WITNESSES.

The United States vs. *Severio Donato* et al.—The former was arrested, with another other Italians, in a barber's shop in Cherry-street, in January last, on the charge of counterfeiting the National currency. Judge Stewart, counsel for the defendant, Donato, moved the Court to instruct the District-Attorney to furnish the defendants with a copy of the indictment and for some other purposes. The Court said that it was not the practice of the Court to furnish the information had been filed "in a certain action of common law," and requested "to appear and answer the information, and, also, to attach the property of the defendant." The information and the process stated the claim to be the sum of $1,000,000. The return of the marshal is, "Executed by my leaving with the within named Vernon K. Stevenson a copy of it on the 2d day of March, 1867." The defendant, a banker in the City of New-York, stands, it is alleged, to be owned by the defendant. On the 1st of March, 1867, a notice signed by the District Attorney, and headed "In the matter of the proceeding," was filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court. The notice states, "that an action has been commenced, and is now pending, in this Court, upon an information against the above-named defendant, and that a warrant of attachment, according to the rules and practice of this Court and the statute in such case made and provided, has been duly issued therein against all and singular the property of the said defendant, Vernon K. Stevenson, both real and personal, situate and being within the City and County and State of New-York, and also situate and being in the Southern District of the United States for the State of New-York, and that the following is a description of all and singular the real estate of the said defendant, Vernon K. Stevenson, situate and being within the said City and County and State of New-York, attached, levied, seized and affected under said, by virtue of said proceeding, viz., [here follows a description of the property, by a specific description, by metes and bounds, of the real estate referred to, which embraces sixty lots of land in the City of New-York. A like notice of the proceeding and of the issuing of the warrant of attachment, signed by the Clerk of the Supreme Court for the City and County of New-York, was filed in the office of the Clerk of New-York. The warrant of attachment, issued on the 2d of March, 1867, and which forms and modes were required by the act of June, 1798, taken in connection with the act of June, 1798, the forms and modes used or allowed on the 8th of September, 1789, and the forms and modes of the act of Sept. 24, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 73,) and the forms and modes of the act of May 19, 1828, (4 U. S. Stat. at Large, 278,) which provide that when a defendant is not found within the district, the process of attachment of property, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the demand, may issue against the property of such defendant, upon the filing of a notice of *lis pendens* in a suit of the character of that at bar. This and most recently, the Supreme Court, in a suit at common law or in equity, in which the United States were plaintiffs, has held that the Circuit Court would have no jurisdiction of it, for, by the ninth and tenth sections of the Judiciary Act of Sept. 24, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 77,) no jurisdiction of suits at common law or in equity, except in certain cases specified in the ninth section of that act, in which the United States are the plaintiffs, and the Circuit Court has jurisdiction, by the ninth section of that act, in what is called admiralty cases. The Supreme Court decided, in Wayman vs. Southard, 10 Wheaton, 1, that the act of 1793 was confined, in the adoption of State laws or regulations of practice, in proceeding in suits at common law, to those in force in Massachusetts, in 1789; that it did not recognize the authority of any State; that the adoption which might be afterward passed by the Union to make such laws in their forms and modes of proceeding as experience might suggest, and especially to adapt such State laws as the judiciary might vary, to advantage the forms and modes of proceeding in suits at common law, in the Courts of the United States, in the act of May 19, 1828, (4 U. S. Stat. at Large, 278.) The second section of that act provides that "the forms of writs, executions, and other process, except those of the forms and modes of proceeding in suits, in those of common law, shall be the same as are now used in the said Courts respectively in pursuance of the laws of the United States, or such as may hereafter be prescribed by the said Courts respectively, or by the rules and regulations of the Supreme Court of the United States, subject, however, to such alterations and additions as the said Courts respectively shall in their discretion deem expedient, or to such regulations as the Supreme Court of the United States shall think proper, from time to time, by rule, to prescribe to the said Courts respectively, such forms and modes of proceeding in suits at common law, as may be found necessary to attain the ends of justice, and to prevent delays in the administration thereof." The act referred to, "to regulate proceedings in the Courts of the United States," is the act of Sept. 29, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 93) the second section of which provided that further provision be made, and except where by this act or other statutes of the United States it is otherwise provided, the forms of writs and executions, except their style, and modes of proceeding in suits at common law, shall be the same in each State respectively as are now used or allowed in the Supreme Courts of the same. This Court existed when the act of Sept. 29, 1789, was passed. It therefore is required by the act of May 19, 1828, to use as forms of process and modes of proceeding in suits at common law, the forms and modes which were used in the State of New-York at the 8th of May, 1828, which forms and modes were required by the act of 1789, taken in connection with the act of 1828, to be the forms and modes used or allowed on the 29th of September, 1789, in suits at common law, in the State of New-York, subject only, as provided by the act of 1828, to any provisions to the contrary in the act of Sept. 29, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 93,) and of Sept. 24, 1789, (1 U. S. Stat. at Large, 73,) and to such rules and regulations as this Court shall, in its discretion, deem expedient, and also, to such regulations as the Supreme Court of the United States shall think proper, from time to time, by rule, to prescribe to the Courts, by rule. The first section of the act of May 19, 1828, (4 U. S. Stat. at Large, 278,) provides that "in any case, because the State of New-York was admitted into the Union before the 29th of September, 1789, and the third section of that act applies only to trial proofs, it is made to depend on the fact, to be ascertained, that on the 29th of September, 1789, there was no process of attachment of property, or so much thereof as may be sufficient to satisfy the demand, to be allowed in the State of New-York, in a suit at common law, upon the application of an individual on the ordinary proceeding, the defendant was personally served with process in the usual manner, or voluntarily appeared to be sued in the ordinary course of law." To grant process of attachment or property in a common law action, at law or at equity, in the State of New-York, known to the common law, "by ordinary and usual proceeding of an individual," is the same, in effect, as to grant it in the State of Massachusetts, in 1789, "by ordinary and usual proceeding." It is not to grant it "by the forms and modes of proceeding in the Courts of the State and the United States, in the same footing." It is contrary to the spirit of the act of 1828, which, in order to favor, comparatively, as a ground for dissolving a writ of attachment, the fact of the motion is based upon the ground of a recovery of a judgment, or, which the defendant, in the ordinary course of law, or in the ordinary action, and not merely to the question of attachment, and must be brought up, if at all, by way of plea. The question of particular process, in the case of the United States, and not merely to the question of attachment, was not before the Supreme Court, in the case of Wallace vs. Fitz. If

SHIPPING.

STEAM TO GLASGOW AND DERRY.
THE ANCHOR LINE.
Favorite Clyde-built Steamers, not limited to sail.
EVERY SATURDAY
From Pier No. 20 North River, 12 o'clock, noon.
EUROPA.....Feb. 13 CALEDONIA.....Mar. 6
UNITED KINGDOM.Feb. 27 BRITANNIA.....Mar. 13
COLUMBIA.....Feb. 27 INDIA.....Mar. 20
RATES OF PASSAGE PAYABLE IN CURRENCY.
Cabins, to Liverpool, Glasgow or Derry, $80 and $90.
Excursion tickets, good for 12 months, $135.
Steerage, to Liverpool or Derry, $30; Intermediate, $35.
Prepaid Certificates from these ports, $27.
Passengers booked to and from Hamburg, Havre, Rotterdam, Antwerp, &c., at very low rates.
Drafts issued payable at any bank in Great Britain and Ireland.
For further information apply at the office, No. 7 Broadway, or at the Company's offices, No. 3 Broadway, New-York. HENDERSON BROTHERS.

SWIFT DIRECT LINE TO FRANCE.
THE GENERAL TRANSATLANTIC COMPANY'S MAIL STEAMSHIPS BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND HAVRE, CALLING AT BREST.
The splendid vessels on this favorite route for the Continent will sail from Pier No. 50 North River as follows:
St. LAURENT.....LEMAIRE.....SATURDAY, Feb. 20
VILLE DE PARIS.SURMONT...SATURDAY, Mar. 6
PEREIRE.....DUCHESNE...SATURDAY, March 20
PRICE OF PASSAGE IN GOLD, (including Wine,)
TO BREST OR HAVRE.
First cabin, $140; second cabin, $90.
TO PARIS.
First cabin, $145; second cabin, $95.
Tickets issued for the return at reduced rates.
Medical attendance free of charge.
American travelers going or returning from the Continent of Europe by taking the steamers of this line, avoid both transit by English railways and the annoyance of crossing the Channel, besides saving time, expense and fatigue.
GEO. MACOMBER, Agent, No. 5 Broadway.

THE BRITISH AND NORTH AMERICAN ROYAL MAIL STEAMSHIPS
BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND LIVERPOOL, VIA HALIFAX, CALLING AT CORK.
SAILING EVERY WEDNESDAY.
CHINA.....Feb. 17 AUSTRALIAN.....Mar. 10
CITY OF NEW-YORK.....Feb. 24 EUROPA.....Mar. 17
ASIA.....Mar. 3 CHINA.....Mar. 24
Passage money payable in gold.
Cabin.....$120 Second Cabin.....$60
Children.....$60 Children.....$40
No steerage passengers.
The owners of these ships will not be responsible for specie or valuables, unless bills of lading having the value therein expressed therein be taken.
Through bills of lading given for Boston, Glasgow, Havre, Antwerp and other ports on the Continent; and for Mediterranean ports.
For freight or passage apply to
E. S. CUNARD, No. 4 Bowling-green, New-York.
LIVERPOOL AND GREAT WESTERN STEAM COMPANY.
FOR LIVERPOOL.
From Pier No. 46 North River, WEDNESDAYS.
MINNESOTA.....Feb. 17 ETNA, M.
NEVADA (new).....Feb. 24 ST. PAUL, M.
COLORADO.....March 3 ST. LOUIS, M.
NEBRASKA.....March 10 HENDRICK HUDSON, M.
Cabin passage, $80 gold; Steerage, $30, currency.
Tickets to bring out passengers from Europe can be obtained here.
For freight or passage apply to
WILLIAMS & GUION, No. 71 Wall-st.
For steerage passage apply to
WILLIAMS & GUION, No. 22 Broadway.

CUNARD LINE OF STEAMERS.
BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND LIVERPOOL, VIA QUEENSTOWN.
FROM NEW-YORK EVERY SATURDAY.
SIBERIA.....Feb. 13 TARIFA.....Mar. 6
ALEPPO.....Feb. 20 TRIPOLI.....Mar. 13
RATES OF PASSAGE FROM NEW-YORK.
Cabin.....$80 Gold. Steerage.....$30 Currency.
Steerage tickets from Liverpool or Queenstown to New-York, $30 currency.
For freight or passage apply at No. 4 Bowling-green.
For steerage passage, No. 111 Broadway, Trinity Building.
E. S. CUNARD.

FOR LIVERPOOL AND QUEENSTOWN.
The Lines of mail steamers are appointed to sail as follows:
CITY OF BALTIMORE.....Saturday, Feb. 13, 8 P. M.
CITY OF NEW-YORK.....Saturday, Feb. 20, 12 M.
ETNA (New Steamer).....Tuesday, Feb. 23, 1 P. M.
And thence every SATURDAY, 12 M., and alternate WEDNESDAY, from Pier No. 45 North River.
RATES OF PASSAGE.
PAYABLE IN GOLD. PAYABLE IN CURRENCY.
First Cabin.....$100 First Cabin.....$80 and $85
To London.....$105 To London.....$85 and $90
To Paris.....$115 To Paris.....$95 and $100
To Hamburg.....$110 To Hamburg.....$90 and $95
St. John's, N. F., by } $40 Halifax, N. S., by } $30
Branch Steamer. } Branch Steamer. }
Passengers also forward to Havre, Hamburg, Bremen, Antwerp, Paris, Vienna, Switzerland, &c., at the lowest rates.
Tickets can be obtained for less money than at other places for persons wishing to send for their friends.
For further information apply at the Company's Offices.
JOHN G. DALE, Agent,
No. 15 Broadway, New-York.

NATIONAL LINE.
THE STEAMSHIP THE QUEEN, GERGAS, Will leave SATURDAY, Feb. 13, at 3 P. M., from Pier No. 47 North River.
Cabin passage, $80 gold, or Guineas.
Steerage passage, $30 currency.
For freight or passage apply at office, No. 8 Bowling-green.
F. W. J. HURST, Agent.

STEAM COMMUNICATION DIRECT BETWEEN NEW-YORK AND NEW-ZEALAND AND AUSTRALIA, VIA PANAMA.
THE PANAMA, NEW-ZEALAND AND AUSTRALIA MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY
Will dispatch one of its splendid steamships from Panama every month, sailing from Panama about the 20th, upon the arrival of the mail steamer from Aspinwall. The Pacific Mail Company will dispatch a steamer from Aspinwall on the same day, which will make the necessary connection. Passengers are booked through from New-York to the seven capital cities.
For a special dispatch steamer to the newly discovered gold region of Hokitika.
For further information regarding rates of freight, passage money, &c., &c., apply at the Pacific Mail Steamship Company's office, No. 2 Wall-st.
CHARLES W. WEST, Agent,
No. 2 William-st., New-York.

PACIFIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY.
PANAMA
THROUGH LINE TO
CALIFORNIA AND CHINA.
Through rates, New-York to San Francisco:
First Cabin.
Outside. Inside. Second Cabin. Steerage.
$275 $250 $150 $70
Steamers of the above line leave Pier No. 4 North River, foot of Canal-st., at 12 o'clock noon,
FOUR TIMES A MONTH,
On 1st, 9th, 16th and 24th,
except when those days fall on Sunday, then the day previous.
One hundred pounds baggage free to each adult. Medicine and attendance free.
Feb. 16—RISING STAR, Capt. King, connecting with GOLDEN AGE, Capt. Farnsworth.
March 1, leaving Feb. 9 connecting with steamer CHINA, leaving San Francisco March 4, 1869, for China and Japan.
For passage tickets and all further information, apply at the Company's ticket office, on the wharf, foot of Canal-st.
H. P. BABY, Agent.

NOTICE TO SHIPPERS BY PACIFIC MAIL STEAMSHIP LINE.
THE STEAMSHIP CONSTELLATION, sailing on TUESDAY, 16th inst., at 3 P. M., freight received until 4 P. M. on MONDAY, 15th inst. The steamer will receive cotton at Quarantine for Southern ports.
For freight or passage apply at office, No. 1 Broadway.
JOHN G. DALE, Agent.

FOR MOBILE,
VIA FERNANDINA & SOUTH.
The Florida Railroad Company will dispatch the side-wheel steamer
ALABAMA, Capt. LEMOUNIER,
ON SATURDAY, Feb. 20, at 4 P. M., from Pier No. 3 North River, foot of Murray-st., New-York, without lighterage at Mobile. Is now receiving. Insurance guaranteed at the end of a half per cent. to Mobile. Through rates and bills of lading given to Montgomery, Selma, Eufaula, and all points in the interior of lower rates than any other line.
This splendid cabin accommodations for passengers during to Florida or the Gulf Ports.
For freight or passage apply to
W. P. PATTERSON, Agent,
No. 126 Chambers-st.

FOR ST. THOMAS AND BRAZIL.
UNITED STATES AND BRAZIL MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY.
REGULAR MAIL STEAMERS sailing on the 2nd of every month:
SOUTH AMERICA, Capt. E. L. THELESFAUGH, Feb. 22.
MISSISSIPPI, Capt. GEO. E. SLOCUM, March 22.
MISSOURI, Capt. WM. H. HOWE, April 22.
These splendid steamers sail on schedule time, and call at St. Thomas, Para, Pernambuco, Bahia and Rio de Janeiro, going and returning. For engagements of freight or passage, apply to
WM. P. GARRISON, Agent,
No. 1 Bowling-green, New-York.

FOR NASSAU, N. P.,
UNDER CONTRACT WITH THE BAHAMA GOVERNMENT FOR CARRYING THE ROYAL MAILS.
THE ATLANTIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY will dispatch the splendid steamship
MORRO CASTLE, Capt. HADDAN, Feb. 20,
at 3 o'clock P. M., precisely, from Pier No. 4 North River, foot of Rector-st. For freight or passage apply to
W. P. GARRISON, Agent,
No. 1 Bowling-green, New-York.

FOR NASSAU, N. P.
UNDER CONTRACT WITH THE BAHAMA GOVERNMENT FOR CARRYING THE ROYAL MAILS.
THE ATLANTIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY will dispatch the splendid steamship
MORRO CASTLE, Capt. HADDAN, Feb. 20,
at 3 o'clock P. M., precisely, from Pier No. 4 North River, foot of Rector-st. For freight or passage apply to
W. P. GARRISON, Agent,
No. 1 Bowling-green, New-York.

U. S. MAIL TO HAVANA.
ATLANTIC MAIL STEAMSHIP COMPANY.
Sailing regularly every THURSDAY, at 3 o'clock P. M. precisely, from Pier No. 4 North River.
MORRO CASTLE, Capt. H. HADDAN.....Feb. 18
COLUMBIA, Capt. E. VAN SICKLEN.....Mar. 4
For freight or passage apply at office, No. 8 Bowling-green.
H. B. WHEELER, Jr., President,
No. 8 Bowling-green.

FOR NEW-ORLEANS DIRECT,
THE CROMWELL LINE.
Steamships of the line will leave Pier No. 1 North River, at 3 o'clock P. M., as follows:
MARIPOSA.....SATURDAY, Feb. 13
GEO. CROMWELL, Capt. HALL.....SATURDAY, Feb. 20
Freight taken at the lowest rates for St. Louis, Memphis and Cincinnati through rates. No passage, first and second class, or freight shipped on Sundays.
H. B. CROMWELL & CO., No. 86 Wall-st.

FOR HAVANNAH, SEMI-WEEKLY,
BLACK STAR INDEPENDENT LINE.
STEAMSHIP MONTGOMERY.
The fast-sailing steamship MONTGOMERY, will leave Pier No. 12 North River, on SATURDAY, Feb. 13, at 3 P. M.
For freight or passage apply to
E. LOWDEN, Agent, No. 10 Wall-st.
Cabin passage $60.

FOR CHARLESTON, S. C., THE FLORIDA PORTS AND THE SOUTH AND SOUTH-WEST.—REGULAR LINE.—Ste. of the Atlantic and Mississippi Steamship Company.
The steamship MAGNOLIA, Capt. E. Crowell, will be dispatched on THURSDAY, Feb. 18, at 3 P. M., from Pier No. 5 North River. Cabin passage reduced to $20. Through bills of lading to all points on the Southern and Southwestern lines in connection with Davenel & Co.'s forward all goods free of commission.
ARTHUR LEARY, No. 10 Wall-st.
The steamship SARAGOSSA, will sail on the following THURSDAY.

BOARDING AND LODGING.

A SMALL FAMILY LIVING IN A LARGE HOUSE, Madison-ave., would like to take one or two young men to board. Address, stating terms, P. O. Box 4,000.

A PLEASANT ROOM IN ONE OF THE BEST BLOCKS, with or without board, to a gentleman, with the use of Remington's gallery, city, 2d-ave., near Twenty-second-st. Address G. G., WINDSOR, Station F.

PRETTY BY, OPPOSITE THE NEW-YORK HOTEL, a suite of delightful front rooms, with private hall door, to let, with or without board; also, a room in the first-class house, No. 3 Clinton-place, recently changed.

WANTED—THREE ROOMS ON SECOND floor, with board, for a gentleman, wife and daughter; Antwerp, Bremen, or Hamburg; 24th and 7th ave., above 20th-st. Address, stating particulars, W. O. H., Box No. 4,292 Post-Office.

AT NO. 14 8TH-ST., BETWEEN 5TH-AV. and University-place.—A large front room, with Pleasant bedroom; dinner at 6. Restaurant, 1st floor.

NO. 17 EAST 14TH ST., (NEW NO.) Up-stairs, an elegant suite of rooms on second floor front; also, a small room on south side, third floor, House first-class.

A GENTLEMAN AND HIS WIFE OR one or two gentlemen, can find a comfortable home with private family in their own house; Sabbath-school; house protected; No. 128 East 15th-st.

A PRIVATE FAMILY WILL LET, to a married man, a second-story front room, with bed, adjoining; the best of references required. Inquire at No. 113 East 17th-st.

ROOMS WITH BOARD.—A GENTLEMAN and wife can be accommodated in a strictly private, three-story brown-stone house, near 4th-av. Address, with full name, to Box No. 4,765 Post-Office.

NO. 170 5TH-AV., CORNER OF 22D-ST.—Very and elegantly furnished rooms to let, with private bath of Russian.

AT NO. 918 EAST 14TH-ST.—A LITTLE Church in quiet neighborhood, with board; French spoken.

TO LET—IN A PRIVATE FAMILY, A FURnished room, to a gentleman, with or without board. Call at No. 48 Lexington-ave.

TO LET—AT NO. 7 WEST 37TH-STREET, (Madison-square,) a suite of rooms, with or without board; also one single room.

NO. 147 EAST 18TH-ST.—TO LET, A front room, for a gentleman and wife, or two gentlemen.

BROOKLYN REAL ESTATE.

FOR SALE—IN BROOKLYN—$3,000—AN ELEGANT brick house, with all the modern improvements; 24 feet front, 50 feet deep, with coach-house, stable, &c., &c., in the best locality in the city. Apply to HENRY E. HOOPER, 48 Hicks-st., Brooklyn.

FIRST-CLASS HOUSE FOR SALE.—FOR sale, one of the best built houses in the City, full three stories, brick front, with all the modern improvements, in Lafayette-place, between Fulton and Jefferson streets, convenient to the Fulton-ferry, Navy-yard, Hospital, Fort Greene, Lafayette-avenue and Myrtle-avenue cars, Fulton-ferry and Bedford-avenue cars, Fulton-ferry

WASHINGTON.

(Continued from Third Page.)

league (BINGHAM) when he denounced the views of those who differed with him.

Mr. BINGHAM—I never denounce the views of men at all. I denounce revolution.

Mr. SCHENCK—I beg the gentleman's pardon. I thought he denounced the proceeding as revolutionary.

Mr. BINGHAM—I said the resolution is revolutionary.

Mr. SCHENCK—And the gentleman said some thing about anarchy, in which he scared so much that I could not follow him. I am sorry, for I always admired that speech. [Laughter.] My colleague and others have assumed that the two acts of legislation, the concurrent resolution of last Monday and the joint rules conflict with each other, so that the last passed, in the language of the Speaker, "repeals the other." I hold to no such doctrine. I say that there stands the joint rules covering all the questions that may arise after the certificate is opened, as to what is in it, while the concurrent resolution stops short of all that and merely deals with the preliminary question, whether or not we should transmit ourselves as the question, "whether Georgia is or is not entitled to representation, and entitled to have electors for President and Vice-President." The two, so far from being irreconcilable, can exist and be sustained without one being regarded as conflicting with or operating as a repeal of the other. Mr. SCHENCK developed this idea at considerable length, and reviewed the proceedings of yesterday down to the point where the presiding officer announced that the election of the gentleman from Massachusetts was overruled. The Senate and gentlemen wonder, he continued, that any of us should conclude that in any former language whatever, it is proper to consider this decision an invasion of the rights of the House of Representatives. If it be not an invasion of the rights of the House, then we are the merest ornamental appendage to this proceeding of counting the votes that ever one body was made to when deliberately assembled on another. It was an attempt to crush down all the rights and immunities of the House of Representatives in the solemn procedure of counting the votes. We have often heard from our worthy Speaker about the propriety of standing up by the rights of the common of the representatives of the people. I think him a good and a good saying on that subject. It is life, and women has life, in the House of Representatives, under the Constitution, under your joint rules, under any circumstances, to come here and assist at the opening of the votes, if the Senate, through its presiding officer, might not body come in if the two bodies separated on any question, and say that on second thought they had agreed to a verdict to which was proposed by the House or by any member of the House, and that there was no appeal from it, no question about it, and no record made of it. In my place, as a representative of the people, I do not stand ready to submit to such. I will not sanction by my presence, any such interpretation of law which takes away all powers, all privileges, all, even of the pure rights and duties that are to be exercised by the House of Representatives in attending to see that that solemn ceremony is completed according to the terms of the Constitution, as prescribed by law. The reporter of the Associated Press says that the speaker made a timely interposition in threatening with arrest members of the House for disorder when they expressed somewhat too excitedly, perhaps, their feelings of indignation, generally felt by them at the appearance of being trampled down and thrust out of their rights. How came the Speaker to make that order? I thought we were in joint meeting of the two Houses with the President of the Senate as the presiding officer; and with due deference to the Speaker and his powers, I hold that the gavel was not properly in his hands. I hold that the order of arrest, unless under some general claim as a peace officer whose jurisdiction extends over us, might not to have threatened to, and I can only account for the Speaker's doing so by supposing that, like many others, he thought of us, he was excited by the unusual confusion and the warm feeling of the occasion.

Mr. Speaker COLFAX, from his place on the floor—Will the gentleman from Ohio yield to me?

Mr. SCHENCK—Certainly.

Mr. COLFAX—I did not intend to participate any further in this discussion, having stated my views to the House last evening, when my official conduct was arraigned, as it is now arraigned by the gentleman from Ohio. The Speaker of the House feels that it is the right of every Representative to arraign him if he disagrees with him as to the performance of his duty in the case alluded to. The Speaker performed his duty, and would have been derelict if he had not performed it. He would have been subject to the censure of the House if he had not interposed at the time he did interpose to check the scene that was then transpiring. The Speaker reads from the twenty-second rule: "It shall be the duty of the Sergeant-at-Arms to attend the House in its sittings to aid in the enforcement of order under the direction of the Speaker." The gentleman from Ohio has, for half an hour, insisted that the House of Representatives, under the Constitution, was in session in this hall yesterday. It was a House of Representatives with a Speaker. The twenty-second rule requires that the Speaker shall sit at the place of authority.

Mr. BUTLER, of Massachusetts, rose in his place.

Mr. COLFAX—I do not yield to the gentleman from Massachusetts. I have my colloquy with the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. BUTLER—I did not ask you, Sir.

Mr. COLFAX—The Speaker is to sit by the side of the Presiding Officer in Joint Convention; but he is no less the Speaker of the House of Representatives in the time. He is charged with the maintenance of order. When the House is in session this rule is mandatory. If there was no House of Representatives here yesterday, then the Speaker exceeded his authority; if there was a House of Representatives here yesterday, then he performed his duty in endeavoring to command the order that had been violated by the refusal to obey the order of him who was sitting over the Joint Convention. The Constitution states distinctly, in article 12, that the President of the Senate, shall, in the presence of the Senate and the House of Representatives, open the certificates; the House must be a House of Representatives there; there was a House of Representatives there; there was a Speaker there; there was a Sergeant-at-Arms there. They were not orphans; they had duties devolving upon them. Let me ask, further, if this order exists in the Joint Convention, who is to perform the duty of preserving order? If the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Senate had ordered the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Senate to enforce the rules of order upon members of the House of Representatives, the excitement would have been redoubled. It would have been said that he had not privilege to order his Sergeant-at-Arms to enforce order on members of the House of Representatives in their own hall. But under the twenty-second rule there was an officer to enforce order, and he shrinks not from the performance of his duty, and for that he is subject, as for all his official acts, to the censure of this House.

Mr. SCHENCK—I have not the slightest idea of proposing the censure of the Speaker. I think he was excited, like the rest of us.

Mr. COLFAX—He was not.

Mr. SCHENCK—There is only a difference of opinion about that. I thought it might be acquitted of any intention to arraign or impugn the motives of the Speaker. He owes it to me to feel that I have no such feelings and no such purpose; that I have too much respect and too much regard for him to think of it. If there be any difference of opinion, it is the appearance of being trampled down and thrust out of the Hall. How came the Speaker to make that order? I thought we were in joint meeting of the two Houses with the President of the Senate as the presiding officer; and with due deference to the Speaker and his powers, I hold that the gavel was not properly in his hands. I hold that the order of arrest, unless under some general claim as a peace officer whose jurisdiction extends over us, might not to have threatened to, and I can only account for the Speaker's doing so by supposing that, like many others, he thought of us, he was excited by the unusual confusion and the warm feeling of the occasion.

Mr. COLFAX—I do not intend to participate any further in this discussion, having stated my views to the House last evening when my official conduct was arraigned, as it is now arraigned by the gentleman from Ohio. The Speaker of the House feels that it is the right of every Representative to arraign him if he disagrees with him as to the performance of his duty in the case alluded to. The Speaker performed his duty, and would have been derelict if he had not performed it. He would have been subject to the censure of the House if he had not interposed at the time he did interpose to check the scene that was then transpiring. The Speaker reads from the twenty-second rule: "It shall be the duty of the Sergeant-at-Arms to attend the House in its sittings to aid in the enforcement of order under the direction of the Speaker." The gentleman from Ohio has, for half an hour, insisted that the House of Representatives, under the Constitution, was in session in this hall yesterday. It was a House of Representatives with a Speaker. The twenty-second rule requires that the Speaker shall sit at the place of authority.

Mr. BUTLER, of Massachusetts, rose in his place.

Mr. COLFAX—I do not yield to the gentleman from Massachusetts. I have my colloquy with the gentleman from Ohio.

Mr. BUTLER—I did not ask you, Sir.

Mr. COLFAX—The Speaker is to sit by the side of the Presiding Officer in Joint Convention; but he is no less the Speaker of the House of Representatives in the time. He is charged with the maintenance of order. When the House is in session this rule is mandatory. If there was no House of Representatives here yesterday, then the Speaker exceeded his authority; if there was a House of Representatives here yesterday, then he performed his duty in endeavoring to command the order that had been violated by the refusal to obey the order of him who was sitting over the Joint Convention. The Constitution states distinctly, in article 12, that the President of the Senate, shall, in the presence of the Senate and the House of Representatives, open the certificates; the House must be a House of Representatives there; there was a House of Representatives there; there was a Speaker there; there was a Sergeant-at-Arms there. They were not orphans; they had duties devolving upon them. Let me ask, further, if this order exists in the Joint Convention, who is to perform the duty of preserving order? If the President of the Senate had ordered the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Senate to enforce the rules of order upon members of the House of Representatives, the excitement would have been redoubled. It would have been said that he had not privilege to order his Sergeant-at-Arms to enforce order on members of the House of Representatives in their own hall. But under the twenty-second rule there was an officer to enforce order, and he shrinks not from the performance of his duty, and for that he is subject, as for all his official acts, to the censure of this House.

Mr. SCHENCK—I have not the slightest idea of proposing the censure of the Speaker. I think he was excited, like the rest of us.

Mr. COLFAX—He was not.

Mr. SCHENCK—There is only a difference of opinion about that. I thought it might be acquitted of any intention to arraign or impugn the motives of the Speaker. He owes it to me to feel that I have no such feelings and no such purpose; that I have too much respect and too much regard for him to think of it. If there be any difference of opinion, it is the appearance of being trampled down and thrust out of the Hall. How came the Speaker to make that order? I thought we were in joint meeting of the two Houses with the President of the Senate as the presiding officer; and with due deference to the Speaker and his powers, I hold that the gavel was not properly in his hands. I hold that the order of arrest, unless under some general claim as a peace officer whose jurisdiction extends over us, might not to have threatened to, and I can only account for the Speaker's doing so by supposing that, like many others, he thought of us, he was excited by the unusual confusion and the warm feeling of the occasion.

Mr. COLFAX—The gentleman from Ohio quotes what the Speaker ruled in the House of Representatives, that he could not review the ruling of the President of the Senate while presiding in the Joint Convention. The Speaker adheres to that ruling to-day. There is, however, some difference apparent between the gentleman from Ohio and myself as to the construction of language. There is an order in the twenty-second rule which I will again read: "It shall be the duty of the Sergeant-at-Arms to attend the House during its sitting." The question is whether, under the Constitution, the House was here yesterday. I insist that the House was here. I insist that it was sitting. More than the law commands that the House of Representatives and the Senate shall be in session on the second Wednesday in February. It provides also that the Sergeant-at-Arms shall attend the House during its sittings to aid in the enforcement of order under the direction of the Speaker. He could not do it under the direction of the President of the Senate. The rule would not allow him to do so. He would forfeit his office if he obeyed the order of the President of the Senate, for the rule says "he shall be under the direction of the Speaker," and no one else. Again I ask the gentleman from Ohio, if he do not want him to escape this point by any legal argument, how order was to have been maintained? Would he have asked the President of the Senate to command the Sergeant-at-Arms of the Senate to arrest members of the House of Representatives? Could the President of the Senate have commanded the Sergeant-at-Arms of the House to arrest a Representative? He could not. He had no power to do it. The Sergeant-at-Arms would not have obeyed promptly but the Speaker in the room, and, if he had, they may have had here always. Do they get any more Halls because, down there, they take the use of our Hall? Do they get any more Halls and stick, or can they come in our Hall and stick? Clearly not. We are, forsooth, coequal—no, I take that back, for there is no power that is the equal of the Representatives of the people assembled in their legislative capacity. There is no man who has greater love, greater respect, or greater veneration for old BEN WADE than I have. That man sitting there by himself and of himself would never attempt to take away the rights of this House or of any human being. But it was because he was acting under a pernicious resolution, which was passed—how? Parliamentary courtesy will not allow me to say that he acted as he did. Do you know that to-day the Senate of the United States has entertained a resolution to censure one of your members? Do you know who that man was? I think they will have a good time doing it. [Laughter.]

Mr. BUTLER—I by no means censure the Senate. I am censuring the action that was done here. I do not take that back. I only say that I do not believe Mr. WADE did it. He was the mouthpiece of somebody. Parliamentary courtesy forbids my saying who, and I would not say it. I want to call attention to the resolutions, which I have modified and sent to the Chair, and I ask that they may be read.

The resolutions were read as follows:

Resolved, That the House protests against the manner of procedure and the order of the President of the Senate pro tem., in the presence of the two Houses, in counting the vote of Georgia in obedience to the order of the Senate only, and against the act dissolving the Convention of the two Houses at his own will, as an invasion of the rights and privileges of this House.

Resolved, That the above resolution be and hereby is referred to a Select Committee of five, with leave to report at any time, and to report by bill or otherwise.

Mr. BUTLER concluded as follows: If this Committee can devise legislation which shall save us from the recurrence of this hour of future peril, then I shall have deserved well in my own conscience and my own breast whatever meed of praise or dispraise may be accorded me by others, and there will have arisen out of the spirit of hell a great good.

Mr. BINGHAM occupied the three minutes that were left before the time for taking a vote, and then, at 4:30, the House took a recess until 7:30.

EVENING SESSION.

The House met again at 7:30, and proceeded to dispose of private bills reported from the Committee on Invalid Pensions, after which the House adjourned.

The debate on the question of privilege is to be continued to-morrow.

FUNERAL OF JAMES T. BRADY.

Impressive Ceremonies at St. Patrick's Cathedral—Funeral Oration by Rev. Dr. McGlynn.

The obsequies of JAMES T. BRADY, which took place at St. Patrick's Cathedral, yesterday, drew thither an immense concourse of people of both sexes and of all conditions of life. No better tribute can be paid to the memory of the eminent advocate than was yesterday given, in the fact that among the thousands who assisted in the last sad rites were numbers of the poor, who, time and again, have been the recipients of his unostentatious charity. These shed their tears and mingled their regrets over the remains of their departed friend and benefactor in common with the brightest luminaries of the Bar and eminent members of the Bench, who were his personal friends as well as his professional brethren. Citizens of high and low degree were there, and upon every countenance there was a look of subdued and silent sorrow that plainly evinced the grief felt by the vast multitude who watched with anxious interest the solemn ceremonials of the melancholy occasion. The funeral services began at 11 o'clock, but long before that hour crowds of people gathered in front of the Cathedral in Mott-street, as well as in Prince and Mulberry streets, awaiting the opening of the doors. The members of the Bar assembled at the late residence of Mr. BRADY, No. 124 West Twenty-third-street, at 9 A. M., and, after taking a last look at the remains, accompanied the mourners who followed the corpse to the Cathedral. At 11 o'clock, the relatives, his sisters, Miss SUSANNAH BRADY, Mrs. NATHANIEL T. JARVIS, Jr., Mrs. LAKE, Mrs. MOORE, and their families, arrived, and took the seats reserved for them on the right of the centre aisle, immediately in front of the grand altar. The side doors of the Cathedral were then thrown open, and the anxiously-waiting multitude soon filled the seats in the side aisles. Shortly afterward the corpse was borne in procession through the main entrance, and down the centre aisle of the edifice, the organ meanwhile sending forth a dirge as a fitting accompaniment to the "solemn step and slow" of the mourners. A catafalque, covered with sable velvet, and fringed with silver lace, had been placed at the head of the aisle, and on this the remains were deposited, large lighted tapers being ranged on either side of the corpse. The altar front was draped in black, and sables were also pendant from the pulpit. The burial case was a metallic one, handsomely polished, grained in imitation of rosewood, and heavily mounted with silver. The silver plate on the lid bore the following inscription:

JAMES T. BRADY,
BORN FEB. 9, 1809,
AGED 59 YEARS, 10 MONTHS.

Loving hands had prepared wreaths, crowns and crosses of immortelles, which were placed upon the coffin, and a harp of asmelias also shone out against the sombre hangings of the catafalque. The pall-bearers were: Hon. Judges Ingraham, Daly, Barbour and Alker, Mayor A. Oakey Hall, Charles O'Conor and Clarence Seward, Esqs., Prof. R. Ogden Doremus, James Maurice, Esq., (Ex-Member of Congress and former law partner of Mr. Brady), and Capt. Nathaniel Jarvis, Sr.

The principal mourners were: Hon. Judge John H. Brady (deceased's brother) and Peter R. Sweeney, City Chamberlain. Among the prominent gentlemen present were: Wm. C. Traphagen, (Mr. Brady's present law partner), Counselors David D. Field, Chauncey Shaffer, John Graham, John McKeon, John H. Mitchell, Richard O'Gorman, Denis McMahon, Thos. J. Dumphy, Judges Cardozo, Sutherland, Bischoff, Friedman, Pittman, Cardozo, Sedgwick, Barrett, Curtis, Monell, Gunning S. Bedford, Jr., Recorder Hackett, Ex-Judges Bosworth, Peabody, J. R. Whiting, Ex-Recorder James M. Smith, Justices Dowling, Ledwith, Shandley, Sandford, Sanderson and Hogan; Senator Casserly of California; Gen. Wm. E. Robinson, M. C.; Hon. James B. Nicholas, Richard L. Larremore, President of the Board of Education; Luther R. Marsh, Waldo Hutchings, Gen. Daniel E. Sickles, Geo. W. Matsell, Street Commissioner Shand, Police Commissioner Brennan, Sheriff O'Brien, Rev. Hugh Brady, Jas. W. Gerrard; Ex-Sheriffs Lynch and Kelly; Barney Williams, Wm. J. Florence, John Brougham, Louis A. Gaschetti, (Mr. Brady's physician,) and a host of other gentlemen from the various walks of life. One-half of the seats on either side of the centre aisle were reserved for members of the legal profession and Mr. BRADY's intimate friends. When the remains had been placed, amid the catafalque, a procession of ecclesiastics and acolytes, headed by the processional cross-bearer, came from the vestry and ranged themselves around the bier. The Mass for the dead followed by the priests, who were to officiate in the solemn mass of requiem, in their sacerdotal robes, viz.: Celebrant, Rev. THOMAS DUCEY, of the Church of the Nativity, Second-avenue; Deacon, Rev. Father MOGRAN; and Sub-Deacon, Rev. Dr. McSWEENEY, Master of Ceremonies, Rev. Father KEARNEY, of St. Peter's, and others of the clergy of the Cathedral. After these came Archbishop McCLOSKEY, accompanied by Very Rev. Father STARRS, Vicar-General of the Arch-diocese, Rev. Father MINIERESY, Secretary to the Archbishop, Rev. Dr. MOGLYNN, Pastor of St. Stephen's, and many others of the clergy. The celebrant and his assistants having arrived at the foot of the altar, the mass was sung again, and, at the same time, the mournful strains of Beethoven's grand requiem in E minor, pealed forth from organ and choir. This grand production was given entire, and as its solemn cadences floated along the vaulted arches and down aisle, they riveted the attention of the vast congregation assembled within the Cathedral.

When the mass was concluded, Rev. Dr. McGLYNN, of St. Stephen's, came forward and read the epistle which had been chanted at the mass: "Brethren, I would not have you to be ignorant concerning those who sleep," &c. These words, said the reverend gentleman, were addressed to the first generation of Christians, and they were intended to warn them not to grieve for their loved and lost ones as the Pagans grieved; but, on the contrary, to sorrow as having hope of the immortality of those who had gone before. With the Pagans the dark portals of the grave shut out forever all communion with the dead, but the Christian dispensation admits no such sorrowful and disheartening conclusion. Our Saviour had "Redeemer" came on earth to teach the world the true philosophy of dying, to acquaint mankind with the fact that in passing through it the righteous soul enters upon an eternity of bliss, and awakes in everlasting glory and the enjoyment of the beatific vision of God. The Apostle learned this lesson well from His sacred lips, and in accordance with it they spoke of these dead as do. Paul speaks of them in the epistle, as having fallen asleep, awaiting through the darkness of the night of the tomb that glorious awakening the day is to come with the resurrection morn. Probably the Apostle had in view the idea expressed in the inscription on a Pagan tomb, wherein the mother mourns the gulf her having taken from her the child of her bosom; and it may have been for this reason that he spoke as he then in the epistle to the Thessalonians, where he exhorts the Christians not to sorrow either for their dead, and he says as the Christians exhibited that we should not grieve as those having no hope. It is no part of Christian religion to forbid all grief; but to temper it with an abiding hope in God's mercy and goodness, that if our friends shall have passed from the sorrows of earth, they have thereby attained, or made steps toward the attainment of an eternity of happiness in the kingdom of God himself. This is the Christian long-hope, and it is the teaching of the Church. God, who made us, comes in the fullness of time to redeem us. He has implanted within us hearts that are susceptible of the deepest affection and the most poignant sorrow; and we know from Holy Scripture that even Jesus Christ himself shed tears over the grave of Lazarus, and his tears were meant to show to us that they were, shining through the dim vista of the future, the bright rays of a glorious resurrection. He would ask them, then, to grieve in this Christian spirit over the bier of their departed friend, who, though but a few days since in the flush of life and ardently pursuing the duties of an honorable avocation, now lay before them clasped in the cold embrace of death. He asked to be permitted to mingle his sorrow with theirs, for their departed friend was his friend also. He should not forbid such grief; indeed, he might say something that would stimulate it for the moment, and show how great is the loss that they had all sustained. It would not benefit the sad and mournful occasion; it would not befit him as a priest of the Church of God to indulge in the language of flattery. The Church is no flatterer, for she knows, as we all know, that the good works of the best of us are but poor and meagre in comparison to what we ought to do when we consider the greatness of God and his manifestations of love and mercy toward us. But because of our belief that he is a God of goodness as well as justice, we hope for the exercise of His mercy toward us all, and that, though the soul go out of this world with venial stains upon it, still it may not be eternally driven from the beatitude of Heaven. We believe that the gate of death is not entirely separate as it relates to those whom we have known and loved in life, but that through the infinite mercy of God, we may be enabled to assist the faithful departed by our prayers and supplications in their behalf; that we may, as it were, offer a holy violence to Heaven, and beseech of Almighty God that the dead may be loosed from their sins. It is a consoling to know that this doctrine of the Church was the doctrine of him who now lay before them; that in his teaching of prayer for the dead he was a firm and ardent believer. As illustrative of this fact, he would state that he had heard from the lips of this deceased the incident related in this belief. Once, when at sea, the vessel was overtaken by a storm, and so severe was the tempest that the bravest on board had fears that shipwreck would be the result. A legal friend, who was his fellow-passenger, remarked to him that he was surprised that the calmness he exhibited when the danger was most imminent astonished himself and every one on board. To this Mr. BRADY replied: "My dear Sir, the difference between you and me in that respect is just this: I know that I have a Church at my back, and, if Providence pleases that I should die, I know that the prayers of 100,000 of my fellows will ascend to Heaven in my behalf." Animated as he was by this faith, we have reason to hope that he has fallen asleep, and, acting in accordance with this hope, we will supplicate the Divine mercy in his behalf. The Church teaches us, and common sense verifies the teaching, that nothing defiled can enter Heaven; that it would be revolting to believe that because of venial stains upon the soul, caused by our poor human frailty, we are to be entirely shut out from the presence of God. While the Church is fallow to believe that even the most holy of us are divested of Heaven, yet, on the more, show to believe that venial sins, which owing to man's imperfection, we all commit, will cause our eternal condemnation. She teaches, therefore, that there is a middle state of purgation, in which the soul may be cleansed from minor sins, by the purifying fires of greater weight, and be made fit to enter upon the beatific vision. We are free to imagine that the disembodied spirit would shrink from the presence of God until it is purged by tears and penance from its sins, and that this become worthy to bask in the eternal sunshine of God's love. The Church is a good mother; she has children at the portal of the grave, but follows them beyond it, until she leads them into the presence of God. It is for this reason that she sends up from every part of the world the supplication "May they rest in peace." Another instance of the firm belief of the sentiment contained in Catholic doctrine was this. He (the Speaker) had it from his own lips. When the obsequies of the lamented Dr. CUMMINGS, the late pastor of St. Stephen's Church, were taking place, Mr. BRADY was present, and in conversing with the speaker relative to the impressive ceremonies, he remarked: "If, as I hold the body of the priest of God being borne down the aisle of his own church between two lines of white-robed priests, with lighted tapers in their hands, I said to myself, if that is not the road to Heaven, then I never knew where I go when I die." Such was the faith that he expressed, and let us, who hold it in common with him, offer up our prayers for his behalf. The speaker entered into an eulogium of the deceased, as a man of the highest order of intellect, of poetic soul, and of almost prodigal charity. In his case nature did not intermarry with fortune. She gave him a face that might well be the envy of the world, and an eloquence that entranced all who listened to him. That grand and versatile intellect was such that even eminent men in other professions envied him. Physicians stood amazed at the new light which flashed among them whenever he delivered a medical jurisprudence lecture. The profession in the pursuit of his calling. Clergymen who heard him speak of the grandeur and glories of the Church of his birth and preference, felt that he would have adorned any pulpit he might have been called to fill; while his brethren of the legal profession who were present in Court, including Supreme Judges of the land, eminent for their great learning and judicial lore, as well as members distinguished in the National Legislature, showed by their presence around his bier that the high estimation in which he was held. "Take him up tenderly," they said to the presiding officer, "and bear him to his rest, and let us hope, as St. Paul teaches us to hope, that, having fallen asleep, he will awake to a glorious resurrection. Let the mantle of charity cover his faults, and let our earnest prayer be, 'May his soul and the souls of all the faithful departed rest in peace. Amen.'"

At the close of the sermon the Archbishop administered the final absolution, incensing and aspersing the remains, and the corpse was borne to the Brady family vault underneath the Cathedral. The funeral service was conducted by Prof. A. HOCH, who presided at the organ. The solos were by Mme. CROSBY, soprano; Mme. WEBER, alto; HENRY SCHMITZ, tenor, and Herr SORBY, bass.

THE LABOR MOVEMENT.

The Printers' Strike—Meeting of the Sailors—The Clothing Cutters.

The journeymen book and job printers on strike met yesterday, as usual, in secret meeting, at No. 42 East Broadway. But little transpired beyond routine business. A meeting will be held there to-night for the purpose of organizing a co-operative printing society, the capital stock to be $20,000, and the shares $10 each. Notwithstanding the success of a similar association at No. 166 William-street, which has been nearly two years in operation, many employers have no faith in its endurance, and still less in the present effort. They consider that being journeymen, and unaccustomed to the management of business, they will ultimately fail. The men, however, have no such anticipations, and feel that in co-operation alone can any workers for wages obtain a full remuneration for their labor. By this the profits now accruing to an employer will be divided among those who bear the brunt of the toil.

CLOTHING CUTTERS' STRIKE.

The clothing cutters on strike met again last evening, at their headquarters, No. 10 Stanton-street. The addition of two more sweating houses was reported as having given their adhesion to the new scale of $24 wages instead of $20 and $22. These were FECHEIMER & Co. and ROSS & COHN. The forty-two cutters of the firm of BROWNING & CO. were reported on strike from Jas. A. Co.; DESBARES & STRAUS from those of STARKS & Co. A resolution was passed requesting a resolution of Tuesday evening, to the effect that Mr. WM. C. BROWNING had visited a number of employers, and urged them not to pay the advanced rates. It was explained that the contrary was the case, and that several employers had called on Mr. BROWNING with a similar mission. Parties were then detailed to watch the different establishments where men were on strike, in order to see that no members of the Union were surreptitiously to work at any of STURGES & Co. went yesterday to the shop of YOUNG & CO. and endeavored to draw away some of the men by a promise of employment the entire year at $22 per week. He was unsuccessful. It was asserted that the hands of YOUNG & CO. had organized and joined the Union, and would strike the moment they were ordered to do so by the President. An adjournment took place until this evening.

SAILORS' MEETING.

The sailors had a meeting yesterday evening at Rotanic Hall, Capt. JAMES H. CHURCH in the chair. They proceeded first to the election of permanent officers for the association. The result of the election was as follows: President, Capt. C. O. DUNCAN; Vice-President, KENNY COURTLAND; Treasurer, Mr. Moses B. BEACH; Secretary, Dr. THOMAS; Directors, Capt. NATHANIEL EVERIGG, Capt. M. W. JOHNSON, Capt. BLAKE. The name "American Mariners' Self-Protective and Mutual Aid Association," was adopted. Capt. DUNCAN, Capt. Burnes and Mr. BEACH were elected a committee to draw up a constitution and by-laws.

Rev. Dr. LOOMIS, President of the Seamen's Friend Society, addressed the meeting, expressing his interest in the Association because it was originated by the sailors themselves, and was conducted by the best class among them. The sailor, he said, had been too much in the power of boarding-house keepers and shipping masters. The speaker did not wish to say anything against these men as a class, but some of them abuse their positions. What the sailor needs is to deal directly with the ship-owners. [Cries of "That's so."] A good reading-room will be a meeting place for sailors, where they will find friends and occupation. The officers closed saw let the right work. Let the officers throughout the collegiate of the sailors. Another speaker urged the necessity of union, and dwelt earnestly on the necessity of sailors, eliciting frequent applause. He also exhorted his hearers to keep sober, as the best means to defeat the efforts of their enemies.

Mr. LEMUEL, spoke of the sufferings of those who had been from joining the Society, and it was in a flourishing condition. He said that the Society had already saved a good many of its members from paying blood-money. He then alluded to the necessity of frequent meetings to keep the Society working, because the old members are constantly going to sea; and he urged those present to exert themselves to bring in as many new men as possible.

The Chairman stated that Capt. SAMUELS had arranged that all the pilot and tug-boats should carry circulars to inform the sailors of incoming vessels of the existence of the Society and of its nature. Dr. LOOMIS spoke of the uneasiness of boarding-house keepers and shipping-masters as a good omen.

A sailor told how, some weeks ago, he was obliged to take the last $5 from his wife and children to pay as "blood money" to get a chance to ship. Another told how he had to give a meerschaum pipe to a shipping master too conscientious to demand money.

Dr. LOOMIS suggested that some retired sailors living in the City should join the Society, and act as a standing committee to cooperate with the officers. The suggestion was acted on, several such persons coming forward at once to join the roll. Some other new names were added, and the meeting was then adjourned, subject to the call of the President.

DEFIANCE OF THE LAW.

Audacious Proceedings of the Criminal Class—Desperate Efforts to Defeat the Laws—The Renewed Formation of Vigilance Committees.

The thieves and ruffians of the Metropolis seem to have grown desperate over the stern and summary measures which the Courts have taken, of late, for the punishment of offenders and the suppression of crime. Sentences of from five to forty years' imprisonment have caused a fierce determination on the part of the criminal classes to shield themselves from the just vengeance of the law, by any means, and at any cost. The frightful extent to which the City is placed under the control of these ruffians was striking illustrated in the open threats of violence which were delivered by the notorious "Nineteenth-street gang" against any one who would dare testify on behalf of the prosecution of the murderer REAL, who was a member of that band of outlaws. These threats, fortunately for the ends of justice, were not heeded by the faithful witnesses upon whose clear and positive testimony conviction was so easily reached, but the friends of the condemned man swear that they will yet be revenged upon the Police officers, especially if REAL is hanged. The jurors who decided the cowardly assassin's fate were also threatened in advance, and, it is said, because of the terrible intimidations made against them, they recommended the guilty man to the mercy of the Court. One of the jurors, who was bold enough at first to declare that he would not be "influenced by any threats that might be made, was followed to his home on Wednesday night by a number of the "gang," whose intention it was to take his life, but an officer happening to approach just at the moment when the brutal deed was to have been committed, the juror escaped.

Another instance of the desperation of the criminal classes is to be found in the bold effort which they successfully made on Wednesday, to rescue three of their fraternity from the hands of the boroughers, and this at mid-day, in a crowded thoroughfare, and within sight of the halls of justice. The three men alluded to were CHARLES SMITH, JAMES JONES and THOMAS MOORE, who had just previously been convicted before Recorder HACKETT of burglary, and sentenced to Sing Sing for an aggregate term of nearly fifteen years' imprisonment. The convicts were subsequently handcuffed together and placed in charge of THOMAS JACKSON, one of the Court officers, for the purpose of taking them to Old Tombs. The officer followed his prisoners to the corner of Centre-street and Duane-street, when JACKSON states he observed that they had a large crowd of men, all evidently friendly to the three convicts, who were lavish in their display of knives and pistols, at the same time using threatening language toward him. MOORE then slipped his hand from the handcuff and ran off. JACKSON ordered the Officer in charge of some citizens and started in pursuit of the rascal, who was joined by Detective DUREN, of the Sixth Ward, and through the intervention of Assistant Alderman LYNAGHT, MOORE was overtaken and recovered. In the meantime, the two citizens having temporarily in custody of the other convicts allowed them to go free, as they were intimidated by the mob which gathered around them.

The rescue of those desperadoes was the subject of comment in the Court of General Sessions yesterday, by Recorder HACKETT, who said:

"It is proper that I should officially call the notice of this Court to the fact that the prisoners who were rescued yesterday in the City Hall were convicted in the state Prisons, one for four years and nine months and the other for five years, and that on their return to the City Prisons, under sentence, that at the time they were three prisoners in the charge of one officer. I do not think, from what I have heard, that the officer had any culpability in the matter of their escape. Immediately upon the news being received of their escape, Ex-Capt. JOURDAN, who was then in charge of the office, had every exertion afterward, by immediately informing him of the fugitives, in Franklin-street, and that two men and his efforts during the evening show that he was a faithful officer, and that he was free from any culpability or neglect on his part. He was, in fact, overpowered by a gang of thieves, who surrounded him. If the law does not, therefore, to take the place of Capt. JOURDAN, who is an exceedingly vigilant officer, in fact, having no superior in the Office, and through whose exertions these men were brought to justice, I, as Recorder, will call Capt. JOURDAN in a terror to the burglars and the band robbers of the City, for through his skill more arrests and convictions have been secured, and property of immense value recovered than any other Captain in the force."

Mr. HUTCHINS remarked that he had no doubt if the Recorder and his associate, Judge BANFORD, requested the Board of Supervisors to furnish vans to convey prisoners to and from the City Prison, that it would be done.

The Recorder directed the Captain of the officers of the Court to see that hereafter only two prisoners shall be placed in charge of one officer in bringing them to and from the Tombs; and further, that the case of MOORE, who escaped and was captured, be sent to the Grand Jury under a special statute for the offense.

The stairways, halls and stoop of the building in which the Court of General Sessions is held, and the sidewalks in the vicinity, were literally thronged yesterday with well-armed thieves, bullies, and doubtless, for the purpose of intimidating witnesses, jurors, and, if possible, to rescue their associates in the event of heavy sentences being imposed. At one time, by actual count, there were more than two hundred of these ruffians present, in and about the building. Capt. JOURDAN, of the Sixth Precinct, in passing, noticed the situation at a glance, and, hurrying back to the station-house, he soon had a squad of officers, who scattered the crowds in a short time.

These startling occurrences of the past day or two have led the public to think seriously of taking matters into their own hands. Last evening it was proposed that Vigilance Committees should be held in various parts of the City, and that Vigilance Committees were actually formed, with instructions to commence work at once.

American Institute—Election of Officers.

The election of officers of the American Institute yesterday resulted as follows:

For President—HORACE GREELEY.
For Vice-Presidents—Dudley S. Gregory, Charles P. Daly, Cyrus E. Lentell.
For Treasurer—George Fugina.
For Corresponding Secretary—Samuel D. Tillman.
For Treasurer—Sylvester H. Comstock.
For Managers of the Fair—William H. Butler, Orson Cowgill, Thomas Hall, William H. Fuller, Charles Hargrave, Hall, Nathan C. Ely, William S. Carpenter, George Simpson, J. Wilson Stanton, Charles E. Hawkes, James Kirk, Geo. Francis Dawson, Thomas Hicks, Samuel D. Tillman, Thomas Butler, Samuel R. Wells, Chas. G. Gimell, Edward Richmond, William R. Pearce, Frank Leslie, Erastus W. Smith, James B. Taylor, Charles N. L. Smith and Joseph R. Lyons.
For Committee on the Admission of Members—Chas. E. Pratt, James H. Drake, John W. Chambers, J. Owen Rouse and Stephen R. Kroe.
For Committee on Awards—Thomas E. Adriance, Thomas Williams, Jr., Charles Cumberson, Simeon Baldwin and J. De Lamater.
For Committee on the Library—James E. Campbell, William Hubbard, Nicholas Pike, Hamilton, H. Towle and George Francis Houghton.
For Committee on the Repository—Lewis Fenwick, Alfred Newell, Nathaniel Wheeler, Frank A. Bristol and H. F. Newton.
For Committee on Manufactures and Machinery—Miles Coryell, Hamilton E. Towle, Thomas D. Stetson, Charles H. Ritchy and Walter Sabriver.
For Committee on Science, Technology and Geology—Charles P. Daly, Dubois D. Fumaine, Julius C. Pohle, F. S. Newberry and John W. Morgan.
For Committee on Optical Science—John R. Rich, John Perry, L. Bradley, Samuel Jackson and P. H. Vander Weyde.
For Committee on Civil Engineering and Architecture—William J. McAlpine, Robert H. Halsted, Lemuel Bishop, Samuel McElroy and Edward T. Hunter.
For Committee on Agriculture—Nathan G. Ely, John Crane, P. T. Quinn, B. Edwards Todd and Josiah H. Mayo.
For Committee on Horticulture—William S. Carpenter, Henry C. Townsend, John Henderson, Isaac Buchanan and James Hogg.

The following were elected Managers: R. G. Spider, Edmund Dwight, J. V. C. Smith, James H. Baldwin and John H. Macy.

The polls were open from 9 o'clock P. M. until 9 P. M., during which time 162 ballots were cast. There was no opposition to the regular tickets, a few names only being scratched.

Polytechnic Branch of the American Institute—The East River Bridge.

At the meeting of this branch of the American Institute, last night, several inventions were exhibited, among them a output aspirator and a glass stove heated with gas. The regular subject of the evening was then taken up—the bridging of the East River. Mr. SAMUEL MCELRACY, civil engineer, presented a plan and read a carefully-prepared and interesting paper giving the details of it, and many data in relation to the tides and currents of the harbor. His plan was to construct a causeway across the River, with a bridge and piers at its centre, thus virtually damming up the East River. He would have the causeway 25 feet above the Navy-yard, with a width of 400 feet, having three streets through it and space for seventy warehouses. The entire cost, including warehouses, he estimated at $6,000,000. He maintained that our harbor would be immensely improved by cutting of the East River outlets, and forming two harbors, by dividing it. He answered all objections to his project, showing a thorough knowledge of the entire subject. Messrs. BEABLEY, VANDERWEYDE, BEIN, FRASLEE, HILL and EMMET participated in